

**Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Reassessment of Federal Communications Commission Radiofrequency Exposure Limits and Policies)	ET Docket No. 13-84
)	
Proposed Changes in the Commission's Rules Regarding Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields)	ET Docket No. 03-137

REPLY COMMENTS OF HEIDI LUMPKIN to the FCC and AT&T

Regarding the reply comments submitted by Robert Vitanza, Gary L. Phillips, and Peggy Garber

AT&T Services, Inc.
208 S. Akard Street
Rm 2914
Dallas, Texas 75202

Dear Mr. Vitanza, Mr. Phillips, and Ms. Garber:

Regarding your assertion that a 2-year period is needed to transition to new RF exposure rules –

“E. A Two-Year Period is Needed to Transition to New RF Exposure Rules.

If the Commission imposes new RF exposure rules, it should provide a minimum two year transition period. New rules, including changes to the RF evaluation exemption threshold, would require licensees to evaluate sites that are categorically excluded from routine evaluation and potentially require licensees to adopt additional mitigation measures. Licensees will need time to evaluate existing facilities and bring them into conformance with any new rules.”

Would you be willing to ask the parents in Orange County, California to understand that it would be necessary to allow the numbers of cases of autism in children to increase because industry, after all, needs *at least two years* to evaluate their facilities and bring them into conformance before reduced RF exposure limits are implemented?

Would you be willing to ask the countless numbers of parents, Boards of Education, and pediatricians who are observing adverse changes in their children who are exposed to ever increasing sources of wireless RF from their cell phones, wireless devises and routers in their

schools, that they should just be patient and wait for new restrictions to be put into place that might protect their children because industry needs time to evaluate their facilities...?

Would you be willing to tell the American Academy of Pediatrics who have asked the FCC to reduce the RF exposure to protect children's health and well-being that this goal will need to be delayed for *at least two years* because industry needs time to evaluate their facilities...?

Would you be willing to ask those of us that are experiencing ever greater levels of physical and emotional disability due to our sensitivities to all things wireless, to the point of having to leave our jobs, abandon our homes, avoid libraries, college campuses, hospitals and doctor's offices, planes, trains, and buses - that we should just be patient while you take the necessary time to evaluate your facilities...?

Would you be willing to compensate the U.S. health care system and medical insurance industry for costs incurred with treating the millions of people that will evidence symptoms of RF-induced illness such as Alzheimer's, diabetes, heart disease, cancer, neurological deterioration, endocrine disorders, immune system destruction, etc. as described in the scientific studies submitted as comments to this proceeding, because you feel it is more important to allow American citizens to continue to be exposed to these documented harmful frequencies so that AT&T and other licensees can have more time to evaluate their facilities...

Would you be willing to ask the family in Wisconsin who has filed numerous comments to this proceeding that they should understand that it is the inconvenience of industry and other wireless licensees that should be a priority to the FCC when it comes to implementing any changes made to RF exposure rules rather than the health of their son who continues to experience heart arrhythmias from exposure, in their observations, to the RF radiation coming from the smart meter on their property. He might suffer in the meantime, but they should understand...industry needs time to adjust....

Would you be willing to ask the countless families who are being threatened with electrical and water service disconnection due to their refusals to have RF meters installed on their homes that they should be willing to wait, in the dark, without heat or water, or suffer with the adverse effects of exposure to RF radiation while industry takes time to evaluate their facilities...

Would you be willing to make a statement to Congress and the American people as they watch other countries such as France, India, Sweden, Switzerland, Denmark, and even Russia, etc reduce their allowable RF exposure limits and limit the placement of RF antennas so as to protect vulnerable populations such as children, that the United States needs to continue to degrade the health and well-being of its residents because industry needs to have time to adjust to new potentially more restrictive rules on RF exposure.

Are you willing to tell physicians that are experiencing reduced effectiveness of their breast cancer treatments due to the interference of wireless RF with drugs like tamoxifen, that they should just deal with it for a few more years because industry needs time to evaluate their facilities...?

Are you willing to tell future generations of women that their inability to conceive or the increased incidence of birth defects in their children due to the exposure of their reproductive organs during adolescence to wireless RF and the resulting DNA damage was a price that had to be paid because the wireless industry delayed the implementation of protective RF exposure limits by requesting that the FCC give them *at least two years* to evaluate their facilities...

When the evidence for the reduction of pollinator species such as the honey bee due to exposure to wireless microwave radiofrequencies has become irrefutable and the resulting losses in agricultural output have skyrocketed causing economic destruction to the fruit and vegetable farmers, would you be willing to tell them that it was all worthwhile because industry needed more time to evaluate their facilities before implementing safe RF exposure limits ...?

Would you be willing to tell the American Academy of Environmental Physicians whose comment to these proceedings stated that “the peer reviewed, scientific literature demonstrates the correlation between EMF/RF exposure and neurological, cardiac, and pulmonary disease as well as reproductive disorders, immune dysfunction, cancer and other health conditions. The evidence is irrefutable.” that, despite this assessment we, as a country, should still allow no reduction in EMF/RF exposure because AT&T and other wireless licensees need at least two years to evaluate their facilities and bring them into compliance...

I ask the FCC to consider that what is at stake here is nothing less than the future viability of the United States, the health of its' citizens, the quality of its environment, the ability of current and future generations to live in a world that supports and sustains them. Allowing the proliferation of wireless technology threatens our very existence. The evidence is there and it overwhelming concludes that we have embarked on a path to our own destruction.

Allowing the telecommunications industry to determine the destiny of the United States, essentially robbing us all of our futures has been a horrifying realization for me. Watching someone I love die a slow painful death due to his exposure to RF radiation and now watching my own health slowly deteriorate due to the wireless RF from cell phone towers, smart meters, and wireless routers I am being exposed to, without my consent and without my knowledge, is an experience that I never thought would be possible in the United States.

It is up to the FCC to do the right thing. The right path lies before you. You need only take the first step.

Sincerely,

Heidi Lumpkin
Sandpoint, Idaho