Ex (i)

Procedural email to and from Havens and office of ALJ Sipple filing procedures - attached

Apart from the principal items in the attached as to filing procedures in this *ECFS* docket, which speak for themself, this email also explains at its end why a copy of the response will be filed on *ULS* one of the SkyTel AMTS licenses (for proof of filing today, December 16, 2013).

Declaration: The authentication of the attached as a true and correctr copy is under the Havens Declaration under §1.251 included in this Response.

/ / /

Subject:	Re: Question re: response due today in Docket No. 11-71
From:	eitt líf. koma nú. griðastaðir (warren.havens@sbcglobal.net)
To:	Austin.Randazzo@fcc.gov; jstobaugh@telesaurus.com; Mary.Gosse@fcc.gov; Patricia.Ducksworth@fcc.gov;
Date:	Monday, December 16, 2013 1:44 PM

Thank you, we we do all that.

- W Havens

From: Austin Randazzo <Austin.Randazzo@fcc.gov>
To: Jimmy Stobaugh <jstobaugh@telesaurus.com>; Mary Gosse <Mary.Gosse@fcc.gov>; Patricia Ducksworth <Patricia.Ducksworth@fcc.gov>
Cc: Warren Havens <warren.havens@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, December 16, 2013 1:30 PM
Subject: RE: Question re: response due today in Docket No. 11-71

We advise that you send the other parties courtesy copies of your filings today. You can e-mail us your courtesy copies for us tomorrow, provided that you file on ECFS today. Multiple filings on ECFS are fine, provided you file them all today. We ask that you use clear labels/titles so that the filings are easy to fit together and follow. Thanks.

Austin Randazzo
Attorney Advisor
Office of Administrative Law Judges
Federal Communications Commission
(202) 418-2280

From: Jimmy Stobaugh [mailto:jstobaugh@telesaurus.com]
Sent: Monday, December 16, 2013 4:19 PM
To: Austin Randazzo; Mary Gosse; Patricia Ducksworth
Cc: Warren Havens
Subject: Question re: response due today in Docket No. 11-71

Dear Ms. Ducksworth, Ms. Gosse and Mr. Randazzo,

I (Warren Havens) and Mr. Stobaugh will call your office about the below soon today.

I have a question regarding the response due today to the December 2nd motion/settlement proposal of Maritime and the Enforcement Bureau (the "Havens Response"). On December 2nd, I submitted certain motions on ECFS, prior to midnight. [*] My assistant, Jimmy Stobaugh, and I had problems with ECFS accepting multiple file uploads under one cover sheet. That caused many attempts, using many browsers. Still, ECFS did not even show some of the filings made on December 2nd until December 4 or 5, but definitely not on December 3 (we saved copies of the ECFS docket sheet on proceeding 11-71, as it existed at the end of December 3 for proof).

The Havens Response that will be filed today safely before midnight has a large number of attachments that will be separately uploaded. This time, to be safe, we will not upload very many documents under a single cover sheet, due to the problems we had on December 2. This will result in a number of entries on ECFS for the Havens Response. We want to make sure that the multiple entries, resulting from multiple cover sheets, is acceptable, for this Havens Response of today, and that I do not need to submit an explanation for that.

Another question is whether I can send by email the courtesy copies to you, ALJ Sippel and the other parties tomorrow instead of by the end of today, and send only the pleading itself, noting that a large number of attachments may be obtained on ECFS, and that the FCC has an email file size limit that will reject an email with this quantity of attachments, given their total file size.

---

[*] ALJ Sippel in FCC 13M-11 wrote the following (emphasis added):

> The deadline under Section 1.301 of the Commission's rules elapsed on May 8th. 47 CFR § 1.301(b). The Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System indicates that Mr. Havens filed his Request at precisely midnight,
> moments into May 9. Mr. Havens' pleading will not be rejected as untimely solely as a matter of discretion. Perhaps Mr. Havens' watch was running a few seconds behind. However, it is expected that he will obtain a properly set timepiece before his next midnight filing. ….

Your office may be able to obtain from ECFS staff a report on the exact time of an ECFS filing. However, the ECFS's confirmation of filing that are given to a filer, right after the filing, has no time stamp, and does not even have a date stamp on it. Because of the problems we had on December 2nd, noted above, this time we plan to submit a copy of the Havens Response with all the attachments on one of the SkyTel AMTS geographic licenses that overlay one or more of the Maritime licenses subject of issue (g) and the Havens Response, because ULS filing confirmations do show the date of the filing. This will serve as a form of proof, if we have the same problem where ECFS for a long period has problems with our uploads of a large, multi-part filing.

Sincerely,
Jimmy Stobaugh
For Warren Havens