

To the FCC:

In Regards to
Docket 13-86

As a parent, I
request that you
do not relax your
standards for
broadcast t.v.,
Radio and Internet.

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Contribute to
More homelessness

Kathy
Oliver

Received in the Republic for
of America

APR 29 2013 April 23, 2013

FCC Mail Room
1087 Ember Ave
Oshkosh, WI 53910

To:
The F.C.C.

I am writing in regarding
Docket # 13-86.

As we understand it, changes
in the present standards that we
now have for regular T.V. viewing,
will change, so I hear. The
changes would allow nudity, in-
decency, pornography and pro-
fanity is what will be accept-
able if the new standards go
through.

I can't believe the F.C.C. would
allow this to happen and hopefully

I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States

God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

which it stands, one Nation under

Rating 13-86

The marks of America need
to feel on public opinion to
become America strong.

Let me advise (think) a
society of great moral power.
Let me advise and believe and
quantities upon some (words
and actions).

Regarding your stance on
David Ortiz's speech at
Fenway Park, Thank you!
You've given me the okay to
say "Stop fucking with my
daughter" when I see kids
picking on my daughter at the
school pick-up area. It will
be said from the heart too!
So it is okay! Thanks -

Received & Inspected

13-86

APR 29 2013

April 18, 2013

FCC Mail Room

Marlene H. Dortch, Sec.
FCC Commissioner
Office of the Secretary
445 12th St. SW
Room TW-B204
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Madam:

I oppose any changes
to the current FCC indecency
standards that would allow
television and radio stations to
broadcast expletives and nudity
on the public airwaves even if
brief or "fleeting."

No. of Copies rec'd
LIST ABCDE

0

Sincerely,
Maysie F. Starn
43977 Willow Lane
Monroe City, Mo. 63456

Received & Inspected

April 20-13

APR 29 2013

6274 Clima Dr.

Plamath Falls, Ore.

FCC Mail Room

97603

Commissions Secretary

Office of the Secretary

Federal Communications Comm.

445 - 12th Street S.W.

Washington D.C. 20554

Dear Madam:

We are definitely against
Ruling 13-86 - that would expand
nudity and profanity on primetime television

In fact there is way to
much of it on the television all the time.

A large number of our family
and friends are against it also.

Thank You for the chance to say so.

Charles & Jill Swetler

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

0

Docket 13-86

Do not relax the indecency standards.

The law says "No" to nudity and "No" to profanity.

Received & Inspected

FEB 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

GN Docket
No. 13-86



Received & Inspected


APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Please don't add any more nudity
or profanity to our T.V. Its
hard enough for parents to raise
children in this immoral world.
Nudity + Swearing are in the same
class + neither should be allowed.

Carol A. Haskin

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE



Received & Inspected

FEB 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

106 W. Martin Rd
Coolidge, Az 85128
April 22, 2013

Dear FCC Members,

Please do not
lower the current
FCC indecency standards.

Sincerely,

Celia Garcia

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

Huron SD. 57350

FCC Mail Room

Apr. 23, 2013

Dear Commissioner

I am writing about relaxing the rules about Relaxing or changing the indecency rules on public T.V. and also cable T.V. You should not relax the rules. They should be strengthened. I am very disgusted with what is being shown on T.V., even on family hours. All the murders, sex and other perversion is leading to the downfall of our youth and all ages. Porn is wrong to be on T.V. and internet.

I don't watch much T.V. because of the content of the shows.

Thank you.

Dulene Fitzmaurice

221 21st SE.

Huron SD 57350

Apr. 24, 2013

Ref # 13-86

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

To Whom It May Concern :

FCC Mail Room

Please accept my letter of concern pertaining to the FCC decreasing decency guidelines pertaining to radio and television programs. Currently most shows on TV lack appropriate decency standards. We as a nation have discarded values that would promote positive values, good problem solving and good behavior on the part of our young people. We have accepted indecent programming, violent video games etc as a norm. Certainly this has been an influence on some of the terrible acts that have recently occurred. Please do not continue this avenue of immorality and violence.

Remember your children, grandchildren and great-grandchildren are affected also.

Thank you.

Elle Hallway

POB4

Verona, Md. 21869

Received & Inspected

APR 9 2013

FCC Mail Room

April 22, 2013

Dear Sir/Ma'am;
I have retired from Homeland
Security and I am humbly
ask to vote against Docket
1386. I have seen many
Pedophiles and this bill will
only entice them. AS a mother,
Please Vote against this.
Sincerely, *Jovina Apana*

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Apr 22, 2013,

Dear Sir or Ma'am, We should be able turn on our Television with wholesome, Non-Violent, non-obscene, and no language that is foul or bad like a sailor. I will boycott all advertisers that promote the above mentioned. Thank you.

Pasquena Olson-Coffman

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

F.C.C.

Sirs and Ladies

Let's clean up all
T.V., Radio, & any
communications that

continue to us profanity

Please do not drop current
decency standards,

Thanks

Elaine Karmenzak

A NOTE FROM

C. J. Ayers

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

118 E. 9th St.

FCC Mail Room Millville, NJ 08456

The FCC
Washington, DC

I'm writing in regards to Docket 13-86 in regards to comments on opening network TV to indecency and nudity. This is absolutely disgusting and shouldn't be allowed. There are laws which have been on the books for many years but since Eric Holder has been in charge of the "Injustice" Department, zero of these laws have been enforced. This man should be taken out of that Department so that true justice may once more be forthcoming as it was in earlier years.

Please do what's right and start enforcing the laws so that our homes can return to some semblance of decency in what we view and hear on network TV. May I please have a response to this letter after a decision is made in regards to this issue.

Thank you,
Carol J. Ayers

FCC
445 12th St. SW
Washington, DC
20554

Received & Inspected

FEB 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

To Whom the May Concerns,

Proceeding # 13-86

"I oppose any changes to
the current FCC indecency
standards that would allow
TV and radio stations to
broadcast expletives and nudity
on public airwaves, even if brief
or "fleeting".

"Judgment Day will come."

Sincerely,
Mary Ann Kessler

Let us not pollute the minds
of America with the filth of
immorality.

Ruling 13-86 would largely
further taint the morals of
our citizens.

I strongly disapprove
of Ruling 13-86.

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

FCC Mail Room

Please keep the
highest standards
for ~~porn~~ sex,
vulgar language
etc,

There is enough
horribleness
in the world
Please don't

13-86

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"— especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely

Printed Name:

Address:

Norma Reyes
PO Box 11531 W French
Casa Grande AZ
85122

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

0

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

TO: AT & T, Atlanta. Georgia


FROM: Hector R. Romero, Acct. No. 956-686-9310 520 7

6901 N. Peking St. McAllen, Tx 78504

Date: April 24, 2013

I am enclosing payment in the amount of \$82.61 which is the amount given to me by a Customer Service Rep (Maria, California) after I challenged the original \$98.18. It appears that without my consent AT&T allowed a company by the name of Zoom-I-Net.com to take over my long distance service. I was never contacted by either AT7T or Zoom Net to seek my agreement to such change. I cannot understand why a company with the reputation of AT&T will resort to this type of business practice. If I call AT&T with a problem, they will ask for my password and if I do not have one or do not remember it, they will tell me that they cannot handle the issue. The question then is, how come AT&T will allow another company to take over my long distance service without a password? I am really very upset with this type of business practice.

A copy of this letter is being sent to the FCC 445 12th St. SW., Washington DC 20554.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Hector R. Romero". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "H".

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

4/22/13

I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that will allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting."

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely,

Laura Brown

Dianne Anderson
78823 Lovgren Woodshore Rd
Ortonville, MN 56278

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

GN Docket No: 13-86

I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting."

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Dianne Anderson

No. of Copies rec'd 0+2
List ABCDE

Dianne Anderson
78823 Lovgren Woodshore Rd
Ortonville, MN 56278

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

GN Docket No: 13-86

I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting."

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Dianne Anderson

Dianne Anderson
78823 Lovgren Woodshore Rd
Ortonville, MN 56278

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

GN Docket No: 13-86

I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting."

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Dianne Anderson

13-86

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Mrs. June L. Grubb
215 S. Oak St.
Monroe City, MO 63456

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-B204
Washington, DC 20554

To Whom It May Concern,

I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and Radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting".

Sincerely,



June Grubb

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE

0

APR 29 2013

13-86

FCC Mail Room

Charles Grubb
215 S. Oak St.
Monroe City, MO 63456

April 22, 2013

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street, SW
Room TW-B204
Washington, DC 20554

To Whom It May Concern,

I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting".

Sincerely,



Charles Grubb

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

13-86

827 Linden Pl., Idaho Falls, ID 83401
20 April 2013

Received & Inspected
APR 29 2013
FCC Mail Room

Marlene H. Dortch
Office of the Secretary, FCC
445-12th St. SW
Rm. TW-B204
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Ms. Dortch:

May I submit the following comment to the FCC, regarding not broadening its obscenity rules:

FCC:

Because we want to keep our homes safe from invasion by words and actions we deem indecent, I request the FCC to continue to enforce their present decency standards. In my particular situation, I choose to watch public broadcasting (PBS) or BYU-Television because both bring much beauty and understanding along with entertainment, often lacking in mainstream television. . Although society in general may have accepted a low standard of language and conduct, as compared to our Judeo-Christian roots and the culture of most other religions, I am glad that the FCC has so far held to a better standard of decency in language and pictures. I am treated respectfully when a PBS News Hour anchor acknowledges that a view about to be shown may be offensive to some. This is a courtesy to viewers. Also we older viewers choose to turn off the television or radio rather than to see or hear offensive scenes or words. We grandparents also care about the youth and children and want to teach them values of our American heritage. Let's not give up on decency.

Please do not broaden the use of profanity and other indecent language and scenes in broadcasting.

Your response will be appreciated.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mary Jane Fritzen
Mary Jane Fritzen

(208-522-3185 ; mfritzen@Ida.net)

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Received & Inspected

13-86

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

04-21-13

Monroe City, M

FCCC:

I oppose any change to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting".

Thank You
Albert and Emmy Ely

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

13-86

April 20, 2013

**Commission's Secretary, Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554**

Received & Inspected
APR 29 2013
FCC Mail Room

Dear FCC Secretary,

Thank you for requesting public comment on cursing and nudity in public broadcasting. My opinion is that any cursing, swearing, nudity or inappropriate behavior on television or in the movies is too much.

In respect to freedom of speech, I fully support the right of an individual to hold different values than me, and to express them in the public forum. The problem with broadcasting, however, is the power to instantaneously publish images and sounds directly into the minds and ears of those watching, sometimes even involuntarily, depending on how long it takes to push the "off" button. There are those of us who want to keep our minds as pure as possible. I personally also believe that children, especially, are harmed when they observe adults behaving in ways they know are wrong, especially when that behavior goes unpunished, or even laughed at. It creates ambivalence, like a double standard, that children, well into their adolescent years, struggle to reconcile. It makes it very difficult to impart clear values to children, and to teach them what is right and wrong, how to behave properly toward others, when inappropriate language and behavior is sponsored.

When freedom of speech was first enshrined into our Constitution as a basic human right, people could cover their ears, walk away, choose not to listen, disagree out loud, control the impact of speech and impose sanctions on those who behaved improperly, or spoke words that harmed children and violated the rights of others. We have no such power in broadcasting. Other than choosing to turn the television off, or alternatively never watching television, we cannot stop the flood of violence, foul language, and inappropriate sexual behavior that constantly streams into our homes. This is especially disturbing when the programming is supposed to be 'family oriented,' such as a sporting event.

Another area of concern is around a perhaps unintended impact of violence and disrespectful speech or suggestive sexual material broadcast on television and in films. Many studies have been done on the addictive nature of violence and pornography. We know that, when violence and sexual perversion are the source of stimulation, greater and greater amounts and more and more violent and/or sexual images are needed to provide the same level of stimulation. For some weak-minded individuals, the continual viewing of strong sexual, violent and disrespectful images and speech can lead to acting out behaviors and fantasies. We see this frequently being reported in the news. It may be the cause of some of the most heinous violent crimes in recent history.

I have a question. Is our society better off now than it was 50 years ago? Are families better off? Are children better off? Apparently not.

I recently read an article describing America as near the bottom when ranked against other nations in the welfare of its families. Could the way we have allowed the basest disregard of godly values and the

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

22 April 2013

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: GN Docket No. 13-86

Gentlemen:

Please be advised that we are extremely concerned, very disappointed, and most unhappy that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is even considering changing its position on indecency standards with regard to television programming on national broadcast television. We are requesting that you DO NOT change your stance and allow isolated expletives (more graphic language) and isolated non-sexual nudity (frontal nudity) on national broadcast television.

Regardless of what you have been told, there are lots of people in this country who DO NOT want that type of trash to enter our homes. The FCC has always been a guardian in preventing any intrusion of that kind. We respectfully request that you maintain that guardianship.

Television used to be a delight to watch with the whole family. We could even let our children watch it unsupervised and not worry about what they were seeing and hearing. Slowly the indecency standards have eroded until now we are faced with this dilemma. It would be a shame if one day soon we all had to turn off our televisions because of the objectionable, degrading, disrespectful, and disgusting material that is and will be broadcast.

We are, therefore, requesting that the FCC maintain its current broadcast indecency policies as they are.

Very truly yours,



M. June Higbee



Polly Larsen



Edward M. Higbee

Bryant Larsen



No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Commission's Secretary
Office of the Secretary
F C C
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Madame or Sir?

Re: Procedure 13-86 ; Docket # 1386 (regarding
indecent standards).

I am a university student in Rhode Island, and
I've studied nudity, pornography and obscenity in
the professional course of study.

The authors of standard texts in Human Sexuality
indicate that nudity and nonviolent "pornography"
actually contribute to public order. Violent pornography
contributes to criminal behavior.

Respectfully,

Raymond Dempsey, Jr
75 Marion Avenue
Providence, RI 02905-1322
(401) 808 6213

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

22 April 2013

Received & Inspected

13-86

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

April 23, 2013

To Whom This May Concern;

As Christians, parents, grandparents and great grandparents we feel there is already too much nudity, sexual behavior and foul language on airways and T.V. We do not like hearing or seeing so much of it and certainly don't want our grandchildren and great-grandchildren exposed to more of the profanity, sexual exposure and other disgraceful viewing and conversation.

We oppose any changes to the FCC standards.

Elsie Miller
Virgil Miller

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

13-86

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

Michaelle Peterson
4/23/13
154th St SE #3
Watertown SD
57201

Dear FCC ~~Docket 13-86~~ ^{FCC Mail Room}

It has been brought to my attention that there are plans to relax the current profanity and obscenity law as long as the profanity and obscenity is not repeated.

Dear FCC members, the profanity & obscenity law has not been enforced for some time now. My grandchildren were watching a CBS program suited for their ages and an advertisement for Two and a Half Men came on showing the two brothers in bed with one woman mostly naked in preintercourse behavior came on. I looked at my grandchildren with their eyes wide and glued to the television. I was horrified. I knew I could do nothing to erase those images that will be forever etched into their little memories.

By not enforcing the current laws you have taken my freedom and rights to protect my family from immoral scenes that they view and may act upon. You ~~do~~ ^{must} know children immitate what they see.

No. of Copies rec'd 1
11-48005

13-86

April 23, 2013

Commission's Secretary

Office of the Secretary

F.C.C.

445 12th St. S.W.

Washington, DC 20554


Received & Inspected
APR 29 2013
FCC Mail Room

I am writing this letter to voice what I feel is so important now in our nation. I understand you are considering allowing stronger language and more nudity over the air ways. I believe that this should not happen because of the effect this would have on children, youth and families who are already struggling with raising decent children who understand honor and right behavior.

We do not need more curse words and nudity, if there are people who want this they can certainly go to movies and get all they want.

Thank you for your consideration of my letter.

Pat Terry



P. O. Box 1712

Willis, Texas 77378

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

13-86

4-22-13

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Gentlemen:

I OPPOSE ANY CHANGES TO THE
CURRENT INDECENCY STANDARDS THAT WOULD
ALLOW TELEVISION AND RADIO STATIONS TO
BROADCAST EXPLETIVES AND NUDITY ON
THE PUBLIC AIRWAVES, EVEN IF BRIEF
OR FLEETING.

James Rungen
15356 Wood Dr.
Monroe City, MO
63456

573 735-1277

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

0

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

I oppose any changes to the FCC indecency standards that would allow Television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting."

Alpha Jarnan

14304 Hwy J.

Monroe City - Mo 63456

James L. Jarnan

14304 Hwy J.

MONROE CITY MO. 63456

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

0

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

To: Federal Communications Commission and TV Parental Guidelines

Right now, millions of sexual violence survivors are at risk for re-traumatization from the television programs they watch. The title of the program and the information listed for the episode do not include information about a rape scene, or a content warning specific to sexual violence at the beginning of the show. Yet, in the past year, graphic scenes of sexual violence have appeared in *The Walking Dead*, *Girls*, *Silent Witness*, *Bates Motel*, *Game of Thrones*, and other programs.

There are TV ratings for violence, but not sexual violence -- which is a very specific, painful experience for millions of viewers. Statistics say that 1 in 5 American women will be sexually assaulted in her lifetime. These survivors deserve a warning.

A "Sexual Violence" warning could allow survivors to decide for ourselves whether we're feeling up to seeing something that could trigger traumatic memories of our own experiences. To have that peace of mind that at the end of a hard day, when you relax in front of the TV, you won't be pulled back to the worst night of your life. It will also allow families to decide what type of violent content they want to view.

Fortunately, there's an easy solution -- The FCC, via the TV Parental Guidelines, should create a "sexual violence" content warning at the beginning of any television show that will be airing an episode with sexual violence. The FCC has already designated "fantasy violence" as a subset of violent content that affects viewers differently than other forms of violence. They need to do the same for sexual violence.

We, the undersigned, are asking the FCC to create a "sexual violence" content warning, including a resource for survivors like the RAINN 24-Hour Hotline (800) 656-HOPE, to be shown before programs with scenes of sexual violence.

Sincerely,
Sherburne-Wright NOW
National Organization for Women

Pauline Wahl, 1766 Palisades Ave. NE, Otsego, MN 55333
Ann H. Wyse 9869 Idle Ave NE Monticello, MN 55362
Marie Smith, 604 Vine Lane, Monticello, MN 55362
Reve Yebra 9404 65th St NE, Albertville, MN 55301
Sara Bebeau 11096 50th Street NE, Albertville, MN 55301

Mary K. Raub
205 Brose Road
Cabot, PA 16023-9746

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

April 13, 2013

Federal Communication Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Controller:

I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting."

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC 's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Please, Please, Please - keep decency in dress and speech on the airwaves.

Sincerely,



Mary K. Raub

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Dear FCC,

4/22/13

On April 14, 2013, I saw
2 dressed men, sitting on edge
of a tub, with ft. in water, They were
fussing over something.

They both got up, kissed and
made up. Oh my God, I nearly fell
off the couch.

We, in this country, are being
conditioned through no jor, networks,
To view this as natural behaviors
~~IT~~ IS NOT!

You see enough of these types of programs
after a while, you begin to believe, its
normal.

It's not! It's Conditioning!
The here this is illegal, on TV,
Radio, etc, or it use to be.

Our young children are being
subjected to this kind of behavior, "as if"
its normal.

It's not!
I, and others, believe its a form
of pornography, because it's so explicit
in its content.

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely



Printed Name:

Laura Nava

Address:

703 W. Northern Ave
Coolidge AZ 85128

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Case #
GN Docket No. 13-86

On behalf of my family, DO NOT
allow changes to the current FCC Indecency
Standards. Our children, teens & we
adults should be able to view television
without the offensive Language & nudity.
From all of the comments I've read
on line, it seems there are many
thousands of us who feel the same
way. Please respect our right to
clean, decent television.

Thank you

Mrs. Virginia Chidester
and

Mr. Kelly Chidester

21 April 2013

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S W
Washington, D.C. 20554

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Reference Case Number: GN Docket No 13-86

Dear Sir:


I'm **against** allowing isolated expletives (more graphic language) and allowing isolated non-sexual nudity (described as frontal nudity) on national broadcast television.

I am against changing the document to allow offensive changes to the television programs that can be in our homes such as: allowing brief nudity, using names of Deity in vain, vulgar slang comments like the f... word, etc.

I strongly feel we must protect our homes against invasion of filth on the air waves.

Thank You

Sincerely,


Cleve S Bolingbroke

820 Briar Meadow Ave, Murray, Utah 84107 phone 801-268-0890

April 22, 2013

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S W
Washington, D.C. 20554

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

GN Docket No 13-86

Dear Sirs:

Relative to the above docket, I am opposed to any action of the Federal Communications Commission that would loosen any current restrictions on profanity, nudity, sexual content, etc. broadcast into America's homes.

Having recently attained "senior citizen" status, I have seen the degradation of broadcast standards over the past half century to a point where I am concerned very much for my children and their children in being able to find wholesome entertainment options in their homes. Those who wish to subscribe to the myriad of channels and other options that portray "real life" are welcome to do so, but please leave the rest of us with a small island of decency.

Yes, the "real world" is out there and we can't be sheltered forever, but let's not make it even harder for families to teach their children about how life ought to be lived versus the so-called "real" life. And, yes, I'm old-fashioned and maybe even out of touch with reality, but I've made it this far and, along the way, learned that decency and civility never go out of style.

Thank you for your consideration. Please keep the current rules – or even strengthen them.

Sincerely,



Jeffrey L. Jensen

April 22, 2013

Received & Inspected

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S W
Washington, D.C. 20554

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

GN Docket No 13-86

Dear Sirs:

Relative to the above docket, I am opposed to any action of the Federal Communications Commission that would loosen any current restrictions on profanity, nudity, sexual content, etc. broadcast into America's homes.

Having recently attained "senior citizen" status, I have seen the degradation of broadcast standards over the past half century to a point where I am concerned very much for my children and their children in being able to find wholesome entertainment options in their homes. Those who wish to subscribe to the myriad of channels and other options that portray "real life" are welcome to do so, but please leave the rest of us with a small island of decency.

Yes, the "real world" is out there and we can't be sheltered forever, but let's not make it even harder for families to teach their children about how life ought to be lived versus the so-called "real" life. And, yes, I'm old-fashioned and maybe even out of touch with reality, but I've made it this far and, along the way, learned that decency and civility never go out of style.

Thank you for your consideration. Please keep the current rules – or even strengthen them.

Sincerely,


Jeffrey L. Jensen

April 22, 2013

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S W
Washington, D.C. 20554

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

GN Docket No 13-86

Dear Sirs:

Relative to the above docket, I am opposed to any action of the Federal Communications Commission that would loosen any current restrictions on profanity, nudity, sexual content, etc. broadcast into America's homes.

Having recently attained "senior citizen" status, I have seen the degradation of broadcast standards over the past half century to a point where I am concerned very much for my children and their children in being able to find wholesome entertainment options in their homes. Those who wish to subscribe to the myriad of channels and other options that portray "real life" are welcome to do so, but please leave the rest of us with a small island of decency.

Yes, the "real world" is out there and we can't be sheltered forever, but let's not make it even harder for families to teach their children about how life ought to be lived versus the so-called "real" life. And, yes, I'm old-fashioned and maybe even out of touch with reality, but I've made it this far and, along the way, learned that decency and civility never go out of style.

Thank you for your consideration. Please keep the current rules – or even strengthen them.

Sincerely,


Jeffrey L. Jensen

Evil will ^{look} you, in the ^{eyes} eye,
while it does evil against you, and
have no conscience, about it.

All major net works, need to
be accountable, for the types of programs
They put on the air waves.

We used to have a Decency law?
in this country, that regulated what
was acceptable - and what wasn't?
Where is it now?

Our Moral code is the backbone of
our society, without it, the country
will fall.

Fines, need to be given to net works, who
air programs, that go against Decency,
nationwide.

Unchecked, these companies, act like
gods, with money, to push into place,
behaviors, unacceptable, to the majority of
us Americans.

Laws need to be in place, to protect
all USA citizens, from viewing this
TRASH! Especially, young
innocent lives.
Gene A. Lapointe

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected
APR 29 2013
FCC Mail Room

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely

Yancy S. Cauty

Printed Name: YANCY S CAUTY
Address: 512 N Morrison
Casa Grande, AZ 85122

Received & Inspected

FEB 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely

Printed Name: Joyce Peindl

Address: 450 Sunwest Dr
Casa Grande, AZ 85122

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554


FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely



Printed Name: Freddy Fraijo

Address: 11404 W. LORODR
AZ CITY, AZ
85123

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely



Printed Name: MARVIN OLSEN

Address: 412 E DARTMOUTH DR.

CASA GRANDE AZ 85122

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554


FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely



Printed Name: DOROTHY MADISON

Address: 711 E LAUREL DR #2

CASA GRANDE AZ-85122

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely

Carissa Yucupicio Castillo

Printed Name: Carissa Y. Castillo

Address: 5692 N. Azalia Street
Casa Grande AZ
85122

Office of the Secretary
FCC
Re: GN Docket No. 13-86

Received & Inspected

MAR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

It is my understanding that you are considering loosening restrictions for obscenity on broadcast tv and radio. This is totally inappropriate and disgusting.

TV today is getting so close to being pornographic that it is hard to find a program to watch as a family.

Sometimes I'm embarrassed to watch (and usually change the channel) a program with my grandchildren or even my own grown children. If anything you should scale back on the already loosened restrictions and get back to family tv.

I even find the magnitude of the violence shown on the programs is something we should address as we look across our country and see all the violence that is happening before our very eyes.

Thank you so much.

Jayne Taylor

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Mail Room


FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely



Printed Name: Michelle A. Perez

Address: 2425 N. Amerillo St #9
Casa Grande AZ 85122

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely

Tuesday Bowers

Printed Name: Tuesday Bowers

Address: 1192 E Avenida Ellena

Casa Grande, AZ 85122

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely



Printed Name: DEAN S LOON

Address: PO Box 3692
My City AZ
85123

Received & Inspected

FEB 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely

Printed Name: Eric Conrad
Address: 26791 W
Dreamy Drive
Cave Grande, AZ 85122

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely *George Siverson*

Printed Name: GEORGE SIVERSON

Address: 10241 N. PINAL AVE

CASA GRANDE, AZ 85127

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely

Printed Name: Mark Daugherty

Address: 1863 E. Kachina Dr.

Casa Grande AZ 85122

Mark Daugherty

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely

Printed Name: James G Gilvel

Address: PO Box 717
AZ City AZ 85123


April 10, 2013

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

Dear F.C.C.

FCC Mail Room

I hear that you are planning to loosen restrictions on Nudity, Profanity and the like on the Television, Movies, Advertisements Why? I hope that you will reconsider this fact as it would interfere with all that is good for us here in the USA.

Its been quite a while that Movies were going downhill in showing a little Nudity and Profanity to lacking good story basis and now you want to lossen these fundamentals?

I pray that you will reconsider this plan. I believe that you play a good part in keeping the best interests for our Nation.

Sincerely
Mary Vasek

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mary Vasek". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned below the typed name. A horizontal line is drawn underneath the signature.

Received & Inspected
APR 29 2013
FCC Mail Room

Dear Members of the Federal Communications Commission,

My fiancée and I would like to take this opportunity to contact you and make our opinions known regarding "proceedings number 13-86". We oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if it is brief or "fleeting."

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during the times when children are likely to be watching or listening. We will not allow our future children or ourselves to watch nude images or listen to that kind of language at our house.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and we urge the FCC to reject all proposals that allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations. Thank you for your time and please consider the negative impact on our nation if these things were allowed to be viewed or listened to over public airwaves.

Sincerely,



Don Limpach & Kristi Stilwill

Dear FCC Staff.

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

I don't know how many are on your staff so I am sending you several book markers and a couple of tracts.

I am writing you to encourage you to not lower the stand on the TV it is already really bad or I should say the language is not fit to listen to.

God says the narrow way is wicked, broad is the way that leadeth to destruction (hell) narrow is the way that leadeth to life everlasting.

Jesus says "I am the way the truth and the life no one cometh to the father but by me."

Praying for you all

Benny T

16912 73rd Pl. W.
Edmonds, WA 98026
23 April 2013

Commissioner Robert M. McDowell
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Dear Commissioner McDowell:


I was prompted to write to you after reading the enclosed letter to the editor which appeared in yesterday's paper.

Regarding the FCC considering dropping the ban on expletives and nudity on network television and radio stations.....you've but to read Genesis 18:20 and 19:24-28, regarding Sodom and Gamorrha, to understand God's thinking on the immorality of mankind.

To give free reign to those who would take advantage of the weakness of mankind would make the individuals who run the FCC equally guilty before God as those who do the devil's work and I've no doubt they'll be held responsible for each soul that's led into the "cesspool of profanity and indecency." Freedom to send this type of message over the airwaves is like allowing a leper to roam free among us.....only instead of plaguing the body it spreads a disease of the mind.

I pray you will do everything possible not to encourage this type of immorality. Instead of bowing down to weakness, stand up for what you know is right.

Sincerely,



J. Raab

Enclosure

Copy to:
Chairman Julius Genachowski
Commissioner Mignon Clyburn
Commissioner Jessica Rosenworcel
Commissioner Ajit Pai

■ TELEVISION

**Keep ban on
swearing, nudity**

To all who are concerned:
The FCC is considering dropping the ban on expletives and nudity on network television and radio stations. They're taking comments from the public until the end of April. To file a formal comment, go to tinyurl.com/FCCtvstandards

They're not taking general email comments — you must go to the link above to file your comment. Enter the code 13-86 in the "Proceeding Number" box. There's enough sewage coming from the Hollywood/Broadcast Media pipe already. The collective media doesn't seem to recognize — or it ignores — its enormous contribution to the disintegration of the family, and the rapid spiral of the country into the cesspool of profanity, indecency and obliteration of historical/traditional values.

Fred Hutchins
Lynnwood

COPY

16912 73rd Pl. W.
Edmonds, WA 98026
23 April 2013

Received & Inspected
APR 29 2013
FCC Mail Room

Commissioner Robert M. McDowell
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Commissioner McDowell:

I was prompted to write to you after reading the enclosed letter to the editor which appeared in yesterday's paper.

Regarding the FCC considering dropping the ban on expletives and nudity on network television and radio stations.....you've but to read Genesis 18:20 and 19:24-28, regarding Sodom and Gamorrha, to understand God's thinking on the immorality of mankind.

To give free reign to those who would take advantage of the weakness of mankind would make the individuals who run the FCC equally guilty before God as those who do the devil's work and I've no doubt they'll be held responsible for each soul that's led into the "cesspool of profanity and indecency." Freedom to send this type of message over the airwaves is like allowing a leper to roam free among us.....only instead of plaguing the body it spreads a disease of the mind.

I pray you will do everything possible not to encourage this type of immorality. Instead of bowing down to weakness, stand up for what you know is right.

Sincerely,



J. Raab

Enclosure

Copy to:

- ✓ Chairman Julius Genachowski
- Commissioner Mignon Clyburn
- Commissioner Jessica Rosenworcel
- Commissioner Ajit Pai

■ TELEVISION

Keep ban on swearing, nudity

To all who are concerned: The FCC is considering dropping the ban on expletives and nudity on network television and radio stations. They're taking comments from the public until the end of April. To file a formal comment, go to tinyurl.com/FCCtvstandards

They're not taking general email comments — you must go to the link above to file your comment. Enter the code 13-86 in the "Proceeding Number" box. There's enough sewage coming from the Hollywood/Broadcast Media pipe already. The collective media doesn't seem to recognize — or it ignores — its enormous contribution to the disintegration of the family, and the rapid spiral of the country into the cesspool of profanity, indecency and obliteration of historical/traditional values.

Fred Hutchins
Lynnwood

APR 29 2013

COPY

FCC Mail Room
16912 73rd Pl. W.
Edmonds, WA 98026
23 April 2013

Commissioner Robert M. McDowell
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Commissioner McDowell:

I was prompted to write to you after reading the enclosed letter to the editor which appeared in yesterday's paper.

Regarding the FCC considering dropping the ban on expletives and nudity on network television and radio stations.....you've but to read Genesis 18:20 and 19:24-28, regarding Sodom and Gamorrha, to understand God's thinking on the immorality of mankind.

To give free reign to those who would take advantage of the weakness of mankind would make the individuals who run the FCC equally guilty before God as those who do the devil's work and I've no doubt they'll be held responsible for each soul that's led into the "cesspool of profanity and indecency." Freedom to send this type of message over the airwaves is like allowing a leper to roam free among us.....only instead of plaguing the body it spreads a disease of the mind.

I pray you will do everything possible not to encourage this type of immorality. Instead of bowing down to weakness, stand up for what you know is right.

Sincerely,


J. Raab

Enclosure

Copy to:
Chairman Julius Genachowski
✓ Commissioner Mignon Clyburn
Commissioner Jessica Rosenworcel
Commissioner Ajit Pai

■ TELEVISION

Keep ban on swearing, nudity

To all who are concerned: The FCC is considering dropping the ban on expletives and nudity on network television and radio stations. They're taking comments from the public until the end of April. To file a formal comment, go to tinyurl.com/FCCtvstandards

They're not taking general email comments — you must go to the link above to file your comment. Enter the code 13-86 in the "Proceeding Number" box. There's enough sewage coming from the Hollywood/Broadcast Media pipe already. The collective media doesn't seem to recognize — or it ignores — its enormous contribution to the disintegration of the family, and the rapid spiral of the country into the cesspool of profanity, indecency and obliteration of historical/traditional values.

Fred Hutchins
Lynnwood

APR 29 2013

COPY

FCC Mail Room

16912 73rd Pl. W.
Edmonds, WA 98026
23 April 2013

Commissioner Robert M. McDowell
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Commissioner McDowell:

I was prompted to write to you after reading the enclosed letter to the editor which appeared in yesterday's paper.

Regarding the FCC considering dropping the ban on expletives and nudity on network television and radio stations.....you've but to read Genesis 18:20 and 19:24-28, regarding Sodom and Gamorrha, to understand God's thinking on the immorality of mankind.

To give free reign to those who would take advantage of the weakness of mankind would make the individuals who run the FCC equally guilty before God as those who do the devil's work and I've no doubt they'll be held responsible for each soul that's led into the "cesspool of profanity and indecency." Freedom to send this type of message over the airwaves is like allowing a leper to roam free among us.....only instead of plaguing the body it spreads a disease of the mind.

I pray you will do everything possible not to encourage this type of immorality. Instead of bowing down to weakness, stand up for what you know is right.

Sincerely,



J. Raab

Enclosure

Copy to:
Chairman Julius Genachowski
Commissioner Mignon Clyburn
✓ Commissioner Jessica Rosenworcel
Commissioner Ajit Pai

■ TELEVISION

Keep ban on swearing, nudity

To all who are concerned: The FCC is considering dropping the ban on expletives and nudity on network television and radio stations. They're taking comments from the public until the end of April. To file a formal comment, go to tinyurl.com/FCCtvstandards

They're not taking general email comments — you must go to the link above to file your comment. Enter the code 13-86 in the "Proceeding Number" box. There's enough sewage coming from the Hollywood/Broadcast Media pipe already. The collective media doesn't seem to recognize — or it ignores — its enormous contribution to the disintegration of the family, and the rapid spiral of the country into the cesspool of profanity, indecency and obliteration of historical/traditional values.

Fred Hutchins
Lynnwood

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely



Printed Name: Jose E Zavala
Address: 1915 N. Casa Grande Ave.
Casa Grande, AZ 85122

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected
APR 29 2013
FCC Mail Room

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely

Printed Name: Irene Amador
Address: 5662 N. Dahlia St.
Casa Grande AZ 85122


Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

123 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely

Fred P. Duerg

Printed Name: Fred P. Duerg

Address: 929 N. Brown Ave.

Casa Grande AZ 85122

Received & Inspected

10 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely



Printed Name: Susan C. Baker

Address: 812 W Josam Cir
Coolidge, AZ 85128

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Received & inspected

APR 29 2013

FCC Mail Room

FCC Members:

I am aware that the Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity on television and radio.

However, I oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting"—especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest, and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations.

Sincerely

Printed Name: DONALD BOLEN
Address: 126 W. BARRUS PL.
CASA GRANDE, AZ. 85122