

June 5, 2013

Marlene H. Dortch, Esq.
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Joint Applications of Sprint Nextel Corporation, SOFTBANK CORP.,
and Starburst II, Inc. and Petition for Declaratory Ruling under Section
310(b)(4) of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended
IB Docket No. 12-343
Notice of *Ex Parte* Presentation

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On Friday, May 31, 2013, Regina M. Keeney, counsel for Sprint Nextel Corporation (“Sprint”), Cathy Massey of Clearwire Corporation (“Clearwire”), and Michael Pryor, counsel for SoftBank Corp. (“SoftBank”) met briefly with Courtney Reinhard, legal advisor for Commissioner Pai. Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of Commission’s rules, 47 C.F.R. 1.1206, we submit this letter summarizing the meeting.

We explained that the national security agencies have concluded their review and there are no unresolved issues. We urged the Federal Communications Commission (the “Commission”) to move promptly to approve the transaction. Consistent with previous filings, we explained that there are strong, unrefuted public interest benefits of the transaction, including strengthening Sprint and Clearwire to better compete, the ability to utilize the expertise and resources of SoftBank, and the benefits of additional scale when negotiating with global equipment vendors. Finally, we explained that, pursuant to Section 310(d) of the Communications Act and the Commission’s precedent, the Commission should process the application pending before it without regard to other possible offers.

Sincerely,

/s/ Michael H. Pryor

Michael H. Pryor

cc: Courtney Reinhard, Esq.