

**Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
18 FCC Rcd 13187, 13188 ¶1 (2003)	)	ET Docket No. 03-137
	)	
And	)	
	)	
Service Rules for the Advanced Wireless Services	)	WT Docket No. 12-357
H Block---Implementing Section 6401 of the	)	
Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of	)	
2012 Related to the 1915-1920 MHz and	)	
1995-2000 MHz Bands ¶53 footnote 95	)	

To: Office of the Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, DC 20554

Comment Filed by: Josh Finley  
8716 Sand Point Way NE, Apt 1  
Seattle, WA 98115  
josh416@uw.edu  
(206) 734-4878

February 5, 2013



all the time, and the presence RF in the environment already severely restricts my freedom and negatively impacts my health and wellbeing.

6. Adverse effects from exposure to the microwave radiation emitted by wireless technology are now commonly reported by people all over the world (including many with no history of mental health disorders or hypochondria). Anecdotal evidence for the existence of negative effects from such exposure is very strong. As science writer B. Blake Levitt puts it, “It’s not likely a transcultural mass hallucination... These are our canaries in the coal mine.”

7. The best available scientific evidence, as well as my own day-to-day experience, strongly indicates that current RF exposure standards in the United States are not sufficiently protective of public health. Note that many countries currently have more restrictive limits on RF exposure than the U.S. There is a large body of scientific evidence conclusively demonstrating negative effects on living systems from exposure to lower levels of RF than those currently allowed in the environment under existing FCC standards. This evidence should not be ignored. For details, including recommendations on biologically-based public RF exposure limits, please refer to the BioInitiative Report (2012), available for free at <http://www.bioinitiative.org>. Many of the respected scientists who wrote this report have devoted much of their careers to understanding the biological effects of RF, and I would humbly recommend that the FCC seek their counsel in setting new exposure standards that are capable of protecting both the public and the environment.

Respectfully submitted by

Josh Finley

8716 Sand Point Way NE

Seattle, WA 98115

February 5, 2013