

I am opposed to the passing of this proceeding. There is no reason to relax the standards that we have for what is shown on television. I personally think that they need to be stricter in regards to language and sexuality. I know that a common argument for relaxing them is that it is the parent's job to govern what their children watch; this is true however that is not a reason to relax what kids could possibly see on television when not being directly monitored by their parents. Why make it harder for parents to effectively ensure their children to not hear or see things that they should not be exposed to? The FCC is directly in the position to be on the parents "team" and to aide them in controlling what their children see on TV, not to effectively fight against the parents by increasing the amount of inappropriate content available.