

150 Old West Rd
Lansweert, NY 12831

Sept 2, 2013

Comment on Notice of Inquiry, ET Docket No 13-84

Office of the Secretary

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th St. SW, Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

SEP 09 2013

FCC Mail Room

Dear Sirs,

Standards for human exposure to radio frequency radiation should be revised.

Exposure limits should be more restrictive. I live 700ft. from a cell tower I fought being put in in 1996 and three additional transmitters are being added. I objected and the Planning Board told me I'd have to go to Washington and change the law. I am a guinea pig, but Supreme Courts around the world (Italy and Israel to name two) are ruling that there is a threat and the studies are out there. It is disgraceful that the US isn't paying attention. Please act immediately. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Martha Winsten

No. of Copies rec'd
LBI ABCDE

0

August 31, 2013

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Comments on Notice of Inquiry, ET Docket No 13-84

Please revisit the FCC standards for human exposure to radio frequency radiation. I am affected by the following exposures: cell towers while driving, computer wifi routers in the Town voting office, libraries & many public businesses. The electric meter is being moved further away because of RF seepage into the house. I need to live in a rural area (population density is ten ~~one~~ per square mile) and away from houses that have wifi broadcasters that emit 300+ feet.

My most problematic symptoms are confusion and memory loss during exposure. After effects are disrupted sleep.

Thank you for considering this in this country's standards on RF radiation.

Sincerely, Mary Shaffer

ph 413, 339.4342

Mary Shaffer
21 Plainfield Rd.
Hawley, MA 01339

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

SEP 09 2013


Comments on Notice of Inquiry, ET Docket No. 13-84

FCC Mail Room

I, Marla Crites, attest that my statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

1. My name is Marla Crites. My address is 560 Coyote Way, Chico, California 95928.
2. I was a public school teacher for 48 years. During the last 9 years of service, I worked in a classroom where there was a wireless router, fluorescent lighting and extremely high levels of High Frequency Voltage Transients. During this time I felt unusually fatigued while I was in that environment. The fatigue lifted upon return to my home.
3. A Smart Meter was installed on my home over my objections in August 2010.
4. At first I did not notice any ill effects, but over time symptoms began to accrue.
5. By November 2011 I was suffering from insomnia, nosebleeds while sleeping, constant nausea, headaches, heart palpitations, fatigue, loss of balance, and depression.
6. I called PG&E several times to request removal of the Smart Meter. They refused.
7. On November 7, 2011 I sent a certified legal notice to PG&E demanding the removal of their Smart Meter within 30 days. Non-compliance would require my replacement of the Smart Meter with an analog meter.
8. There was no response, so on 12/19/11 I had the meters switched. My symptoms all disappeared.
9. Although my home is relatively free from EMR, I am now electro-sensitive and my headaches return if I have to be in an area with high RF. This is becoming ever more difficult to avoid as ambient exposure levels climb.
10. I would ask you to do a thorough review of implications for harm based on the studies chronicled in the BioInitiative 2012 Report.
11. Establish more controls and guidance to the telecom industry to promote wired over wireless.
12. Restrict public exposure limits; what's now considered a safe level should be lowered.

Respectfully submitted by:

 9/03/13
Marla J. Crites
560 Coyote Way
Chico, CA 95928

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

04

13-84

Office of the Secretary
 FCC
 445 12th Street SW
 Washington, D.C. 20552

9/1/13

Received & Inspected

SEP 09 2013

FCC Mail Room

Dear Sirs/Ms.

My name is Bobbie Lieberman from Boca Raton, FL. I have been suffering with Electro-Hypersensitivity for the past nine years and did not know it immediately. Then, I slowly was realizing that so much radiation was pouring out of my PC and was frying my arms, neck and face. I was beginning to feel sick.

Everywhere we turn there are doctors' offices, hospitals, stores, etc. full of high wattage lights that emit tons of EMF's. And, then there is the cell phones, cell towers, dirty electricity smart meters and a growing technology that never seems to stop. Here in South Florida, we are loaded with cell towers everywhere: in some schoolyards, where children play five days a week, all along the expressways, towers hidden in trees and screwed into businesses in exchange for MONEY.

Not everyone, of course, is sensitive with EHS, but others might not know they have it. The most recent findings from the WHO are telling us there are chances that children can get leukemia. It still needs further research. How can we survive this exposure to all the energy produced in this technological age? More and more people will slowly get symptoms and become ill with this condition, as is happening in other parts of the world!

Bobbie Lieberman
recovcery@aol.com

No. of Copies rec'd _____
 List ABCDE _____

0

Received & Inspected
SEP 09 2013

ROMEO PREVENTIVE MEDICINE
James L. Ziobron, D.O., P.C.

FCC Mail Room

71441 VanDyke
Romeo, MI 48065

Phone: (586) 336-3700

13-84

July 17, 2013

To Whom it May Concern:

Re: Anita Ferensic BD: 1-13-67

Anita Ferensic is under my care for the treatment of significant sensitivities to numerous substances. Her diagnoses include Electromagnetic Field Sensitivity which is a physiological disorder characterized by symptoms directly brought on by exposure to electromagnetic fields.

Many patients exhibit health problems and experience symptoms when exposed to electrical stimuli. This is due in part to the person's bodily response to antigens. The body functions through cellular and intracellular changes in electrical parameters. Examples include: Electrical impulses originate in the sino-atrial node of the heart and initiate heart muscle contraction. The brain communicates and functions through electrical impulses carried from one nerve synapse to another. Cells assimilate and excrete through osmotic changes created by differences in electrical potential.


The intricate function of the body can be affected by exposure to coherent electro-magnetic fields created by power transmission and usage. In sensitive individuals, exposure to exceedingly low frequencies can create disruption in homeostasis.

Individuals who in the past may have experienced chronic or low level electro-magnetic exposure or acute high level exposure may exhibit symptoms resembling a feeling of shock, muscle spasms or seizures. Others who have sensitivities to pollen, molds, and foods may experience symptoms related to the problems of electrical stimulation such as a change in the pulse rate or arrhythmias.

The treatment of Ms. Ferensic's condition involves environmental controls, avoidance of unnecessary or excess electro-magnetic stimulation and management of inhalant and food sensitivities.

It is medically necessary that the new "smart meter" is not placed on her home or if present, that it be removed as soon as possible to prevent further deterioration of her medical condition. Your prompt attention to this is appreciated.

Sincerely,



James L. Ziobron, D.O.

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

I Anita Ferensic attest to the above. Anita Ferensic
30056 Jeffrey Ct. #103, New Hudson, MI 48165
248-667-9544

Received & Inspected

SEP 09 2013

FCC Mail Room



Dealy-Doe-Eyes Maddux
RR
516 Shulenberg Rd
St Johnsville NY 13452-2408

30 August 2013

Federal Communications Commission public comments
on whether to revisit standards for human
exposure to radio frequency radiation.

Yes!!!! Please do revisit standards
for exposure to radio frequency radiation

My husband has been severely damaged
by exposure to EMR on his Post Office job.
He was forced to retire, is unable to travel
on Amtrak, airlines, or buses partly because
in every form of transportation most of the other
passengers are armed with cell phones, computers,
and electronic devices. The Albany V.A. sent
a doctor to our house to check him and an indus-
trial hygienist in 1998 because after exposure to
the MRI machine, he became super hyper-
sensitive to all electronic devices. He was unable
to ride in our truck for nearly a year, as the
battery caused him pain. He could not hold a
battery in his hands nor sit under our Bat-
tery operated clock on the wall, nor go to the
hospital, visit friends at their homes or enter a
store due to EMF exposure.

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

011

Dr. Podskalny of Albany Med in Albany, N.Y.
verified the extensive Brain damage seen on MRI from
the 2 large strokes and dozens of TIA my husband
had. During these doctor and hospital verified strokes, my

husband frequently lost his eye sight or had his eye sight become blurry for hours or days. He also lost total movement on his right side for the same length of time.

Dr. Sherry Rogers ordered Blood tests for my husband which were 1st done on him after he WAS AWAY FROM ALL EMFs for many weeks at our electric Free home, and then more blood test which showed after exposure to EMR his Rbc that the minerals had abruptly left his cells, free radical damage, melatonin suppression, resulting in strokes.

Dr. Hermannsdorfer, M.D who worked on ES Studies in Austria, which has since joined with Spain to recognize E.S. nationally, wrote that Johnny is electrically sensitive.

My husband is also sensitive to DC Batteries and to electrical storms.

CT + MRI scans verify his brain damage. His Blood pressure is normal, except for Blood pressure spikes when he's around EMR.

He's had to leave movie theaters without seeing the movie because of EMR in Blot.

An EMF Booklet from D.O.E. + NIEHS Jan. '95 states that "certain individuals may ~~be~~ experience allergic type reactions to EMFs known as electrosensitivity." N.Y.S. Supreme Court Appellate Division ruled MAY '82 "... ample evidence of microwave disease", which IS E.S.

My husband's E.S. is not unique. There is NO cure.

Blood and urine tests did substantiate my husband's E.S. There is NO financial or any other reason for him or any other person to "pretend" to be E.S. He cannot use or have near him cell phones, computers, e-mail, or electric appliances.

He was forced to retire from the Post office a year early, receiving permanently a lower annuity retirement, and can only go to V.A. Hospital in Albany when they take precautions i.e. no doctors with hearing aids within 6 feet, uses certain entrances, unable to use certain rooms or facilities, while local hospitals are even more dangerous for him as are ambulances. His safety depends on whether or not the staff and in the hospital follow the procedures to protect him.

Dr. Podskalyne warned us that any additional exposure to EMR could be fatal.

In June of 1998 the shortwave Broadcast tower in Schwaetzenberg, Switzerland was taken down after the Swiss govt completed a study and admitted it caused adverse health effects which included blood pressure abnormalities like my husband's.

The effects are real and life threatening to E.S. victims. Your standards for human exposure ought to reflect this.

So,

Mrs. Dealy-De-Eyes Maddux

8/2/11

All living things need AIR and you FCC have allowed the most insidious invisible pollution of our shared AIR, pollution that spews 24/7 - In May 2011 the research arm of the World Health Organization classified the radiation from radio frequency as a 2B CARCINOGEN - same class as ASBESTOS, LEAD and DDT - FCC, you should be shut down, under arrest and paying huge fines for allowing wireless companies to spew carcinogenic radiation through our shared AIR.

Received & Inspected
SEP 09 2013
FCC Mail Room

How do you get away with this POLLUTION? Because it is invisible, because you ignore the facts, because you deny the research, because you gain from the lucre of the wireless companies, because millions of people are hooked on the convenience of wireless gizmos?? Decades ago the TOBACCO companies did the same, they also hid the evidence of cancer associated with their product.

You FCC, have allowed the rampant pollution of our shared AIR. You have put the health of a nation AT RISK, now and for decades into the future. You FCC are complicit in gross crimes against humanity. For what? Greed? Convenience? Power?

I hear EMF. Silence is banished from my life. I feel EMF in my ears and head, it hurts me. I will never use wireless, but my neighbors do - so I suffer. I beg you to stop this rampant pollution!

STOP this insanity!

Theresa R. Meltri
420 Edison St. Graton Cal. 95444

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE 0

Monday Sept. 2, 2013

"Comments on Notice of Inquiry, ET Docket No. 13-84."

Received & Inspected

SEP 09 2013

Yes, yes and yes exposure limits should be more restrictive for human exposure to radio frequency radiation.

I, Sherry Dinger, have suffered for years due to exposure to EMFs. (electro magnetic fields). I have experienced horrible pain and feelings of being electrocuted. Also, the horrible ear ringing that comes from this problem sounds like a million crickets chirping at the same time. The more cell towers etc... the worse the problem. Even at low levels of radio frequency radiation it causes terrible suffering. Please, please do something to help.

Because of wireless technology I have had to withdraw from society many times and did not want to keep living because of the suffering. This is a big problem and more and more people are being affected. I have five cell towers within walking distances to my home. I cannot afford to move.

As one suffering human to another human I ask, beseech and implore you to have compassion. It could be you or your family. It may well be you in the near future if this doesn't stop. It does damage to every system in the body.

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

0/1

Sincerely,
Sherry Dinger

6924 Loretta Pl. Charlotte, NC 28215

1020 Stone Stack Drive

Bethlehem, PA 18015

Sept 1, 2013

Received & Inspected

SEP 09 2013

FCC Mail Room

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Re: Comments on Notice of Inquiry, ET Docket No. 13-84

I urge you to limit the exposure of radio frequency radiation to which our population is exposed. I am sensitive to WiFi and have had to remediate my home, getting rid of all wireless devices. It is difficult for me to shop in stores with a heavy electronics base, I am dizzy in cars with too much technology, large crowds, and libraries. I have a wire in my jaw from an accident, and so using a cell phone is impossible for me as I am sensitive to the waves. I am not alone. There are thousands of persons who are electrosensitive because of exposure to electricity, cell towers, or radar installations. I know numerous friends who were early adaptors and heavy users of technology, and have died from brain tumors, have thyroid cancer or salivary gland cancer. It is not safe for the population to be exposed to such levels of radiation. Please protect our health and do biological studies of the consequences of radio frequency radiation.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Brenda Johnson

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

0+1

Comments Notice of of Inquiry
ET# 13-84

Received & Inspected

SEP 09 2013

FCC Mail Room

To Whom it may Concern:

I would like to see the radiation levels lower. I believe it, is at the least, stressful for humans to deal with radiation levels at this time.

I hope the radiation levels will be lowered.

Thank you,

To anie Chiaro dit, LMFT
P.O. Box 1473
Ojai, CA 93024

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

0

September 1, 2013

Comments on Notice of Inquiry, ET Docket No. 13-84

Received & Inspected

SEP 09 2013

FCC Mail Room

Exposure limits for radio frequency radiation should be more restrictive than the current limits.

The current exposure limits were established assuming intermittent exposure to RF radiation, not the continuous and pervasive exposure that humans are now subject to. I would also venture a guess that the current limits did not consider that people are exposed for RF radiation from multiple "safe" sources at one time. The current exposure limits were also established by scientists and engineers, not by medical doctors, and considered only the thermal effects of RF radiation on the human body. A search of medical research reveals that there multiple non-thermal effects of RF radiation, including but not limited to increased oxidative stress (a common mechanism of aging and disease), weakening of cell membrane and the disruption of the nutrient/waste transfer across cellular membranes, and the alteration of the T-cell/lymphocyte ratio in human blood which adversely affects the body's immune response. Other concerns under investigation are possible damage to the DNA in mitochondria, the powerhouse of all cells for energy production, and the disruption of healthy cardiac function.


A growing number of people have been identified as Electromagnetically Hypersensitive (EHS) as the result of exponentially increasing exposures to RF radiation in the course of normal, daily life. I am one of those people. I experience tinnitus, headaches and head pressure, cognitive difficulties, and heart palpitations in proximity to cell phone towers, Wi-Fi systems, and personal wireless devices such as cell phones, laptops, iPads, and cordless phones. I sold my house and moved to a more remote location to escape the radiation from a cell phone base station which hindered my sleep and caused me great discomfort in my own home. My professional life and income-producing capability have been disrupted because I am unable to work in a conventional office setting. My time in public places, like the public library, retail establishments, restaurants is cut short because of the physical discomfort I feel in the presence of Wi-Fi. I alter my driving route to and from home to minimize my exposure to cell phone towers. I am unable to travel because of the Wi-Fi systems on planes and trains and in hotels, and because of the widespread use of personal wireless devices on planes, trains, and in airports and train stations.

My 12 year old daughter is also EHS. She experiences cognitive difficulties, headaches, nausea, muscle weakness, and hot flashes when at school where there is Wi-Fi and the frequent daily use of wireless devices. Her immune system is affected by RF radiation also, and last school year, she missed a total of 30 days of school because of recurrent infections that none of her classmates contracted, just her. When she is out of school for the 3 months of summer break, her symptoms disappear and she does not get sick.

Exposure limits for RF radiation should be more restrictive. The revising of the current limits should involve medical doctors, familiar with current medical research, who understand the non-thermal biological effects of RF radiation on the human body. The limits should be established with human health, not corporate profits, in mind.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit comments and I hope the FCC will act on them with conscience and integrity.

Sincerely,



Janice R. Olch, Santa Fe, NM

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

0+1

September 1, 2013

Comments on Notice of Inquiry, ET Docket No. 13-84

Exposure limits for radio frequency radiation should be more restrictive than the current limits.

The current exposure limits were established assuming intermittent exposure to RF radiation, not the continuous and pervasive exposure that humans are now subject to. I would also venture a guess that the current limits did not consider that people are exposed for RF radiation from multiple "safe" sources at one time. The current exposure limits were also established by scientists and engineers, not by medical doctors, and considered only the thermal effects of RF radiation on the human body. A search of medical research reveals that there multiple non-thermal effects of RF radiation, including but not limited to increased oxidative stress (a common mechanism of aging and disease), weakening of cell membrane and the disruption of the nutrient/waste transfer across cellular membranes, and the alteration of the T-cell/lymphocyte ratio in human blood which adversely affects the body's immune response. Other concerns under investigation are possible damage to the DNA in mitochondria, the powerhouse of all cells for energy production, and the disruption of healthy cardiac function.


A growing number of people have been identified as Electromagnetically Hypersensitive (EHS) as the result of exponentially increasing exposures to RF radiation in the course of normal, daily life. I am one of those people. I experience tinnitus, headaches and head pressure, cognitive difficulties, and heart palpitations in proximity to cell phone towers, Wi-Fi systems, and personal wireless devices such as cell phones, laptops, iPads, and cordless phones. I sold my house and moved to a more remote location to escape the radiation from a cell phone base station which hindered my sleep and caused me great discomfort in my own home. My professional life and income-producing capability have been disrupted because I am unable to work in a conventional office setting. My time in public places, like the public library, retail establishments, restaurants is cut short because of the physical discomfort I feel in the presence of Wi-Fi. I alter my driving route to and from home to minimize my exposure to cell phone towers. I am unable to travel because of the Wi-Fi systems on planes and trains and in hotels, and because of the widespread use of personal wireless devices on planes, trains, and in airports and train stations.

My 12 year old daughter is also EHS. She experiences cognitive difficulties, headaches, nausea, muscle weakness, and hot flashes when at school where there is Wi-Fi and the frequent daily use of wireless devices. Her immune system is affected by RF radiation also, and last school year, she missed a total of 30 days of school because of recurrent infections that none of her classmates contracted, just her. When she is out of school for the 3 months of summer break, her symptoms disappear and she does not get sick.

Exposure limits for RF radiation should be more restrictive. The revising of the current limits should involve medical doctors, familiar with current medical research, who understand the non-thermal biological effects of RF radiation on the human body. The limits should be established with human health, not corporate profits, in mind.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit comments and I hope the FCC will act on them with conscience and integrity.

Sincerely,



Janice R. Olch, Santa Fe, NM

*Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St. SW, Washington, DC 20554*

Topic: "Comments on Notice of Inquiry, ET Docket No. 13-84."

Dear Federal Communications Commission,

Received & Inspected

SEP 09 2013

FCC Mail Room

Thank you for requesting public comments on whether you should revise the standards for human exposure to radio frequency radiation.

Many scientists, health professionals, teachers and even the U.S. military know of the harmful effects of exposure to radio frequency radiation. YES, the FCC should revise the standards for human exposure, making it more restrictive in general, and even more restrictive in areas where children will be exposed, such as schools, libraries, and residential areas.

School children exposed all day to Wi-Fi have reported headaches, dizziness, and nausea. Adults as well have reported ill effects from exposure to Wi-Fi and other forms of radio frequency. Scientists have publicly warned about the harmful effects of radio frequency.

Please note that your request for public comments will not have reached a large amount of the general public, but most likely will have caught the attention of the electronic communications industries with monetary investments. I ask that you consider this when making a decision and I ask that you place your concern on protection vs. supporting big industry investments.

Sincerely,



Mary Baenen

607 Cedar Street

Sandpoint ID 83864

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ASCDE _____

0

03.September.2013

Daniel Fischer
6786 Cowles Mtn Blvd.
San Diego, CA 92119

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St. SW
Washington, DC 20554

Received & inspected

SEP 09 2013

FCC Mail Room

Re: Comments on Notice of Inquiry, ET Docket No. 13-84

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to request the exposure limits to human exposure to radio frequency be made more restrictive. As an engineer working for the federal government our computers are cable based. I have notified my employer if they elect to convert to wireless technology, I will be forced to seek other employment where they do not employ wireless technology.

Whenever relatives operate their I-Pads or I-Phones nearby, I must move to another location to avoid exposure. I would get nauseous or dizzy and would get headaches. I spoke with a relative just this last weekend who is working on a team to convert San Diego Unified School District to wireless technology. After providing the above information, the relative could not believe the company he was working for could be installing technology that could possibly harm children. Smart Meters are also a problem - we have elected to pay \$10 each month to have a legacy electric meter on our property to avoid the health risks associated with Smart Meters.

In closing, recommend that more restrictive exposure limits to radio frequencies be employed.

Thank You,



Daniel Fischer

No. of Copies rec'd 0+1
List ABCDE

9-2-13

Received & Inspected

SEP 09 2013

FCC Mail Room

To whom this concerns:

The infringement of wi fi exposures has completely changed my life ~~is~~ from a normal out door, communicating living to complete seclusion in my home.

I cannot go to the doctor, grocery store, movie house, shopping, visit a hospital, travel, the beach, the dentist, nothing. I had to go out to a more remote area of suburb to be in a house.

It causes ^{severe} dizziness, muscle spasms inability to think, and complete collapse to where I am bedridden.

First it was just cell phones, now it includes computers and different electronic items you can buy. It is getting worse and needs to be reduced substantially, unless you ~~do~~ want us to die.

Wake up and correct this situation before its too late, before we die.

Sincerely

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

Virginia Cooper
16786 Cowles Mtn Blvd.
San Diego CA 92119

P.S. It raises my blood pressure as well.

Comments on Notice of Inquiry,ET Docket No. 13-84

3004 West Chase Ave
Chicago, Illinois 60645-1124

September 1, 2013

Office of the Secretary
FCC
445 12th St. SW
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected

SEP 09 2013

FCC Mail Room

Dear Sirs/Ms.

I have been injured by radiation that complies with current expose limits. The standards need to be revisited, non thermal effects of radiation must be taken into account, as well as the CUMULATIVE LEVEL of radiation from multiple sources.

Current standards are grossly inadequate and do not reflect the vast amounts of honest independent (vs wireless communication industry related) research showing serious harmful biological effects directly resulting from radio frequency.

Sincerely,
Lois Sachs



No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

0+1

3045 6th St
Boulder
CO 80304

Received & Inspected

SEP 09 2013

September 2, 2013

FCC Mail Room

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St SW
Washington, DC 20554

Comments on Notice of Inquiry, ET Docket No. 13-84

To whom it may concern:

I understand that the FCC is asking for public comments on whether it should revisit its standards for human exposure to radio frequency radiation. Given the increased use of wireless devices, the research done, and the health concerns raised since 1996 when current standards were adopted, I believe a revision is critical at this time.


Speaking as one of the growing number of people with electromagnetic hypersensitivity (EHS), I do not believe that present exposure limits are sufficient to protect the population. Current SAR limits of no more than 1.6 watts per kilogram assume that users of devices are men who weigh about 200 pounds, not women or children. Current limits do not take into consideration the cumulative effects of RF exposure or non-thermal negative biological effects of RF.

It is difficult to describe the sensations, but I experience discomfort and disorientation when exposed to certain levels of RF. It is hard for me to be on buses or in building where wireless routers are employed and/or many people are using cell phones. My ear and the side of my head feel strange and begin to hurt if I try to use a cell phone or cordless phone up against my head.

Because of my sensitivity, I do not use a microwave oven, cordless phones, or wireless computer connections. I have a land line and rarely use a cell phone. I chose my current phone based on its low SAR rating. I use a RF meter to detect and avoid unsafe levels of RF in my environment. I urge everyone I know to use an air tube head-set to avoid RF exposure.

I urge the FCC to revise its standards, and ultimately make exposure limits more restrictive than they are now. I encourage you to require disclosure of the maximum SAR value of wireless devices, and to educate the public on RF energy from fixed transmitters in the environment. I also think there should be better protection for workers in high RF conditions.

Sincerely,
Helen Hess




No. of Copies rec'd 0+1
List ABCDE

August 31, 2013

**Comments on Notice of Inquiry,
ET Docket Number 13-84**

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St. SW
Washington DC 20554



Received & Inspected

SEP 09 2013

FCC Mail Room

Dear Persons at the FCC

I am writing to ask you to reconsider the exposure standards for human exposure to radio frequency radiation. The current standards are far too loose - they say that harm only comes when flesh is heated by the radiation. I am electronically hypersensitive. My life and career and health have been if not ruined at least seriously harmed and compromised by the massive influx and increase in radio frequency radiation since the mid - 1990's.

I first noticed that if I was within six to ten feet of a microwave oven and it was on I would feel a sensation of pressure in my head. That was in 1992. In 1996 my son got a cell phone and when I tried it I could feel not only pressure and a weird sensation all through my head, but also pain in my ears and a sense of anxiety. I decided not to get a cell phone. Today, if I use one, same thing.

In 1995 a friend gave me a cordless phone with an answering machine attached. I rapidly began waking up three or four times a night, not having the nightly dreams I was used to, and feeling anxious when I was on the phone. My ears started hurting again when I used it. I gave it away in 2001 and began sleeping/dreaming normally again. I have a corded phone now.

But the proliferation of wi fi, cell towers and cell phones has destroyed my life. I live in a relatively rural area and yet we now have multiple cell towers and huge overlapping wi fi fields from everyone's wi fi - which intersect and form 'rogue' frequencies - not only does it fuzz out the fm radio signals all along the main drags of Mendocino and Fort Bragg but it means that I am nauseous, headachy, irritable and sick whenever I spend more than 20 minutes in any urban area or public venue - or even a friend's house. I can drink alcohol to mask the sick feeling but can't handle the double hangover that happens later as I detox from both the booze and the lingering effects of the radiofrequencies.

In 2006 I almost died from the combination of metal poisoning from the water where I lived and the five fold increase in the effects of microwave radiation due to the tenfold increase in aluminum and copper in my system. I became a human antenna for several years. I would scream with pain as the sensation of icepicks in my ears came when I came within a quarter mile of a cell tower signal or anywhere with several cell phones on or wi fi on. I got rid of about half of the metal (chelation) but the sensitivity has not abated. It gets worse as the radiation increases. (more)

for consultations, subscriptions, CDs or bookings contact Antonia at
antonialamb.com • P.O.Box 395, Mendocino CA 95460 • 707-937-0119 • antonia@mcn.org

No. of Copies rec'd 0+1
List ABCDE

(cont.)

Just to be clear: in these fields I experience head pressure and headaches, nausea, weird metallic tastes in the back of my throat, tinnitus, heart arrhythmia, 20 to 40 point increases in my blood pressure, irritability, confusion & brainfog. I can't sleep well. I don't dream well. I feel like I'm on bad speed (ergo, amphetamine/uppers.)

Before microwave radiation disabled me (that is between 1978-2002) - I used to travel all over the world as a performing musician and doing workshops as a consulting astrologer/ counselor/ writer. I could travel in the USA as well and did. Now I have to drive my old non-electronic Volvo wherever I want to go and stay either in my car in a canyon or isolated place away from radiation or at the occasional friend's house who doesn't have wi fi. My income is destroyed. I feel sick a lot of the time and my access to 'civilized' life is severely impaired. I can spend only limited time on a computer, even one with a flat screen. I am getting nauseated and my skin itches as I write this.


I cannot fly in an airplane or feel ok in an airport. I can't even take the train or most buses anymore. I am sickened by the wi fi/ cell phones/ fluorescent bulbs in our local clinic and hospitals. I can't stay in a motel or hotel, go to a public library or state park, do jury duty or go to the courthouse without getting very ill within 10 minutes to an hour or so depending on the strength of the field...

Devastated economically, I earn a very small income working close to home and within about a 150 mile radius from where I live. I can't even safely or comfortably visit my kids or relatives - they live in major cities with radiofrequency fields everywhere. And finding a place to live that is shielded from wi fi and cell signals - it is nearly impossible especially since I have been impoverished by my sensitivity.

Please revisit and redefine the standards for exposure to microwave radiation immediately. This technology is NOT regulated in any meaningful way. My life and the lives of so many have been destroyed or severely impaired by this deadly but lucrative for many technology.

I am sure that we all knew that cigarettes were harmless (due to the financial influence of the cigarette companies and the desire of people to smoke) and I am sure that the insistence that radiofrequency radiation is safe has a lot to do with money and power and the addictive convenience of this technology. Please demonstrate that there is at least one agency in the US that has integrity.

Thank you
Antonia Lamb
P.O. Box 395, Mendocino CA 95460



Comments on Notice of Inquiry,
ET Docket No. 13-84

Received & Inspected

SEP 09 2013

FCC Mail Room

TO: Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St, SW
Washington DC 20554

FROM: Valetta A. Kayda
15413 Ponderosa Loop
La Pine, OR 97739

August 29, 2013

I have severe Electromagnetic Field Hypersensitivity. It has devastated my life for more than five years.

I am in contact with other people who have this hypersensitivity. Their numbers are growing rapidly in the U.S. and world wide.

The current standards for human exposure to radio frequency radiation have been inadequate to protect our health from wireless technology. I continue to suffer great pain when in the vicinity of wireless technology

and it is becoming only ever increasingly difficult to escape this exposure or reduce it.

Wireless technology has driven me out of my career as a speech-language pathologist. I am no longer able to practice my profession in schools or hospitals, because of their wireless environments.

Wireless technology has driven me out of one apartment triplex, six houses and six communities. It may soon drive me out of a seventh very rural home as the technology increases in strength and expands its coverage here.

As a result of wireless technology making me unable to work, a home I bought may soon be foreclosed.

I am also unable to live in a second home I own, because two cell ~~phone~~ towers have been installed across the street from the house.

I have struggled throughout these years since getting Electromagnetic Field Hypersensitivity, to find a safe place to live. I am still struggling to find such a place.

Wireless technology restricts my access to public buildings and services. Hospitals, police stations and libraries are

a few of the places I could not enter when my hypersensitivity was at its worst. These remain difficult places to spend anymore than a short time (less than 30 minutes) in. The wireless environment in these public places causes my health to rapidly deteriorate and increases my pain greatly.

It would be extremely difficult for me to be in an ambulance or undergo major surgery.

Wireless technology also negatively impacts my immune system and I have had many problems with that. I have become chemically hypersensitive and chronically fatigued, I have food and other allergies.

I am unable to use computers in the years since the EMFs Hypersensitivity, although I had enjoyed using them for the ~~last~~ ^{last} eighteen years prior to this hypersensitivity. I am also unable to enjoy many other activities or use many modern machines because of my hypersensitivity. Exposure to radio frequency radiation has imposed many limitations on my activities of daily living.

My life and the lives of others have been devastated by Electromagnetic Field Hypersensitivity and the current standards for human exposure to radio frequency radiation. These standards continue to be devastating to our lives. The FCC should revisit

these standards. Exposure limits should be more restrictive.

In addition epidemiological studies and scientific research, conducted in the years since the original ^(current) standards were written by the FCC, call into question the appropriateness of the current standards and point to a possibly reckless experimentation with people's health. This controversy over current standards for human exposure to radio frequency radiation is another good reason for the FCC to revisit these standards. Exposure limits should be more restrictive. The FCC should error on the side of taking precautions to protect people, rather than on the side of reckless experimentation with possibly disastrous side effects on the entire population.

If a larger percentage of the U.S. population develops Electromagnetic Field Hypersensitivity in the future due to the continuance of current standards, the U.S. will have a population suffering as I am, unable to purchase and use many modern devices, unable to live in communities, unable to maintain home ownership, unable to work. Imagine the impact such a population will have

on the U.S. economy and viability
of this country.

Respectfully and
Sincerely,

Valetta A. Kayda

Received & Inspected

SEP 09 2013

FCC Mail Room

Comment Notice Inquiry ET Docket No 13-84
Comment Notice Inquiry ET Docket No 13-84

Non-ionizing radiation continues to make my life only existing, and not living.

I feel our government (including Congress) and agencies are not protecting the people, but only big business, and I believe that will be the downfall of the US and other countries in the very near future. The people will be sick and dying more in the very near future because of the type of technology we use that irradiates. Chemical dumping was allowed in the past too, and everyone is contaminated to a degree with toxins, some more than other people, and even new born babies too.

You are allowing people, nature, and animals to constantly be irradiated because you allow and recognize only ionizing radiation standards, and they are obsolete and much too high. Whereas, Radiation is Radiation even with non-ionizing radiation is present. You allow children/adults to die of brain cancer and leukemia, while protecting businesses.

You allow wireless without proper studies, as you have in the past power lines and electricity to our homes and businesses.

I moved twice due to various non-ionizing radiation, and now I am staying put even though I am affected. You have allowed, like a metastatic cancer, the growth of wireless. The emissions from the antennas directed in this direction coming from the church steeple affect not only me, but the wiring as well. The sounds from my Electrosmog meter emits loud sounds, besides I can hear the piercing microwave ringing in my ear 24/7, and sometimes it hurts and itches. I am still alive due to some remediation I have done in my apartment to help block some of the radiation. Then you have allowed DECK phones without proper warning of radiation, and here my neighbor has her DECK phone against the same wall as the head of my bed. I can no longer sleep in my bed once again...3rd time. My personality changed for the worse and head pain, memory loss and feeling ghastly before I found out what the cause was with my meters...6.4 mG showed the magnetic field coming through, plus the Electrosmog meter screams loudly from the microwave present too. Then between am stations on my portable radio emits loud static in all rooms in my small apartment. There are ground currents here, and invasive fields, and since my other new neighbor moved in I hear the sounds (radio/TV) coming into my apartment, that never occurred before, and I am affected by this too.

Previously where I lived strong fields came way down from the ceiling (lived there for 6 years when this occurred) and a small amount from the floor. I had

♀
pain on the top of my head and nausea, and many other symptoms mainly in the evening/night, I could feel the radiation with my right hand, and when out of the apartment there was no pain or nausea unless I was exposed to fluorescent lights and other radiation in other buildings or outside. The nightmare started then, and continues.

I have lived in a tent here, in a truck when I lived in the woods, and on the 4th floor porch. I have had two trained experts do readings in Hartford and here where I live. In fact, I sent to the White House copies of those and other papers back some years ago, but I never heard back.

The ionizing americium alarm system, that probably was not grounded, had been affecting me, and I am thankful we now have a photovoltaic system.

We need trained people, like Building Biology have, and like our EPA had in the past who from what I heard was top notch, and now are not, in reading Electromagnetic Radiation and remediation.

It is predicted that the big concern in the future is the young people who will

Comment Notice Inquiry ET Docket No 13-84
have Alzheimer's in their 20s/30s due to the changes made to their brains by
radiation/cell phones. In addition, our service people who are exposed to
depleted uranium and radiation.

You have not kept an area free from Radiation for those who cannot tolerate it.

Many things I would like to say, but enough for now, as I have made some
important statements.

Regards,

Carol Moore

EHS/MCS person

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Carol Moore".

♀

143

From: John Prisco
247-CORT 15
Laconia, NY 13083

8/31/13

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445-12th Street SW
Washington DC 20554

Received & Inspected
SEP 09 2013
FCC Mail Room

Comments on Notice of Inquiry, ET Docket No.
13-84

Dear Mr. Secretary,

I am writing to express my opinion that exposure limits from radio frequency radiation should be more restricted. I would like to tell you about my personal experience so that you might consider it when making your decision.

I have been ill with electromagnetic hypersensitivity (EHS) since 2001 and my life and ability to function normally has been severely impaired because of it. I became so sensitive to electromagnetic fields (EMF) from cell towers, cell and mobile phones, computers, TV's, fluorescent lights and normal electric appliances and wires that I was forced to leave my life long home of Brooklyn, NY and move to a much less electrified area of state NY in a rural community.

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

0/1

I fully realize new technology is here to stay. My point is that knowing EMF affect human beings in ways we do not fully understand yet, it should mandate a wise, more cautious use with limits set on how much radio frequency radiation we allow people to be subject to. Mr. Secretary, it is not only the EHS community to consider, but we have no idea yet as to how much illness may be caused directly or exacerbated by ~~low~~ radio frequency radiation.

All too often we have allowed new pesticides, chemicals, medicines to have widespread usage only to discover disastrous health effects later. Consider DDT, once thought "safe" in the 1950's, now recognized as one of the most toxic substances still in our environment 40 years after we stopped using it.

It should not be incumbent on the citizenry to prove a new technology dangerous but rather, it should be incumbent on industry to prove it safe.

Thank you for this opportunity to express my opinion and share those thoughts with you.

Respectfully,

John Prisco

John Prisco

247-Cort 15

Laconia, NY 13083

3388 Chinook Trail
Wonalancet, NH 03897
September 3, 2013

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St. SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Received & Inspected

SEP 09 2013

FCC Mail Room

RE: Comments on Notice of Inquiry
ET Docket No. 13-84

Dear Sir:

I appreciate the opportunity to submit my comments regarding your revisiting the exposure standards for RF radiation in the U.S. I have the expectation also that these comments on a subject of such enormous importance shall be seriously and soberly considered by unprejudiced individuals having no conflict of interest. With this letter I am speaking also for millions upon millions of Americans who, knowingly or unknowingly, are suffering from the effects of this ever-increasing radiation in their environment.

It is both shocking and unconscionable that this proliferation of microwave towers + antennae for wireless technology, vast and unstoppable since November of 1996, was mandated by an Act specifically disallowing any discussion of health concerns. This certainly seems unconstitutional in America. And one must wonder why that clause was inserted. Was not anyone who authorized this mandate troubled by that section? Anyone?

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

I am practically house bound because of not being able to function in an electric/radio frequency environment. I am completely unable to go into any public building such as a hospital, doctor's office, store, bank etc., or even in a friend's house because of the omnipresence of computers, TV's, fluorescent lights, electric meters, cell & mobile phones which make me so ill I am forced to leave within seconds. Because of this, I depend totally on members of a local church to shop and do errands for me.

I realize it is difficult for those not afflicted with electrical sensitivities to comprehend its devastating effects on the lives of those who have developed it. I ask you to consider its reality in the light of the fact a former Director General of the World Health Organization, Dr. Gro Harlem Brundtland, who was also former Prime Minister of Norway for 10 years and who had an MA in Public Health in addition to being an MD, announced in 2002 she became electrically sensitive and became ill when near computers, cell & mobile phones and resigned her position as Director General.

Sweden has recognized ELTS as a disability and has in fact outfitted four hospitals with low EMF areas to accommodate the electrically sensitive. I wish we had those accommodations here.

Before the 1980s there were the medical terms "microwave illness" and "radiowave sickness". Interestingly we never hear these terms nowadays. It would sure help the doctors out, and the patients too. They are a cause and a cure in themselves: In their midst you are ill, get away from them and you get well.

Nowadays I am suffering from "EHS" - electro-magnetic hypersensitivity. I could weep when I think of the loss of my health, happiness, productiveness and usefulness to society + friends + family during what could have been the happiest and most productive years of my life. I was 50 when my health suddenly collapsed and I am 66 now. I have moved over 30 times during those years trying to find a place where I could be free from the microwaves.

Within months of moving to this remote hamlet WIFI was brought into the neighborhood - radio waves. I was very sick all over again until they reconfigured the transmission. Now for nearly ² years another transmission that manifests as an intense high frequency sound sometimes continuing for days and nights. When it is on I am not well at all and completely unproductive, when it goes off I am almost instantly well again. A neighbor is suffering too.

I think also of the words Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness. These words ring empty for sufferers of EHS. How full is life if its quality is ruined by illness? Liberty? If you have no choice about having this in your environment?

13-84

2700 Virginia Avenue, N
905
Washington, DC 20037
Aug 30, 2013

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Received & Inspected
SEP 09 2013
FCC Mail Room

Dear Sirs = Ms =

My name is Marija Hughes and I am recommending that standards for human exposure to radio frequency radiation be more restrictive ~~it be lower~~, since I am already EMF sensitive, EMF sensitive 25 years; very ill.

Working for the US Dept. of Labor, OSHA I was exposed to radiation from malfunctioning equipment in 9/1988. I was diagnosed with radiation exposure in Dallas, TX by Dr. William Rea, and Danica Klic-Filipovic, at Institute for Occupational Medicine and Radiological Protection, Belgrade Yugoslavia, 11/2/1988. Ill, I was on sick leave 2 years.

Marija Hughes v. Dept of Labor OSA 40010

Appel No. 01A33181; agency No. 03-11-01

05-312 = Hughes v. Labor, US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit 05-3312

Returned to work. Worked in a semi lit office on a typewriter 10 years. Driven out of job 2003!

Cannot use a) electronic equipment

b) no cell phone

c) computer

d) only telephone & copier

Comments on Notice of Inquiry, ET Docket No. 13-84

No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE 0+1

Live alone with a cat

Cannot go to movies

|| go to libraries

|| cannot travel

|| go to hotel (has TV)

visit friends, have TV

I am baricaded alive

Cannot go out when sunny

Must always have a) hat and long sleeves

When rainy - have horrible pain
fell rain drops

Using telephone - face red

Cannot wear a) dry cleaned clothes
only b) cotton, wool, silk

Light sensitive - cannot be under the
fluorescent light
only a light bulb

Can eat only organic food

EMF caused my breast cancer in 2000.

Horribly ill - had 4 TIA - small heart attacks

When had TIA's could not go to hospital
in bed

I have 3 advanced degrees - Library Science,
Information Systems, and Public Health

Wrote 8 books - a) Computer Health Hazards
b) Computers, antennas, cellular telephone and
power lines health hazards.

Unemployed. walking dogs!

Sincerely, Marije Hryler

Pursuit of Happiness? Can you be happy when you have flu-like symptoms? Migraine headaches? Fatigue + depression so great you can hardly rise from your bed or the couch? Can you be happy when you watch your health and most cherished ambitions, hobbies, talents, interests and enthusiasms collapse around you as you lie helplessly by? And there are many more manifestations of health effects far more serious than these, of this radiation on our society.

If you will look with an open and unbiased mind at the calamities that have befallen our country that paralleled the rise and proliferation of this technology, it is compelling - starting with the first cell phones + mobile phones among more privileged citizens in 1982. In that year there was a 1/3 drop in the population of songbirds in the U.S. (the canaries in the gold mine) "and nobody knows why". Then the beginning of the plummet in the physical, emotional and mental health of the population, rise of severe weather disturbances, devastating diseases becoming epidemics, the drop in bat + honeybee populations, etc. Why is not the proliferation of this strong and focused radiation, which is very new and recent in earth's history (as are ^{these} calamities) considered as a possible cause of all of this? We are swimming in it wherever we go and there is nowhere to go to get away from it.

You certainly do need to address your exposure limits to be much more restrictive and to set aside places for EHS sufferers and any others to go to

where they can be free from these electromagnetic fields that are so harmful to them.

I would like to be able to work again in town, to go to plays or farmers markets or concerts, to linger at a shopping center, to go to a crowded beach, or to have had any house or any neighborhood a possibility as a choice of place to live.

I sincerely hope that you will stop and begin backpedaling, also considering how some of the advanced technology driven by these microwave + radio wave facilities that once were in the hands of only the military or law enforcement, are now being used by completely unauthorized citizens for spying, eavesdropping, tracking, etc. "Peeping Tom" is another term no longer heard these days. Such a person could be miles or hundreds of miles away enabled by his wireless device.

Is this what we want in this country?
In my neighborhood the WIFI (or WIMAX) made this type of thing possible*. This ^(WIFI) also needs to be regulated as it is in our schools + libraries. I hope too that you have inspectors that make sure these facilities are in compliance.

Please also educate and warn the public about these dangers so that they may make an educated decision on wireless devices.

Sincerely, Mary E. Vana

* or else smartphones