



1776 K STREET NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006
PHONE 202.719.7000
FAX 202.719.7049

7925 JONES BRANCH DRIVE
MCLEAN, VA 22102
PHONE 703.905.2800
FAX 703.905.2820

www.wileyrein.com

January 31, 2014

Jennifer D. Hindin
202.719.4975
jhindin@wileyrein.com

Ms. Marlene Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Notice of Oral Ex Parte Presentation –Assessment and Collection of
Regulatory Fees; MD Docket Nos. 13-140, 12-201 & 08-65

Dear Ms. Dortch:

In accordance with Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206, this letter notifies the Commission that on January 30, 2014, Susan Crandall, Associate General Counsel, Intelsat Corporation, and Helen Eisner and the undersigned of Wiley Rein LLP, counsel for Intelsat, met with Roland Helvajian and Thomas Buckley of the Office of Managing Director, Mika Savir of the Enforcement Bureau, and Thomas Sullivan of the International Bureau.

In the meeting, Intelsat discussed the Commission's efforts to reallocate fees to more accurately reflect the Commission's work on behalf of U.S.-licensed space station operators. Intelsat supported increasing earth station fees to better capture the work done by full time employees ("FTEs") on behalf of International Bureau licensees.

Intelsat also reiterated its request that the Commission consider collecting regulatory fees from non-U.S.-licensed satellite operators with U.S. market access, given the work done by the staff on matters pertaining to non-U.S.-licensed satellite operators and the competitive inequities perpetuated by the current fee structure. To support this request, Intelsat provided the Commission with the enclosed written submission, containing statistics comparing the number of recent applications to operate new U.S.-licensed satellites with the number of recent applications by foreign-licensed operators to obtain U.S. market access. As Intelsat emphasized, in 2013 two-thirds of the work conducted by the International Bureau on behalf of applicants filing for new satellites benefited non-U.S.-licensed satellite operators. Yet, U.S. satellite licensees were the ones who paid the agency's costs associated with the work done for the benefit of those non-U.S.-licensed operators. Intelsat also discussed alternative methods for capturing the costs associated with non-U.S.-licensed satellite operators.



Ms. Marlene Dortch
January 31, 2014
Page 2

Please contact the undersigned with any questions.

Sincerely,

/s/ Jennifer D. Hindin

Jennifer D. Hindin
Counsel for Intelsat

cc: Roland Helvajian
Mika Savir
Thomas Sullivan
Thomas Buckley

Enclosure (1)

Enclosure

Statistics Comparing Recent U.S. and Foreign Satellite Applications

2013:

LOAs = 3

RPLs = 0

LOIs = 5

PPLs* = 1

*Petition for Declaratory Ruling to be Added to the Permitted List

2012-2013:

LOAs = 13

RPLs = 3

LOIs = 7

PPLs = 7