

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

ACCEPTED/FILED

DEC 31 2013

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

In re)	
MARITIME COMMUNICATIONS/LAND MOBILE, LLC)	EB Docket No. 11-71
Participation in Auction No. 61 and Licensee)	File No. EB-09-01-1751
Of Various Authorizations in the Wireless)	FRN: 001358779
Radio Services)	
Applicant for Modification of Various)	App. FNs 0004030479,
Authorizations in the Wireless Radio Services)	0004144435, 0004193028,
Applicant with ENCANA OIL AND GAS)	0004193328, 0004354053,
(USA), INC.; DUQUESNE LIGHT)	0004309872, 0004310060,
COPANY; DCP MIDSTREAM, LP;)	0004314903, 0004315013,
JACKSON COUNTY RURAL,)	0004430505, 0004417199,
MEMBERSHIP ELECTRIC)	0004419431, 0004422320,
COOPERATIVE; PUGET SOUND)	0004422329, 0004507921,
ENERGY, INC.; INTERSTATE)	0004153701, 0004526264,
POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY; ET AL.)	0004636537, 0004604962.

To: Marlene Dortch, Secretary. Attn: the Commission

Errata Copy *

Interlocutory Appeal Under Section 1.301(a)^[*]

The undersigned (“Havens”) submits this interlocutory appeal under and for purposes of rule section 1.301(a) with regard to the December 19, 2013 Order FCC 13M-22 (“the Order”) of the Administrative Law Judge Sippel (the “ALJ”) (the “Request”). Herein, “EB” means the FCC Enforcement Bureau. I attach as Appendix A my request filed today under §1.301(b) (the “301b Filing”). This filing draws from the 301(b) Filing. I argue below the Order effectively denies my party rights, and thus I submit this appeal. The Order includes (I) a requirement upon Havens that his assisting counsel, noted in his December 2, 2013 pleading (“To Reject Settlement, Proceed with the Hearing...”) which opposed the “EB-Maritime Motion filed earlier on the same day¹ (the “EB-M Motion,” for a settlement and summary decision) (the “Havens Initial Opposition”) take action to appear in this hearing (I had he same note in my Dec 16

^[*] The Order was released on ECFS (as shown on ECFS) on Dec. 20 (not on the 19th as the Order states). Thus, this filing is timely. Since this appeal is from an Order in docket 11-71, I am submitting this to the Secretary under this docket on ECFS.

¹ It also contained a motion seeking that certain further discovery be permitted, stated on one of the 61 pages. The remainder was an opposition to the EB-M Motion.

* This 12-31-13 Copy will be filed in hard copy with the Secretary's office. Additions in boxes; deletions in strikethrough.

