

**Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Reassessment of Federal Communications Commission Radiofrequency Exposure Limits and Policies	)	ET Docket No. 13-84
	)	
Proposed Changes in the Commission's Rules Regarding Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields	)	ET Docket No. 03-137
	)	
	)	

To: Office of the Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, DC 20554

Comment Filed by: Kevin Mottus  
11041 Santa Monica Blvd #627  
Los Angeles, CA 90025  
Stopwirelessradiationnow@gmail.com

November 17, 2013

**Kevin Mottus**

California

Los Angeles

I, Kevin Mottus, attest that my statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

**Comment** round for FCC ET Docket No. 013-84 and ET Docket No. 03-137

1. My name is Kevin Mottus My address is 11041 Santa Monica Blvd. #209

2. I am a social worker.

3. With thousands of studies showing non-thermal biological effects from RF radiation exposure used in wireless, how can the FCC continue to deny their existence? (see attached studies and references to studies). How can your guidelines continue to ignore their existence and only include thermal effects? How can you use these guidelines as safety guidelines when they are not safety standards and do not take into account nonthermal effects? This is criminal.

4. The FCC should immediately institute biologically based safety standards that include and take into account the existence of nonthermal effects down to the level of .0001u/cm<sup>2</sup> where biological effects have been seen. The microwaves used in wireless are carcinogenic, neurotoxic and genotoxic (see the attached studies to verify) and thus should be protected against and exposure minimized.

Submitted by:

Kevin Mottus

11041 Santa Monica Blvd. #627

Los Angeles, CA 90025