

To Whom it May Concern:

I would like to suggest a dismissal of proceeding 13-86 on the grounds that it would be an egregious error on the part of the FCC to pursue the lenience on prime time television restrictions. What is it going to gain to allow nudity and expand the parameters of vulgar language being used on these television shows. Has our country not seen enough sexual dysfunction, violent outrage, and lack of decency in the use of language primarily due to the already lenient exposure to such behavior paraded on our televisions now? Has not the excessive use of profanity on prime time television lowered our standards of how to properly express ourselves in public (i.e. the classroom, the marketplace, and on the job)? Have we not seen a dramatic increase in the cases of statutory rape, sexual infidelity, sexually transmitted disease, and teen pregnancy because our children are constantly being overexposed to sex on tv as it is?

I stand to ask the FCC to understand to whom it is accountable. It is not the networks that are pushing for lighter regulation, but it is the American people, whose children are affected by what the FCC allows. For that reason, and that reason alone, I call to question the dismissal of Proceeding 13-86. Thank you.