

7521063691.txt

Unfortunately this NPRM brings back ALL of the interference issues associated with an earlier ARRL promoted RM (RM-11306). This earlier RM was withdrawn by the ARRL, in 2007, because of overwhelming amateur radio operator dis-satisfaction due to the significant interference that would result from its adoption. NOTE: The differences between this new NPRM and the earlier one just mentioned are minor. And a careful technical examination of the details within this new NPRM points to the same conclusion easily drawn from the earlier proposal; this latest NPRM WILL result in interference to CW, RTTY, and other narrow-bandwidth users. Even wide-bandwidth weak signal communications will be subject to regular and needless interference. Sadly ALL of the important technical details are ONLY available in the appendix of this latest NPRM instead of in the basic filing text and are easily missed. Further the ARRL has been telling their membership that this proposal will NOT cause interference and many of the comments to this new NPRM are parroting this specious information. The very real effects of cross-mode interference as well as wide-bandwidth versus narrow-bandwidth interference are self-evident in examining the appendix, even to the most junior spectrum engineers amongst the FCC's professional staff. And this is not the only such issue inherent within the details of this proposal. There is the additional (and unsolved) problem of automatic remote control of transmitters that the digital protocols REQUIRE even when an operator is present. Bottom line: You are receiving many comments saying there is no basis to any interference claims and/or suggesting that very real and unresolvable interference will NOT be a result of taking affirmative action on this latest NPRM. These comments are categorically false. It would be a monumental mistake for the FCC to give such comments any credence. Adoption of this proposal WILL insure needless and unremitting interference.