

<b>Subject:</b>	EB Comments WT Docket No. 13-85
<b>From:</b>	Warren Havens (warren.havens@sbcglobal.net)
<b>To:</b>	Pamela.Kane@fcc.gov;
<b>Cc:</b>	jeff.tobias@fcc.gov; brian.carter@fcc.gov; jstobaugh@telesaurus.com;
<b>Date:</b>	Friday, May 10, 2013 8:36 AM

Mr. Tobias,

A procedural comment:

A. Please see below including item 3. In this regard, in a proceeding such as this where certain relevant document evidence is confidential, and likely or possibly to be filed as exhibits,[\*] it would be helpful for the Public Notice to instruct that the confidential exhibits may be filed on ULS under the relevant Application File Number (or in paper, but that would not be efficient and will cause of loss if OCR in the PDFs [the exhibits that are in PDF with Optical Character Recognition allowing searching for words, copying of text, etc.]

-----  
[\*] In the underlying proceedings, various confidential documents were involved: Various SkyTel challenges to MCLM geographic and site-based licenses, including those referenced in FCC 11-64, the Hearing Designation Order ("HDO"), the EB investigations leading to the HDO which involved confidential information [subject to pending SkyTel FOIA requests, denied but on appeal], and confidential documents from docket 11-71 and certain court cases on MCLM, etc.: all of this was in the FCC records of the subject MCLM geographic and site-based licenses.

-----  
B. Also, certain filers including the FCC Enforcement Bureau ("EB") have certificates of service of the parties in docket 11-71.

That, at least the EB filing, suggests that other filers in this docket 13-85 should do so, even though not required: the PN DA 13-569 says filings of pleadings and comments in 13-85 only is sufficient. It would be helpful to clarify this in this docket.

Respectfully,  
Warren Havens

-----  
Ms Kane,

As a courtesy, I point out -

1. ESCF shows the Enforcement Bureau's Comments of yesterday as filed on May 19, and not May 9.

2. The "In re" left-side of caption does not match the WT Docket PN subject (not very directly).

3. For SkyTel,

- I will be sending by email a courtesy copy of the timely filed (May 9) SkyTel petition to deny, with comments ("PD"), to the relevant persons later today, with Errata.

- Attached is a copy of the Skytel PD (without the Errata changes).

- The exhibits are filed on ECFS -

- however, note that SkyTel filed certain confidential exhibits on ULS under the App. No. 0005552500 (in addition to the copies of the other, not confidential exhibits) since ECFS does not accept confidential filings.

Respectfully,  
Warren Havens