

JUL 11 2013

Before the  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
Washington, DC

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

In the Matter of	)	
	)	WT Docket No. 11-7
<b>GLENN A. BAXTER</b>	)	
	)	FCC File No. 0002250244
Application to Renew License for Amateur	)	
Radio Service Station K1MAN	)	

To: Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary

Attention: Chief Administrative Law Judge  
Richard L. Sippel

**ENFORCEMENT BUREAU'S OPPOSITION TO PETITION**

1. The Acting Chief, Enforcement Bureau (Bureau), by his attorney, hereby opposes the "Petition To The Federal Communications Commission," dated September 17, 2013, that was directed by Glenn A. Baxter to the Bureau and the Presiding Judge via e-mail on July 2, 2013. Baxter seeks cancellation of the "fines" entered against him, apparently referring to the \$10,000 Judgment handed down by the United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit on September 10, 2012.<sup>1</sup> To the extent the pleading was submitted in the captioned proceeding and seeks relief from the Presiding Judge, it is profoundly defective and should be summarily dismissed.

2. First it is unclear whether the pleading seeks relief from the Presiding Judge in the context of the instant proceeding. The referenced Judgment relates to a prior forfeiture proceeding which is not related to any of the issues specified in the instant hearing. Consequently, assuming, *arguendo*, that Mr. Baxter is requesting the Presiding Judge to cancel

---

<sup>1</sup> See *United States v. Glenn A. Baxter*, Judgment, No. 12-1196 (1st Cir. 2012).

No. of Copies rec'd 096  
List ABCDE

his forfeiture, the Presiding Judge has no current jurisdiction or authority to grant the relief Mr. Baxter requests.

3. Mr. Baxter's pleading also is defective on other grounds. Among other things, it does not appear to have been formally filed with Commission's Office of the Secretary; fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted; fails to present a proper legal or factual basis for the relief it seeks; and fails to follow the Commission's procedural requirements and generally accepted legal tenants.

4. For the foregoing reasons, the Bureau requests that the Presiding Judge summarily dismiss Mr. Baxter's submission and admonish Mr. Baxter about filing such nonsensical and defective pleadings in the instant hearing proceeding.

Respectfully submitted,  
Robert Ratcliffe  
Acting Chief, Enforcement Bureau

  
Judy Lancaster  
Attorney  
Investigations and Hearings Division

Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W., Room 4-C330  
Washington, D.C. 20554  
(202) 418-1420

July 11, 2013

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Judy Lancaster, an Attorney in the Enforcement Bureau's Investigations and Hearings Division, hereby certify that on this 11<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2013, true and correct copies of the foregoing document, ENFORCEMENT BUREAU'S OPPOSITION TO PETITION was served via first-class mail, postage prepaid, and by e-mail upon

Glenn A. Baxter  
K1MAN  
RR 1, Box 776  
Belgrade Lakes, ME 04918  
E-mail to: [k1man14275@aol.com](mailto:k1man14275@aol.com) and [Institute@K1MAN.com](mailto:Institute@K1MAN.com)

and by hand-delivery to

Chief Administrative Law Judge Richard L. Sippel  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, S.W., Suite 1-C768  
Washington, D.C. 20054  
E-mail to: [Mary.Gosse@fcc.gov](mailto:Mary.Gosse@fcc.gov)

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judy Lancaster