

Scott and Jennifer Huggins
23131 Heatherbrae Way
Novi, MI 48375

Received & Inspected
APR 25 2013
FCC Mail Room

April 19, 2013

RE: Proceeding # 13-86


Dear Sir or Madam:

I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting."

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely,


Scott and Jennifer Huggins

22909 W Roy Rogers Court
Wittmann AZ 85361

April 18, 2013

Received & Inspected

APR 25 2013

FCC Mail Room

Office of the FCC Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St, SW
Washington DC 20554

Dear Secretary:

I am writing regarding GN Docket No. 13-86. I understand the commission is considering changing what is going to be allowed on television and over the airwaves. I want to let you know I am very much against hearing the "f" word, the "s" word and any more swear words; and I am also against seeing nudity on television. I enjoy television and avoid the programs which have these. I do not want to be offended when I am watching a sitcom. I do NOT like hearing the words "penis" or "vagina" but it seems these words are acceptable now. It would appear to me the writers should be able to use more imagination and the viewers would understand what is meant, without using words that so many people are offended by. I would hope the writers have a large enough vocabulary that would prevent viewers from being subjected to these words.

Please do not allow more vulgarity than we are already experiencing . I just learned about this GN Docket No. 13-86 and I know there are many others who would be writing to you if this had been made known to the public.

Thank you for your time and attention to this subject which is very important.

Sincerely,



Nancy M. Giebner

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

0

16 April 2013

FCC Headquarters
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554.

Federal Communications Commission Comment
GN Docket No. 13-86.

To whom it may concern:

I find myself dismayed that once again, in the name of efficiency, and to reduce a backlog, standards of decency are being relaxed. I am certain that if once again, the "occasional" crude and disgusting use of language is ignored, and nudity allowed at will if it doesn't lead to sex, eventually, all will be allowed in the name of free speech and my "community standard" will be overridden by the vulgar "artist." And I use the term artist with great derision.

It was not that long ago, that the use of the word "damn" had to receive a special exemption by the motion picture industry for the film, Gone with the Wind, a so-called onetime need in a extraordinary moment. Now, I think that only a few films manage to be profanity free. It is a weak and feeble mind that can find no other outlet for emotion than profanity. And it is even sadder that the only way to capture and maintain attention is through nudity.

Can we not request that just a few public channels be held to a standard of verbiage and modesty that allows the public to expect some degree of refinement? Even the media might be able to learn that there are real world effects of actions, unlike the nonsense that is put into scripts by writers and special effects gurus, who are able to ignore the realities of life to further a plot line. Rather than lowering the bar, I would request that the fines be increased, perhaps dramatically. If it were very expensive to let loose with such language or "wardrobe malfunction", taken out of the profits of the stations that permit such activity and the "personalities" that use it, I would think that eventually the rate of such language and accidents would diminish. Such "personalities" would find that they are not welcome on the public airwaves, and would either reconsider their vulgarities, or go to the cable channels that have no such standards.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,



Susan E. Maas
6576 Hillsgate Ct.
Newburgh, IN 47630
812-518-4153

Received & Inspected

APR 25 2013

FCC

FCC Mail Room

Washington DC
20554

Paul MacBrien
4862 Victoria Ave SE
Kentwood MI 49548

April 20 2013

To whom it concerns :

Please Keep the present
standards of
decency + language.

Or even raise the
bar.

More clothing and
less swearing, not
taking God's name (OMG)
in vain - would be
better

Sincerely
Paul MacBrien