

January 2, 2014

Yeshivat Ohr Hatorah
2119 Homecrest Ave.
Brooklyn, NY 11229

Received & Inspected
JAN 14 2014
FCC Mail Room

FCC, Office of the Secretary
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: **CC Docket No. 02-6**

Billed Entity Number: 200034

Form 471 Application Number: 832876

Funding Request Number: 2297152

Correspondence: Administrator's Decision on Appeal – Funding Year 2012-2013 dated November 8, 2013

Funding Request Number 2297152 was a request for funding for two DHCP servers. Our funding request was denied. The funding commitment decision explanation was: The FRN is denied because the applicant failed to respond to the Administrators Cost Effectiveness Review Information Request. You did not provide any documentation to determine if the entity met Program rules requirements for cost effectiveness of the product or services requested.

We sent an appeal to the Schools and Libraries Division requesting another opportunity to justify the cost effectiveness of the product requested. Our appeal was denied. The Decision on Appeal Explanation is: USAC denied your funding requests because it was determined that the costs of the products and services in your funding requests were significantly higher than the costs generally available in your marketplace for the same or similar products or services. There is no evidence that the reason for the excessive costs is due to extenuating circumstances. You have not demonstrated on appeal that USAC's determination was incorrect. Consequently, USAC denies your appeal.

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

On the appeal to the Schools and Libraries Division, we were requesting an opportunity to explain what we were trying to accomplish. Our appeal was denied without allowing us that opportunity and therefore, we would like to presently clarify. At the time of the application, our school had 49 students. We were anticipating a large growth in student body and administrative staff. Consequently, we had plans to do construction and expand our school facilities. Due to our construction plans, we felt that a second server would be necessary. Our school did not grow at the rate we anticipated and our construction plans were cancelled. Therefore, the need for a second DHCP server is no longer necessary. We would like to cut our request to one server consequently, lowering the cost significantly. This server is extremely necessary for the smooth functioning of our school. The server we are currently using is ten years old, providing a slow flow of data and consistently crashing. Much time is lost during computer classes when this occurs and the students are already lagging behind in their curriculum.

Please approve our funding request for one DHCP server at the cost of \$ 33,935. Thank you.

Respectfully,

Yaakov Marcus

Dean