

**Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Reassessment of Federal Communications Commission Radiofrequency Exposure Limits and Policies	)	ET Docket No. 13-84
	)	
	)	
Proposed Changes in the Commission's Rules Regarding Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields	)	ET Docket No. 03-137
	)	
	)	

To: Office of the Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, DC 20554

Comment Filed by: Kevin Mottus  
11041 Santa Monica Blvd #627  
Los Angeles, CA 90025  
Stopwirelessradiationnow@gmail.com

November 16, 2013

**Kevin Mottus**

California

Los Angeles

I, Kevin Mottus, attest that my statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

**Comment** round for FCC ET Docket No. 013-84 and ET Docket No. 03-137

1. My name is Kevin Mottus My address is 11041 Santa Monica Blvd. #209
2. I am a social worker.
3. With UCLA/USC and Yale University coming out with NIH funded studies showing health effects, behavioral and developmental problems in infants where mothers are exposed to RadioFrequency radiation from cell phones how can we not warn mothers, how can we consider thoroughly exposing our children with this same radiation in our wireless classrooms, and how can we consider exposing our infants and children at home with smart meters which expose occupants in the home much more than the manufacturer or power companies report and we have the video to prove it??(see attached studies).
4. The USC/UCLA study is a replication of a study already done in Europe which is suppose to be the gold standard for verifying the validity of a study yet we continue to ignore the results and microwave our children with the RF radiation anyway in retail locations, now school, home with smart meters and with city wide WiFi systems. This is not progress; this is the worst environmental mistake we have made to date. Wake up. You are harming people. I can have these people give sworn testimony before you. This is unconscionable and shows absolute ignorance of the issue and unwillingness to look at the issue.
5. The wireless industry will say we looked at the weight of the evidence; this does not mean that there are not studies showing that there are health effects. It means that the wireless industry has funded enough studies themselves to question the other studies or confuse the issue working around the critical factors, including population designed to minimize the effects and using averaging to hide health effects. As a government body which you are really not since you are composed so largely of the wireless industry but what you are suppose to do and is your legal mandate is to look out for the public's health and your future customers and side on the side of caution and that is to give more weight to the studies showing health effects in order to warn and act to protect the public's health.

Submitted by:  
Kevin Mottus

11041 Santa Monica Blvd. #627  
Los Angeles, CA 90025

