

One Legitimate Government Interest in banning nudity on TV is that it is a powerful temptation to disrupt the marriage bonds, which causes divorce (both official divorce of married couples and its equivalent for "living together" but relatively faithful couples). I won't belabor this point but take it as common knowledge and obvious.

Divorce, in turn, at its absurdly high rate, bears heavy responsibility for our U.S. debt of \$16 trillion putting our nation on the brink of collapse, in several ways. First, it forces families to double their housing expenses, added to legal expenses, forcing many into bankruptcy, which also contributes to the collapse of businesses they owe.

Second, children of divorce do less well in school, reducing their contribution to the U.S. economy and taxing our welfare system.

Third, children of divorce tax our prison system at a higher rate, even after their numbers are reduced from higher rates of suicide. These facts, too, are the conclusions of such widespread research that I will take them for nearly common knowledge.

When movies were young, it was controversial the first time a man was shown naked from the waist up. From that time to this, Hollywood immodesty has correlated to our divorce rate and to our national debt.

Additionally, as a former victim of domestic violence, it is my concern that nudity, especially that depicted during sexual acts, would not only increase the sex crime rate, but that of domestic abuse as well. As pornography does, I would also expect this to encourage cultural acceptance of the rape myth, thus greatly increasing assault against women. As a result of increased danger, more women will carry protection, most likely in the form of a concealed deadly weapon. This may certainly contribute to a sharp increase in accidental deaths. I would also expect a sharp increase in mass public violence as porn dehumanizes and objectifies others while stunting the viewer on the way to a medically quantifiable addiction.