

7520963615.txt

I read Sorenson's filing (<http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/comment/view?id=6017481193>)

Disclaimer: The comment below presented by Sheri A. Farinha, CEO. NorCal Services for Deaf & Hard of Hearing to the NAD reminds me of how angry I was at the beginning of this century (2000) at Sorenson for their completely lack of sensitivity and social responsibility in the way they conducted their business under the pretense of serving the deaf community. Sorenson as well as the Deaf community are fully aware of my undying lack of respect for them.

"Who got away with dominating the market because FCC back then did not step in fast enough to slam the brakes on Sorenson's illegal practices with the contracts telling consumers they could not use any other service providers or they would lose their communication access! The field was never leveled from the beginning. It's not our fault that they owe their shareholders money and owe a zillion or so bucks and still go around suing the FCC and others wasting even more money!

"This company has lost its Deaf Heart.

"I have no pity."

Ms. Farinha's examples of Sorenson's business practices is just the tip of the iceberg and I could easily add a dozen more.

I propose this radical idea for FCC to consider. I feel that Sorenson should pay reparations to all the VRS providers until the playing field Sorenson has so maliciously unlevelled at long last becomes level. I am proposing this form of "rate setting" as opposed to Sorenson's laughable filing objections with their claim that other VRS providers are being overcompensated.

"There is no sense in which Sorenson is "overcompensated" for providing VRS --- and if anything, the opposite is true: because the other VRS providers are compensated at average rates significantly higher than Sorenson's rate, it is the other providers who are "overcompensated" for providing VRS."

It is unfair for the telephone companies to have to pay the price for the above mentioned Sorenson's past unethical and socially irresponsible business practices. These costs are passed on to the telephone customers. The costs of FCC's efforts to level the playing field via different cost compensation methods should be covered by Sorenson until the playing field is level.