

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking)	
18 FCC Rcd 13187, 13188 ¶1 (2003))	ET Docket No. 03-137
)	
And)	
)	
Service Rules for the Advanced Wireless Services)	WT Docket No. 12-357
H Block---Implementing Section 6401 of the)	
Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of)	
2012 Related to the 1915-1920 MHz and)	
1995-2000 MHz Bands ¶53 footnote 95)	

To: Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

Comment Filed by: Angelica Rose
21122 NE 93rd Pl
Redmond, WA 98053
Angelicarose8@hotmail.com
425-868-1497

February 4, 2013

AFFIDAVIT OF Angelica Rose

State of [Washington]

[King] County]

I, Angelica Rose, attest that my statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

Comment round for ET Docket No. 03-137 and WT Docket No. 12-357.

1. My name is Angelica Rose. My address is 21122 NE 93rd Pl, Redmond WA 98053.
2. I am small business owner / mother.
3. **(Use concise (numbered) paragraphs to describe your interest and involvement that support your desire to change the FCC RF safety guidelines. We provided some sample text below. Please briefly discuss your personal experience with electromagnetic radiation (EMR). E.g.:**
I am an individual who is very sensitive to electromagnetic radiation. I had to hard wire my home for Ethernet because WiFi makes me dizzy, tired, and creates other nervous system problems. Being around cellphones gives me headaches and earaches, even when they are on speaker phone, and if I'm holding the phone it makes my hands shake after a few moments.
4. Dozens of scientific studies have shown negative impacts of EMR on human health, even at low levels of exposure. The most commonly accepted guidelines are the Building Biology recommendations that list 1,000 microwatts/m² as the threshold for "extreme concern" (http://www.baubiologie.de/downloads/english/richtwerte_2008_englisch.pdf). On May 31, 2011, the World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified radiofrequency fields as "possibly carcinogenic to humans." The FCC does not use biologically determined guidelines that affect health, but rather uses a standard that measures thermal heating of biological tissue. The premise that there are no adverse impacts of EMR on the human body until it is cooked is

completely ridiculous.

5. I urge the FCC to adopt new RF safety guidelines that take into account published research on the biological effects brought on by the ability of RF signals to communicate with living tissue, and more specifically, to consider the Building Biology guidelines for human health.

Respectfully submitted by

Angelica Rose

21122 NE 93rd Pl

Redmond, WA 98053

February 4, 2013