

My wife and I both oppose any changes to the current FCC indecency standards that would allow television and radio stations to broadcast expletives and nudity on the public airwaves, even if brief or "fleeting." Do we now have to purchase filters that do what human beings cannot restrain themselves from? Is it really necessary to do this or does it in any way enhance programming for the public good. Are we just going to ignore decency and exposure of such things to children. These things are not something that should be embraced.

The Supreme Court has confirmed the FCC's authority to enforce policies regarding expletives and nudity, especially during times when children are likely to be watching or listening.

Relaxing the current policy would not serve the public interest and I urge the FCC to reject all proposals that would allow for the broadcast of expletives and nudity on FCC-licensed stations. People that have no moral compass for decency can purchase this type of programming for private use. Public airwaves simply should be safe for all listeners regardless of religion or age. To many want the liberty to dirty my liberties. Some may say simply change the dial but they oversimplify that not every public channel can be monitored 24X7 by those that wish to protect children from this type of accidental exposure. We the public need the choice to pick and choose our programming and when that choice is not technologically available the programming should at the very least be free of expletives and nudity.