

Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of

Reassessment of Federal Communications Commission Radiofrequency Exposure Limits and Policies ET Docket No. 13-84

Proposed Changes in the Commission's Rules Regarding Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields ET Docket No. 03-137

To: Office of the Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, DC 20554

Comment Filed by: (Gilda Oman )  
(P.O. Box 1950  
(Upland, CA 91785 )  
(gomanwfg@gmail.com)  
(323-864-8672)

August 30, 2013

## AFFIDAVIT OF GILDA OMAN

State of CA]

Upland County ]

I, Gilda Oman, attest that my statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

**Comment** round for ET Docket No. 03-137 and ET Docket No. 013-84.

My name is Gilda Oman . My address is P.O. Box 1950 Upland, CA 91785-1950.

I am a business owner

1. I hope doing this inquiry is not a hollow act but that you are really considering the health effects of radiofrequency radiation exposure because the greatest evidence of harm is the increased sickness especially cancer amongst our most highly exposed people. Now wireless companies are targeting our children and youngest Americans. This is not right. You are allowing the wireless industry to play with the research, influence it highly and use averaging to hide the increased illness amongst are heaviest users groups of wireless. You are infirming our young workforce and there is evidence that you are doing genetic damage to future generations by exposing our children all day to RF radiation in wireless classrooms. This is a stupid and callous thing to do even for our country which has carried out other thoughtless atrocities against its own people in the past. This is a silent sophisticated war with many casualties and most people do not even know about it because you have allowed the wireless industry to control information regarding health effects of wireless to such a large degree. This must stop. We must warn our people. We must act to protect our people by minimizing their exposure rather than maximizing their exposure as we are doing now. Our system has been corrupted and we are hurting our own people. For God's sake this must stop. Exposing people to a technology that gives people cancer is truly an evil act. Allowing it to continue is just as evil.

2. FCC Commission: I am requesting that you modify and lower the allowable exposure level for Radio Frequency Radiation allowed under the FCC Guidelines so that it includes a biologically based standard limit of .0001uW/cm<sup>2</sup> as suggested and supported by the BioInitiative Report which was just updated during the past month and which establishes and defends this exposure level by reviewing over 1800 new studies in more than 1400 pages (see conclusions attached) and setting an exposure level just below an exposure level where studies have shown harmful non-thermal biological effects from RF exposure used by wireless transmitters and devices (see entire report at [www.bioinitiative.org](http://www.bioinitiative.org) for detail and supporting studies).

3. Adjust your guidelines which currently are not even safety standards but are being used as such to justify exposures that were never intended to be covered by the guidelines. Currently, FCC guidelines governing RF exposures do not protect the public from harm (see American Academy of Environmental Medicine Statement attached). As it is now your FCC guidelines do not offer protection for special populations: children, pregnant women, or the elderly even though this guideline is being used to justify the safety of ongoing long term exposure of children to RF radiation in schools where WiFi and wireless tablets are being used. The new level of .0001 microwatt per centimeter squared would offer these special populations real protection from harm based on the studies and findings in the BioInitiative Report.

4. Currently, your FCC guidelines do not protect or account for long term exposures as is needed with ongoing continuous exposure with use of WiFi, WiLan, WiMax systems as well as smart meter exposure; .0001uW/cm<sup>2</sup> exposure level would provide protection from non-thermal and thermal effects of RadioFrequency Radiation from continuous exposure used by these new technologies which were never even conceived of when these standards were established.

5. Currently, FCC guidelines do not recognize and provide protection against non-thermal effects even though the BioInitiative Report ([www.bioinitiative.org](http://www.bioinitiative.org)) reviews and cites more than 4,000 studies showing non-thermal effects from RF radiation (1800 studies recently reviewed and more than 2000 previously reviewed in 2008). Current FCC guidelines are sorely deficient and do not currently offer the public protection from harm.

6. With a revision and lowering of the FCC guidelines to an indoor and outdoor exposure level of .0001uW/cm<sup>2</sup> the FCC guidelines would provide protection against non-thermal biological effects and harm found to occur above this level especially for children and adolescents who studies have recently shown non-thermal biological effects at very low exposure levels (see attached BioInitiative Report for details, summary, comments and conclusions regarding children and special populations).

7. Protecting our children from harm from long term continuous exposure to RadioFrequency Radiation is of particular importance as the US moves rapidly to wireless school classrooms and thus exposes all of our children to continuous long term heavy use and exposure to Radio Frequency Radiation emitted from WiFi and wireless tablet devices.

8. We need to have FCC guidelines that adequately provide protection for these innocent small children who are known as with other toxic agents to be many times more vulnerable to and effected by RF radiation due to their thinner skulls and developing neurological systems. .0001uW/cm<sup>2</sup> exposure level would provide that protection to children as articulated and supported in the BioInitiative Report.

9. To continue to proliferate wireless technology into all aspects of our lives: home, work, and school and expose all segments of our population often without choice of

whether to be exposed or not without adequate and protective safety standards in place that take into account known non-thermal biological effects is unwise and reckless and certainly is not protective of public health given what we know about the presence of non-thermal effects from RF radiation as articulated and supported by the thousands of studies listed and cited in the BioInitiative Report. The studies cited by the report are peer reviewed and scientifically valid and to continue to ignore their presence and the implications to public policy and the establishment of lower FCC guidelines governing allowable RF radiation exposure levels is irresponsible and harmful to the public.

10. Please regain the confidence of the public in the FCC by revising your FCC guidelines for Radio Frequency Radiation exposure as emitted from all wireless devices downward to .0001uW/cm<sup>2</sup> for indoor and outdoor exposure to provide protection against harm from thermal and non-thermal effects of Radio Frequency Radiation which has been documented for years beginning with the navy's own studies and has been continued largely in Europe where concern and investment has been made in researching the non-thermal effects of RF radiation.

11. Please follow Europe's lead in adopting a Precautionary Approach banning WiFi and Cell Phones in schools and adopting a .0001uW/cm<sup>2</sup> level exposure limit allowing for protection for all segments of the population and providing protection for long term exposure with a small margin of protection allowed for future studies and findings of non-thermal effects which have been continually increasing over the past years (see European Council Resolution attached).

Respectfully submitted by:

Gilda Oman  
P.O. Box 1950  
Upland, CA 91785-1950  
323-864-8672

August 30, 2013