

**Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20554**

In the Matter of:)	
)	
Carriage Complaint Against)	
)	
Armstrong Utilities, Inc.)	Docket No. 12-364
)	File No. CSR-8752-M
by)	
)	
Western Pacific Broadcast, LLC)	
)	
With Respect to Carriage Within the)	
Philadelphia, PA Designated Market Area,)	
of Local Commercial Television Station WACP,)	
Licensed to Atlantic City, New Jersey)	
)	

Directed to: The Chief, Media Bureau

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO REPLY TO
OPPOSITION TO
PETITION FOR SPECIAL RELIEF
BY ORDER OF CARRIAGE

Western Pacific Broadcast, LLC (“Western Pacific”), pursuant to Rule 1.46, hereby respectfully requests that the Bureau grant Western Pacific an extension ending on July 8, 2013, in which to file its reply to the opposition (the “Opposition”) submitted by Armstrong Utilities, Inc. (“Armstrong”) to Western Pacific’s above-captioned petition for an order requiring Armstrong to carry local commercial television station WACP in accordance with the Commission’s must carry rules and policies on Armstrong’s cable system(s) within the Philadelphia, PA designated market area for the remaining duration of the current must carry election cycle, expiring December 31, 2014.¹

¹ The current extension of time ends on June 5. The duration of the extension requested by this pleading is 33 days. While Western Pacific normally would ask for a 30 day extension, the

The Opposition alleges that that Armstrong does not receive a good quality signal at Armstrong's principal headend. Western Pacific's consulting engineer and Armstrong's engineer have had discussions concerning the signal strength. Western Pacific believes that installation of equipment (filter and preamp) can overcome any concern with signal deficiency issues and Armstrong is willing to permit installation of the equipment and tests. The equipment has been installed, but the engineers will need additional time to conduct further tests. If this equipment functions as Western Pacific believes it will, the test results could resolve the controversy between Western Pacific and Armstrong, without the need for the Bureau to dedicate its resources to the consideration of the pleadings and the development of an order to formally resolve this dispute. Moreover, the grant of this motion could only harm the movant, Western Pacific, who is the party requesting action by the Bureau, and is for a very short duration. For these reasons, the grant of this motion would be in the public interest. Counsel for Armstrong has authorized Western Pacific to represent that Armstrong is aware of the intention of Western Pacific to file this motion and will not interpose an objection to this motion.

30th day falls on Friday July 5, which will be taken as a holiday by many. Accordingly, Western Pacific concluded that Monday July 8 is a better end date for this extension.

WHEREFORE, the foregoing premises considered, Western Pacific Broadcast, LLC hereby respectfully requests that the Bureau grant the additional time requested for Western Pacific Broadcast, LLC to submit its reply in the above-captioned matter.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERN PACIFIC BROADCAST LLC

By: _____


M. Scott Johnson
Thomas J. Dougherty, Jr.
Its Counsel

FLETCHER, HEALD & HILDRETH, PLC
1300 North 17th Street, Suite 1100
Arlington, VA 22209
(703) 812-0400


June 5, 2013

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Valerie Admana, hereby certify that on this 5th day of June, 2013, I caused a copy of the foregoing “Motion for Extension of Time to Reply to Opposition to Petition for Special Relief by Order of Carriage” to be served via U.S. mail, postage prepaid, and email upon the following entity:

Christopher C. Cinnamon, Esq.
Cinnamon Mueller
307 N. Michigan Ave., Suite 1020
Chicago, IL 60601

cccinnamon@cm-chi.com



Valerie Admana