

GN Docket No. 13-56

April 12, 2013

Dear Sirs:

I want the FCC to maintain strict control and continue the ban on the F-word, etc. also no ~~profanity~~ nudity-if people want this kind of stuff, they can subscribe to various cable XXX channels!

Sincerely,

David Brock

P.O. Box 15239

Portland, OR 97293

Received & Inspected

APR 15 2013

FCC Mail Room

88 Trumbull Dr.
Hudson, OH 44236
4/10/13

To whom it may concern,

I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting."

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely,



Mary Renee Romito

April 9, 2013
121 Wright Country Road
Ramseur, NC 27316

Received & Inspected

APR 15 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Sirs,

I was shocked and dismayed to read that you are considering even more profane language and nudity on television. I am referring to CN Docket No. 13-86.

http://action.afa.net/email/online.aspx?cid=2213&mid=22192159&tid=aa&utm_source=smAFA&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=2213

It is hard for me to believe that the majority of Americans want to see, and want their children to see, on a daily basis, that which was once confined to the smutty, X rated stores of years ago.

Please do not lift the ban on disgusting, vile language. There is already enough filth to go around. Because I do not live in an area where cable is provided, and I do not wish to purchase expensive satellite packages, my entertainment is limited to free television.

While I am on the subject, I would like to object to the repetitive use of God's name. I even heard Anderson Cooper take the name of my Saviour, Jesus Christ, in vain while describing his experiences while diving with the crocodiles on 60 minutes.

There is a commandment which says, "Thou shalt not take the name of the LORD thy God in vain; for the LORD will not hold him guiltless that taketh His name in vain." (Exodus 20:7)

I am not saying that I have not broken the 10 Commandments. If anyone says they have not broken one or more of the commandments, according to the Bible, they are liars (1 John 1:8.) I am saying that I have believed on the Lord Jesus Christ and He saved me (Romans 10:9,10), and that when I sin, and realize it, I confess it to my Saviour. (1 John 1:8,9)

Many of the TV programs, I am sure, do not please our Creator. Let's keep our television programs from becoming more obscene than they have already become. Thank you.

Sincerely,



Mrs. Jo Burgess
121 Wright Country Road
Ramseur, NC 27316

4/09/13

Hello,

My daughter, Peggy, called me this A.M. to tell me what she had seen on T.V. It was about FCC decency and unless people respond by the last of April, the TV will get ~~received & inspected~~ indecent than it already is (3)

APR 15 2013

FCC Mail Room

Decency - 1. the quality or state of being decent; propriety; proper observance of modesty, good taste, etc. Socially proper actions. Things needed for a proper standard of living. That begins with the parents being responsible for their childrens upbringing

How has our country become so depraved? I feel a big part of it is the breakdown in the family - families getting away from the Lord - making money and "things" more important than the most important thing they can give their children and that's a ~~relationship~~ relationship with the Lord.

We have movies and shows on TV that are so depraved and I'm sure millions of children have access to all kind of ~~filth~~ filth and see it so much it becomes a way of life for them and their children, etc.

It seems our country has got away from what is right and what is wrong and our media feeds on the lack of knowledge that parents seem to have missed: that we all have the Lord who wants to be a part of our lives and decisions we all make.

If my letter helps just one person to look to the Lord for help and guidance I will feel blessed.

Please get this knowledge out to all churches and just maybe they'll see the need to share the love of God and turn our country, our media, our families ~~around~~ around and become the Godly Nation we once were.

In prayer -
Betty Brown

Received & Inspected

APR 15 2013

FCC Mail Room

19 Santa Maria Way
Port St Lucie, FL 34952-2356

April 7, 2013

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington DC 20554

Dear FCC:

I have recently learned that you are considering giving permission for brief
nudity and also the use of language generally unacceptable on television.

I am adamantly opposed to brief nudity or any indecency on television. I am
also opposed to the use of offensive language. Please do not permit any
further assaults on decency on public viewing.

Thank you.

Sincerely,


Mary Irving

Received & Inspected

APR 15 2013

FCC Mail Room

Anna M. Derry
1504 Aintree Drive
Rockville, Maryland 20850

April 9, 2013 ad

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20554

Re: GN Docket No. 13-86

Dear Sirs and Madams of the Commission:

It is with great admiration of your decency policies, I am asking you to keep them as such. In other words, no change to the policies.

I am tramatized by what I see and hear as an adult on media without increasing the vulgarity and full frontal nuditiy. The beautiful mystery of the body is reserved for husbands and wives, not the public. You show respect for the human body by keeping it off the air waves.

Using expletives shows ignorance. When these words are used, the speaker is void of smart thoughts, ideas or words. Expletives keep the speaker angry and pollutes the surroundings.

The Commission might consider how decency policies in your offices can be a role model to viewers and listeners.

Sincerely,



Anna M. Derry
Citizen and Taxpayer

/PR 15 2013

FCC Mail Room

Commissioner, Robert M. McDowell,

I write to you concerning an issue that I feel requires attention. As is written on your official website under Consumer Topics: Indecency and Obscenity, "Congress gives the FCC responsibility for administratively enforcing laws prohibiting the airing of obscene programming at any time and the airing of indecent programming or profane language during certain hours. In response to a complaint, the FCC may revoke a station license, impose a monetary forfeiture or issue a warning if a station airs obscene, indecent or profane material." I find Victoria's Secret commercials to be indecent and inappropriate to be aired at times that children will be watching T.V.

I write as a college student. I am not a mother worried about her son watching these commercials and being exposed to sexual material he cannot comprehend and shouldn't have to until he is developmental ready. I am not a mother worried about her daughter seeing these models, scantily clad, and wondering why her body doesn't look like her, curious as to why the model is given so much attention. I am not a mother right now... but I will be. I find these commercials to be offensive and degrading. They portray women as objects, decorated with little material and celebrated for their bodies. These messages are not messages that should be aired by major broadcast stations, on daytime television for the purpose of commercializing. They should be regulated

I understand that everything cannot be regulated. This is a media saturated world, and children will have to be taught to be smart and cautions consumers of the media. I wish more parents would understand their active role to promote these behaviors. But – I do feel that policies could be put into place, to safe guard children from indecent material. It is true that you don't see commercials for condoms during daytime airing hours. The way Victoria's Secret portrays their "underwear" is just as much related to sex as a condom.

It may be a very conservative stance, but it is a stance. The line of what is acceptable and what is not has slowly been dissolved and, now, has nearly vanished. We can choose the stations we watch, and we can choose the T.V. programs we watch, but we do not always have the control to choose what commercials come on. It is a place for the FCC to step in help safe guard our children.

If it is truly your given responsibility to regulate such material that comes on the television, then step up. It is not decent or appropriate for these commercials to be shown by major broadcast companies, during daytime television hours.

Respectfully,
Saige Goff Dickson

04-08-2013

Paula Kennedy
6339 Laguna Villa Way
Elk Grove, CA 95758

Received & Inspected
APR 15 2013

Consumer Informal Complaint, Proceeding 13-86

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communication Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

To Whom It May Concern:

I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting."

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely,



Paula Kennedy

A-J Helton

April 8, 2013

TO: FCC

RE: Possible changes in Decency regulations on TV and Radio-
Effect on Women and Children

Received & Inspected

APR 15 2013

FCC Mail Room

FROM: Anne Helton, RN,BSN,MS
11114 Cedarhurst Dr.
Houston, Texas 77096

PLEASE do NOT further decay our children and families by allowing any further form of nudity or foul language on Television or Radio.

It is terrible enough to see what children can watch now and how "conditioned" they have become to the sexualization of our culture and the lower of language standards.

Any further nudity or sexually explicit programing will mostly effect the treatment of women and children, particularly since there is also so much violence in programming. The young brain connects this exposure and it can become the method of arousal which leads to more violence and degradation of women as sex objects. "Gutter" language is all over the music children hear and videos they watch; they do not need more exposure to it!

Foul language and sexually explicit programming is also all over Cable programs and the Internet. I am sure you realize that it is difficult enough for Parents to raise children with morals and boundaries, please do not add to this problem with any FCC changes.

It is not the FCC's job to help Producers of this type programming to make more money....and that is all this is about!!

Thank you.



Received & Inspected

APR 15 2013

FCC Mail Room

88 Trumbull Dr.
Hudson, OH 44236
4/8/13

To whom it may concern:

Let's face it. Morals and decency in the U.S. are in a downward spiral. Please don't make the situation worse by changing the current standards. They are already too lenient for my tastes.

I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting."

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Georgette Romito".

Georgette Romito

32070 Bonhill Drive
Salisbury, MD 21804
April 8, 2012

Received & Inspected

APR 15 2013

Federal Communications Commission (FCC)
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Mail Room

Re: Proceeding Number 13-86

Dear Sir or Madam:

I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting."

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Furthermore, the FCC should consider more restrictions in those areas in order to protect the innocence of children, especially since they are getting a steady diet of violence, explicit sexual content, rude comments, and inappropriate behavior on a daily basis. You can look to many of the programs on TV now for the problems with society today. What is the value of programming such as "The Following", "Cult", and "Banshee" just to name a few. Those in charge really need to rethink what they are feeding society, and the long term effects of such programming.

Sincerely,



Ruth A. Caruso

April 8, 2013
720 Country Club Drive
Beloit, Kansas 67446

Received & Inspected

APR 15 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St. SW
Washington, DC 20554

I am writing regarding proposed changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow radio stations and television to air nudity and expletives on public airways.

The Supreme Court has confirmed your authority to enforce current policy regarding this issue, please think about the millions of adults who would be very offended and the children even more so affected.

Please reject all proposals that would allow the broadcasts of expletives and nudity on our public airways!

Thank you,


Joyce Thompson
rthompson@nckcn.com

May 10, 2013

Dear Secretary of the Federal Communications Commission,

Received & Inspected

APR 15 2013

FCC Mail Room

In reference to GN Docket No. 13-86 I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting."

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Kinsey

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street S.W.
Washington, DC. 20554

Received & Inspected

APR 6 2013

FCC Mail Room

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am currently incarcerated at the Maryland Correctional Institution in Hagerstown, Maryland.

Recently, the Maryland Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services contracted G.T.L. (Global Tele-Links) Telephone Services to provide a telephone system for the Maryland prisons.

Since the installation of the new phone system, there is a consistent and constant problem. For example, the telephone voice recognition system fails to recognize my voice when trying to make a phone call; money is removed from my institutional account and placed into the G.T.L.'s account for the use of the pre-paid account however, I am denied access to use of the pre-paid account; constantly being disconnected from my telephone conversation whenever there is a pause in the conversation; not being able to call family and friends who do not have a contract with G.T.L.; The phone system shutting down because it cannot process, simultaneously, the abundance of phone calls during the same time period.

Unfortunately, this new phone system has added much unnecessary stress and tension amongst the overall inmate population which is already under stress.

In this regard, I am respectfully requesting that a representative from your office investigate my array of problems with G.T.L. (Global Tele-Links), with the hope of correcting the problems and any others that may not be included herein.

In closing, I thank you in advance for your prompt attention into this very important and delicate matter.

Napoleon Garrett #195-177

Maryland Correctional Institution-Hagerstown
18601 Roxbury Road
Hagerstown, Maryland. 21746

MAN JULIUS GENACHOWSKI

PUBLIC COMMENTS

445 12TH ST. SW

WASHINGTON, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

APR 16 2013

FCC Mail Room

April 10, 2013

RE: OUTRAGEOUS PRISON

TELEPHONE COSTS

DEAR CHAIRMAN GENACHOWSKI,

I AM WRITING ON BE HALF OF MYSELF, MY FAMILY WHO SUPPORTS ME, AND THE MANY, MANY OTHER INCARCERATED INDIVIDUALS AND THEIR FAMILIES. WHEN I WENT TO PRISON IN JAN/2012, I SPENT 6 WEEKS IN COUNTY JAIL WAITING TRANSPORT TO A DOC FACILITY. DURING THIS TIME IT WAS NECESSARY TO SPEAK WITH MY WIFE TWICE A DAY, AS I STILL HAD AN OPERATING BUSINESS, AS WELL AS TO SPEAK WITH MY RECENTLY WIDOWED FATHER ONE PER WEEK. DURING THAT 6 WEEK PERIOD MY FAMILY SPENT ALMOST \$1000 ON PHONE TIME & SET UP FEES. THE CALLS ARE ONLY 15 MINUTES LONG AND 1/2 HOUR DOES NOT GIVE A GREAT DEAL OF TIME TO DISCUSS BUSINESS, MUCH LESS KEEP RELATIONSHIPS GOING.

Now THAT I AM "SETTLED" IN A DOC FACILITY, I STILL PAY OVER \$300 PER MONTH FOR THE SAME AMOUNT OF CALLS. THE MOST EXPENSIVE CELL PHONE PLAN WITH UNLIMITED CALLS IS A FRACTION OF THAT PRICE. PLEASE DO NOT JUDGE THOSE OF US WHO

→

Received & Inspected

11 15 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Sirs

I am sick of all the filth on TV, even filthy commercials. The language and the sexual situations are disgusting. Not to mention all the homosexual/lesbian propaganda on every channel on every show on TV, including the commercials, even home repair shows. All shoving this filthy agenda down our throats. It is getting where we can only watch a very few shows and we sit with the remote in our hands because we can't trust you to do your job and filter this filth out or better stop it. Where are the decency standards????

Now I hear that you may drop standards even more and allow more filthy language and even nudity. What is wrong with you people? I am an adult and I don't want to hear the f-word or see nudity on TV, let alone children to hear and see this perversion. I just want good decent shows. Nudity and filthy language is not necessary to make a show good. MTV a perfect example of this filth and perversion. On the TV show "the Americans" there was not only nudity but realistic sexual acts that was not necessary. This was pornography. When a couple enters a bedroom and closes the door, we know what they are doing. This is a good show and didn't need this at all. Shame on you for not stopping the airing.

Our country is corrupt enough without you corrupting it more. I am mad as hell. If you allow more filth on TV (and radio) I might as well throw out my TV. What is wrong with you people?

Sincerely,



Mrs. Janice Hefley

Address on envelope

Received & Inspected

APR 15 2013

FCC Mail Room

(Mrs.) Karen Grant
1440 Millview Drive
Batavia, IL 60510

kgmillview@att.net

Re: Proceeding Number 13-86

Since the internet seems exceptionally slow this evening the USPS seems the best alternative to register a comment with you.

I support the current FCC indecency policies that prohibit expletives on public radio and TV stations, and frontal nudity on television, even if it is brief or "fleeting".

I believe the Supreme Court has upheld the FCC's authority to support these policies, especially at times when children or families are likely to be watching/listening. Is there any question that we need to continue to be responsible as a society to preserve childhood and encourage decency in our culture?

Changing the current policy would not serve the public interest.

Thank you for registering this opinion; a privilege in our free society.


Karen Grant

Mandy Letort
12881 Woodland Circle
Biloxi, MS 39532

Received & Inspected
APR 16 2013
FCC Mail Room

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

April 10, 2013

To Whom It May Concern,

Please accept this letter as a comment in regards to the review of the Commission's broadcast indecency policies and enforcement.

It is my desire to see that the current policy stay in effect as it is and not be changed in any way. My husband and I have a three-year-old daughter. We don't use vulgar language around her and I would prefer that she not hear it on the television either! My daughter has never seen the breasts of another woman other than myself and I would prefer that she not see that on the television as well.

I don't feel that a change in the current policy would add to viewing pleasure and would only make it harder on families across the country as they have to spend time ensuring that young eyes and ears do not see or hear things that they do not understand. My daughter is at the age where she repeats and talks about much of what she see and hears right now. Children have an amazing ability to repeat things in the correct context even though they do not understand what they are saying.

I humbly ask you to consider my above comments about the proposed change in the indecency policy. A change in the policy could have a negative effect on broadcasting companies as many people may stop watching certain programming if the policy changes. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,


Mandy Letort

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE _____

13-86

Received & Inspected

APR 16 2013

FCC Mail Room

April 10, 2012

Commission Secretary, Office of the Secretary

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street, SW

Washington, DC 20554

To Whom It May Concern:

I received an email which stated you wanted to know what *we the public* feel about cussing and nude scenes on TV. I know it may seem like everyone and their brother is using the F word but I know lots of people who don't. I don't cuss; I can always find better words to express myself, so I have no need for foul language. Unfortunately, TV has taken a real nose dive. I watch TV to be entertained and hearing cuss words and see nudity is not entertainment, and I'm NOT into porn.

Please raise higher standards; there are millions of people who want family oriented, more wholesome programming.

Please continue to beep out profanity, and for Pete's sake, let's keep nudity in our private homes and not on TV.

Thank you,



Brenda K. Grelock

42751 E. Florida Ave., Sp. 176

Hemet, CA 92544

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

13-86

Received & Inspected

APR 16 2013

FCC Mail Room

*Pastor Regina Brent
Extended Hands of Jesus Ministry*



*1956 Winrock Blvd. Apartment Number 347
Houston, Texas 77057
Phone (713) 782-1976
Cell (713) 401-4653
Exthands.rb@att.net*

April 11, 2013

*Commission's Secretary, Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554*

Regarding: The Use of Offensive Language in the Media

I love classic movies because there was either no or very little use of offensive language. Back then, I honored the integrity and moral values of the media. The women dressed modestly and were very elegant. Today some (not all) people refuse to change from their immoral use of language and lifestyle. I pray for them. It is unnecessary! Some of the most famous classics of all times over the centuries were movies without language in them. "Sound of Music," "The Wizard of Oz", etc. TV Shows like "Father Knows Best", "The Dick Van Dyke Show", "Gunsmoke", "Perry Mason", etc.

Profanity destroys the life of entertainment and serves no purpose but to teach young people how to speak offensive language to their parents, teachers, and classmates who fall under the same influence.

I am asking you to bring back clean entertainment and fun as regards to Movies and Television and God will prosper your efforts.

Thank you. Divinely Inspired,

Pastor Regina Brent

*Pastor Regina Brent
Extended Hands of Jesus Ministry*

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

9 April 2013

Received & Inspected

APR 16 2013

FCC Mail Room

GN Docket No. 13-86
Commission's Secretary
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW,
Washington, DC 20554



RE: Loosening of Rules regarding Nudity and Expletives on Broadcast Television and Radio.

Mr./Ms. Secretary:

It has come to my attention that the FCC is considering the loosening of current rules regarding the airing of nudity and offensive language (expletives) on broadcast television and radio, even if such viewing or language is brief or fleeting.

The relaxing of such rules of decency I find to be reprehensible and catering to the basest of human morals, social courtesy and interpersonal respect. To allow a government agency to relax such rules of decency and social sensitivity would be tantamount to not just tolerating such expressions and display but would be viewed as encouraging it as acceptable behavior and communication.

- Such actions and communication on our public airwaves bows to the coarsest element of societal interaction and would have a profound impact on not only interpersonal and public communication but human behavior itself.

To accept the arguments by broadcast media that their programming does not "affect" public behavior but only "reflects" it is completely hypocritical as those same media representatives actively court advertisers for their advertising dollars to pay for their programming with the assertion that those dollars will, indeed, impact public behavior by causing viewers and listeners to act upon their commercial messages.

To loosen current rules for decency will not satisfy those who promote such action. If they were to prevail by winning their bid to loosen current rules of broadcast decency they would continue to come back again and again with increased demands for ever lower and lower rules knowing the standards are negotiable.

To remain a free, respectful and safe society, particularly (but not solely) for the rearing and training of the next generation, we must hold ourselves and each other to a higher standard, to promote and encourage the best within our natures, not the basest.

Uphold the current rules of decency and clearly communicate them and consistently enforce them.

Thank you,

Kevin W. Nelson

224 Lake Lowell
Nampa ID 83686
800 388-3307
FAX 208 466-6562

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

0

April 10, 2013

Received & Inspected

APR 17 2013

F.C.C.

FCC Mail Room

445 12th Street SW

Washington, DC 20554

RE: Changes in Indecency Standards

Dear Sirs.

We oppose any changes that allow expletives and nudity on public airwaves. We would prefer for you to implement stricter standards than are currently being allowed.

Relaxing the current policy does not serve the public's best interest and we urge you to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations. Our children and homes are being destroyed by all the filth and violence that is spreading like cancer across this once great Nation. Please do your part to insure America's survival.

Sincerely yours,

Handwritten signatures of Bill and Rhonda McMartin. The signature on the left is "Bill McMartin" and the signature on the right is "Rhonda McMartin".

Bill and Rhonda McMartin

P.O. Box 29925

Bellingham, WA 98228

6693 S. West County Line Rd.
Greenville, MI 48838
April 6, 2013

Received & Inspected

APR 12 2013

FCC Mail Room

Commissioner's Secretary
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
44 5 12th St. SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: GN Docket No. 13-86

Please do not relax the indecency standard any more than it already is. Our society is already too far removed from Biblical standards of morals and decency set by God.

We urge the FCC to uphold high decency standards in entertainment—the business of government is to protect and defend, and to aid parents in protecting and defending their children. By relaxing the indecency standard even more, you are not protecting society.

Go after those who are responsible for such smut on the airwaves—don't cave in to them by lowering the standards.

Thank you.



Tom & Candy Schmiedicke

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Having the equipment and service at the ready is no good unless you know how to use it. We provide complementary training to get you up and running. Through live and/or virtual training, we'll make sure all users are successful when using our video conferencing solutions.

h323HD, Inc. provides our customers with the ability to fully outsource their management and support of video conferencing to an experienced and trained staff. Through our tools, personnel and network connectivity, we remotely monitor and manage video conferencing services to ensure they are at their optimal levels, which allows you to focus on conducting your business.

h323HD, Inc. is in the business of implementing and configuring Video Conferencing Endpoints and Video Conference Infrastructure hardware, creating a robust Video Conference Network for your company in a better, faster and smarter way is just the beginning of your first steps toward going green.



374 Avenue of the Americas

New York, N.Y. 10011

h323hd@h323hd.com

Phone 866.264.4844

Fax 866.737.6402

www.h323hd.com

Doing Business Better, Faster & Smarter

h323HD, Inc. is an audio visual consulting firm and technical services provider specializing in the design and implementation of integrated audio/visual systems. h323HD, Inc. has been providing outstanding, reliable technology services to our valuable clientele over the years. Let us help you design and implement a cutting-edge Video Conference strategy for your business that will give you a competitive advantage in today's market. So why consider calling h323HD, Inc.? to get you on the right track to success.

OUR SERVICES

Video Conference Engineering

Video Conference Infrastructure Configurations

Video Conference Room Install

Onsite and Remote Services

Consultation and Concept

Conference Room Audio & Video Install

Installation and Design

Engineering and Operation

May 10, 2013


Dear Secretary of the Federal Communications Commission,

In reference to GN Docket No. 13-86 I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting."

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Marilyn Kinsey".

May 10, 2013

Dear Secretary of the Federal Communications Commission,

In reference to GN Docket No. 13-86 I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting."

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Kinsey



Received & Inspected

APR 15 2013

FCC Mail Room

4/9/2013

To: F.C.C. ^{GN} Re: Docket # 13-86

We urge you to reject any changes proposed to the current broadcast decency law that prohibits expletives and nudity, even brief or fleeting.

The standards you have upheld pertaining to indecency allowed by TV and radio stations must be maintained.

Sincerely,
Tom + Margi Dneis
W2775 Pine Rd,
Eleva, WI 54738



April 8, 2013

Responding to FCC wishes to hear public opinion re the possibility of allowing "brief" nudity, more profanity on TV.

PLEASE, for the love of God and the sake of our children and our own moral health, DO NOT cave in to public opinion, producers or others who are eager to further erode our values.

Hold the line on decency.

Rosalyn Evans

Received & Inspected

APR 15 2013

FCC Mail Room

First Baptist Church of Cocoa Beach

Ken Babington, Pastor

April 10, 2013

Received & Inspected

APR 16 2013

FCC

FCC Mail Room

PLEASE DO NOT CONTINUE TO LOWER
THE STANDARDS OF DECENCY ON
PRIME TIME TELEVISION. A SHOW
DOES NOT NEED MORE NUILITY OR
PROFANITY TO BE GOOD. IT NEEDS
GOOD CONTENT - SCRIPT AND ACTING.
NUILITY AND PROFANITY ARE NOT GOOD
FOR TELEVISION AUDIENCE.

Thank you

Ken Babington

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

830 S. Atlantic Ave., Cocoa Beach FL 32931 (321) 783-2844
pastorken719@yahoo.com