

Exhibit 7

Havens's email request to ALJ of 1-27-14 responding to 14M-4 and asking ALJ to respond to what he *actually* requested in his 1-17 filings, as to the effective date of Order **14M-3**. (**H4**)

<b>Subject:</b>	request under 1.301(c). Re: Maritime Communication/Land Mobile, LLC (FCC14M-4)
<b>From:</b>	eitt líf. koma nú. griðastaðir (warren.havens@sbcglobal.net)
<b>To:</b>	Richard.Sippel@fcc.gov; Patricia.Ducksworth@fcc.gov; ajc@catalanoplache.com; Brian.Carter@fcc.gov; czdebski@eckertseamans.com; DRuhl@cctb.com; eschwalb@eckertseamans.com; Gary.Schonman@fcc.gov; cole@fhhlaw.com; Howard.Liberman@db.com; richards@khlaw.com; jsheldon@LB3law.com; jim@jimchen.org; jstobaugh@telesaurus.com; jturner@wileyrein.com; kdesoto@wileyrein.com; Laura.Phillips@db.com; mjp@catalanoplache.com; livingston@khlaw.com; nende@tlgdc.com; Pamela.Kane@fcc.gov; tpaoletta@wiltshiregrannis.com; Patrick.McFadden@db.com; feldman@fhhlaw.com; rhj@commmlawgroup.com; rjk@telcomlaw.com; RKirk@wbklaw.com; gurss@fhhlaw.com; tdamari@nossaman.com; tanzenberger@cctb.com; Terry.Cavanaugh@fcc.gov; wright@khlaw.com;
<b>Cc:</b>	Austin.Randazzo@fcc.gov; Mary.Gosse@fcc.gov; warren.havens@sbcglobal.net;
<b>Date:</b>	Monday, January 27, 2014 1:14 PM

Judge Sippel,

Given the shortness of time regarding the subject appeal period, I submit this request by email.

Your Order emailed below, 14M-4 referenced my filing "late on January 17, 2014" but did not address the following in my filing --

" 1. That the date from which the 5 days for an interlocutory appeal under rule section 1.301(a) will run, as to your ruling at the prehearing conference of today, will be the date of the latter of: (i) the date upon which you release the ruling in an Order filed on ECFS and served on myself (and others you believe it should be served upon), and (ii) the date upon which the of today's prehearing transcript (approved as to accuracy by the persons that spoke, and made final) is made available to me by proper notice and service. As to '(ii)': I cannot draft or submit any such appeal without said final transcript."

I did not, in the above, ask what 14M-4 stated: to extend the 5-day appeal window in rule 1.301(a).

Rather, I asked that you exercise your discretion in 1.301(c) (see below\*) to make your bench ruling made on January 17 effective on the latter of the dates I describe above.

I cannot draft and submit an appeal without the transcript, and reasonable time after I get it. My office purchased at high cost an expedited copy and received it some time last Friday by email.

*I ask that, under 1.301(c) you make the ruling effective tomorrow, or at least not prior to today.* (This is consistent with but modifies the above, now that I have obtained, at my cost, the transcript.)

Given the clarification in 14M-4 that the February 7 deadline, and other deadlines in 13M-19, are suspended, there appears to be no reason that grant of the above request would have any substantial impact upon this proceeding. Also, I have acted promptly, at my cost (over \$800, according to my office) to obtain an expedited copy as soon as the court reporter could produce it. For these reasons, and to allow me to prepare a more effective appeal, I respectfully request that you grant this request.

Due to the deadline that, absent grant of the above request, exists (tomorrow, factoring in all "holiday" days), I ask that you decide on this request by early tomorrow. (14M-4 did not deal with my actual request on this item, as I note above: thus the request is actually pending since January 17. The request was submitted on the same day as the bench ruling: it could not have been more timely.)

I do not herein address other matters in my January 17 filing.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/

Warren Havens

-----  
\* §1.301 [Emphasis added:]

\* \* \* \*

(c) Procedures, effective date. (1) Unless the presiding officer orders otherwise, rulings made by him shall be effective when the order is released or (if no written order) when the ruling is made. The Commission may stay the effect of any ruling which comes before it for consideration on appeal.

(2) Appeals filed under paragraph (a) of this section shall be filed within 5 days after the order is released or (if no written order) after the ruling is made. Appeals filed under paragraph (b) of this section shall be filed within 5 days after the appeal is allowed.

---

**From:** Patricia Ducksworth <Patricia.Ducksworth@fcc.gov>

**To:** Albert J. Catalano <ajc@catalanoplache.com>; Brian Carter <Brian.Carter@fcc.gov>; Chareles A. Zdebski <czdebski@eckertseamans.com>; "DRuhl@cctb.com" <DRuhl@cctb.com>; Eric Schwalb <eschwalb@eckertseamans.com>; Gary Schonman <Gary.Schonman@fcc.gov>; Harry Cole <cole@fhhlaw.com>; Howard Liberman <Howard.Liberman@dbr.com>; Jack Richards <richards@khlaw.com>; Jeffery Sheldon <jsheldon@LB3law.com>; Jim Chen <jim@jimchen.org>; Jimmy Stobaugh <jstobaugh@telesaurus.com>; Joshua S. Turner <jturner@wileyrein.com>; Kurt DeSoto <kdesoto@wileyrein.com>; Laura Phillips <Laura.Phillips@dbr.com>; Matthew Plache <mjp@catalanoplache.com>; Ms. Livingston <livingston@khlaw.com>; "nende@tlgdc.com" <nende@tlgdc.com>; Pamela Kane <Pamela.Kane@fcc.gov>; Patricia Paoletta <tpaoletta@wiltshiregrannis.com>; Patrick McFadden <Patrick.McFadden@dbr.com>; Paul Feldman <feldman@fhhlaw.com>; "rhj@commlawgroup.com" <rhj@commlawgroup.com>; "rjk@telcomlaw.com" <rjk@telcomlaw.com>; "Robert G. Kirk (RKirk@wbklaw.com)" <RKirk@wbklaw.com>; Robert Guruss <guruss@fhhlaw.com>; Tamir Damari <tdamari@nossaman.com>; "tanzenberger@cctb.com" <tanzenberger@cctb.com>; Terry Cavanaugh <Terry.Cavanaugh@fcc.gov>; "warren.havens@sbcglobal.net" <warren.havens@sbcglobal.net>; Wes Wright <wright@khlaw.com>

**Cc:** Richard Sippel <Richard.Sippel@fcc.gov>; Austin Randazzo <Austin.Randazzo@fcc.gov>; Mary Gosse <Mary.Gosse@fcc.gov>

**Sent:** Monday, January 27, 2014 10:48 AM

**Subject:** Maritime Communication/Land Mobile, LLC (FCC14M-4)

FYI