

## FCC Reduces Backlog of Broadcast Indecency Complaints by 70% (More Than One Million Complaints); Seeks Comment on Adopting Egregious Cases Policy

I oppose the consideration that you are giving for relaxing the FCC indecency standards to allow use of the "F" word and front nudity. The "F" word is still considered a blatant foul word no matter that there are those in society and our culture who use it frequently and think nothing of it. Children should not be exposed to this and especially during general programming hours and quite frankly, many adults should not have to listen to this type of profanity either. There are shows, programs and channels that adults and individuals can turn to if this is what they want to hear. that is their choice. The "approved" use of this word by the FCC should not be forced onto everyone else because the FCC has a backlog of complaints. This will not reduce the backlog of indecency complaints just because the FCC says it's okay to use and hear this word on airwaves. You will still receive complaints from many who will continue to consider this type of language to be inappropriate and many more who are now even more motivated to complain about this potential new policy.

Regarding frontal nudity?...really? Again, you are considering allowing full frontal nudity to a potential audience of children and those who want to be able to watch relatively "clean" programming during normal program hours so that you can reduce the backlog of indecency complaints from those who think the current rules are too lax? And this will reduce the number of complaints? Ridiculous! Again, for those who want to watch shows with nudity, they can choose to do that in a variety of ways. that is up to them and they have the control over their children watching or not watching. There are many options open to them on what they choose to watch and when. Are we going to relax our standards to the point where there is no line that can't be crossed? The government may own the airwaves, but "we the people" pay the taxes which the government collects, funneling a portion of that government income into the FCC. Those one million + individuals (I say + since you know as well as I do that many people may not take the time to actually issue a formal complaint even though they strongly disagree with what they are already seeing on television) have the right to expect a measure of decency to be maintained. Former FCC commissioners believe that the word "Redskins" should be banned but yet additional profanity and full frontal nudity are being considered as "acceptable"?

These considerations are an assault on the values, morals, standards, and beliefs which many, many Americans still hold dear. Moral values may differ from person to person, but moral standards are something that can be measured. Leave what remains of the moral standards of the FCC in place.  
Sincerely,  
Lori Gernand