Michael H. Page Esq, et al;

Sir, I appreciate your communications tenor and ask you pass this information on to GOOG and MSFT. The following naked image on a live web-page still returns inappropriately in GOOG searches for "curtis neeley site:wikimedia.org", and "curtis neeley nude" as well as "neeley nude".

- http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Commons:Featured_picture_candidates/File:Artful_nude_or_figurenude_photograph.jpg

Due to an unresolved GOOG programming error or malicious GOOG "hijacking", this original naked image created by me on the page above without my name returns for searches for my name. The alleged cached page follows but "causes" this image to return in violation of my Berne Convention Article 6*bis* rights for the following search. These search results are AR computer frauds and continued violations of 18 U.S.C. §2511 which one poor culturally senile District Court judge recently demonstrated an inability to comprehend as different from 17 USC §106A or false-light or other torts.

- https://encrypted.google.com/search?tbm=isch&q=curtis+neeley+nude
- https://encrypted.google.com/search?tbm=isch&q=neeley+nude
- https://encrypted.google.com/search?tbm=isch&q=curtis+neeley+nude+site:wikimedia.org

There is no usage of the text Curtis or Neeley on the "live" page and there is no usage of the text Curtis or Neeley on the fraudulently *alleged* cache of the file searched as follows:

- http://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Commons:Featured_picture_candidates/File:Artful_nude_or_figurenude_photograph.jpg

This is a criminal abuse of communications wholly exempted from every protection of holy 47 U.S.C. §230 by 47 U.S.C. §230(e) and is NOT RELATED to any previous litigation in any legal claim ever done in the United States or elsewhere on earth in any way as was claimed by the culturally senile old man to better display the negative effects of senescence on judges as follows from (5:13-cv-5293) docket #25 ¶ #5.

- 5. Plaintiff appears to allege that the "mistake" the Court made was finding that the complaint was related to events previously litigated. The Court has reviewed the complaint in this case in side-by-side comparison with the Second Amended Complaint in Neeley v. Federal Communications Commission, et al., Case No. 5:12-cv-5208 (document #53-3). The claims contained therein are identical in almost every respect.

(5:12-5208) Docket #58 http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/view?id=7521090238 concludes with the following:

- Mr. Neeley is hereby enjoined from filing any further motions, pleadings, or pro se complaints **related to events previously litigated** without first obtaining the permission of the Court.

The culturally senile District Court oligarch may in "*good faith*" believe the above claim of doing "*side-by-side*" comparisons of (5:13-cv-5293) docket #1 and (5:12-cv-5208) docket # 53-3 and finding these "*identical in almost every respect*" was a "*good faith*" display of competence and not another display of cultural senility or bias. Maybe the District Court figured this would never be checked? This check should be done on appeal and on consideration of FCC docket GN 14-28 by anyone on earth.

Google Inc should file a comment or notice of ex-parte 3-21-2014 to comply with the 30 day request by the FCC and try to justify paying for faster access to consumers like Comcast Inc required recently of Netflix while revealing Google Inc paying for priority also.

See http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/view?id=7521094118 for the Open MIC filing.
See http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/view?id=7521094203 for the Netflix filing.

(5:13-cv-5293) docket #1 is NOT "*identical in almost every respect*" to (5:12-cv-5208) docket # 53-3. This is obvious to any United States citizen or ANY counselor INCLUDING EACH OF YOU.

- https://www.google.com/search?q=%2B%22curtis+neeley%22+nude+site:creative-nude.net&source=lnms&tbm=isch&sa=X
- https://encrypted.google.com/search?tbm=isch&q=neeley+nude+site:more.com
- https://encrypted.google.com/search?tbm=isch&q=neeley+nude+site:signatureillustration.org
- https://encrypted.google.com/search?tbm=isch&q=curtis+neeley+nude+site:photo.net

The preceding four links include two that are fraudulent allegations GOOG will get to face along with MSFT in the appeal. NameMedia Inc removed all uses of my name as did more.com and creative-nude.net. saschaeh@gmail.com altered my name as requested but the CN Foundation name was used prior to realization that ALL nakedness is profane. I have asked that this be altered but someone else is running the BLOG as a ad spam site and has not responded. or approved the latest comments made that call this page an illegal broadcast.

Ironically, the Telecommunications Industry Association just filed an utterly misleading filing that asks the FCC to generally attempt to preserve the unconstitutional status quo in an absurd filing (http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/view?id=7521094581). Twice the following request to preserve the status *quo* can be seen therein.

- **ERROR**>>> *The FCC should not be in the role of prescribing particular business models -- e.g., no charging for prioritization*. <<<**ERROR**

The appeal will include EVERY fraudulent allegation that curtis or neeley is on a page with the profane text N_DE or with a naked image.

Perhaps almost none on earth have never encountered today's modern technology first as a highly educated adult like Curtis J Neeley Jr due to a severe traumatic brain injury. Please consider what would be thought by an intelligent visiting alien, Congressman, Federal Communications Commissioner, or common citizen juror after reading the United States Communications Act of 1934 and finding the definition of wire communications that follows:

- (59) Wire communication
  The term "wire communication" or "communication by wire" means the transmission of writing, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds of all kinds by aid of wire, cable, or other like connection between the points of origin and reception of such transmission, including all instrumentalities, facilities, apparatus, and services (among other things, the receipt, forwarding, and delivery of communications) incidental to such transmission.

The alien, FCC commissioners, or others might then need to examine the words that might flavor understanding this definition for lawyers and elderly judges trying to justify a belief or prior precedent like instrumentalities, facilities, apparatus, and perhaps incidental.

The alien, FCC commissioners, or others will then find mobile, wireless phones and Wi-Fi computers are apparatus used to interface with transceivers attached to wire, cable, or other like connection connected to a network of interconnected wires perhaps reaching even to China where another alien, FCC commissioners, or Chinese citizen using another apparatus like a mobile, wireless phone or Wi-Fi computer or perhaps even an archaic dial-up phone connection will complete the unregulated wire communication.

Rather than continuing to twist or hope oligarchs will twist section 730 around to rationalize the obvious mistake done since the Reno v ACLU, (96-511) error by a culturally senile United States Court oligarchy like fined Susan B. Anthony $100.00 for voting while female in 1873, the FCC should now read over the first sentence in the law that created the FCC as follows with highlighting added to suggest the OBVIOUS jurisdictional authority apparently missed like missing a forrest because of all those darned trees.

- For the purpose of regulating interstate and foreign commerce in communication by **wire and radio** so as to make available, so far as possible, to all the people of the United States, without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex, a rapid, efficient, Nation-wide, and world-wide **wire and radio** communication service with adequate facilities at reasonable charges, for the purpose of the national defense, for the purpose of promoting safety of life and property through the use of **wire and radio** communications, and for the purpose of securing a more effective execution of this policy by centralizing authority heretofore granted by law to several agencies and by granting additional authority with respect to interstate and foreign commerce in **wire and radio** communication, there is created a commission to be known as the "Federal Communications Commission", which shall be constituted as hereinafter provided, and which shall execute and enforce the provisions of this chapter.

This above poorly composed law simplified slightly for grammar follows saying EXACTLY the same thing. This was passed when wires were the only apparatus that could communicate quickly world-wide as revealed during the first world war and replacing physically limited radio-only communications:

- A centralized authority known as the "Federal Communications Commission"(FCC) is created for regulating interstate and foreign commerce in communications by **radio-only and both wire and radio** to make rapid, efficient, nation-wide, and world-wide communication services promote safety of life and property using communications with adequate facilities at reasonable charges. The FCC shall secure a more effective execution of this policy with respect to interstate and foreign commerce in communications provided by executing and enforcing this chapter.

Curtis J Neeley Jr now asks the FCC to consider addressing one of the first decisions authored by the oligarch who wrote the *Reno v ACLU,* (96-511) mistake in 1997. The ability to send communications to the unwitting via radio-only broadcasting was addressed in 1978. The FCC authority to prohibit obscene, indecent, or profane broadcasts was affirmed in an opinion written that called [sic] "airwaves" the medium radio wave EMF passes through. This figure-of-speech never physically existed but the FCC has staunchly defended [sic] "airwaves" all across the United States since.

The FCC endorsed and accepted the creation of a figure-of-speech medium called [sic] "airwaves". This same oligarch reached 77 and had been culturally senile for seven years due to having no formative life experiences with communications technologies yet continued to rule during bad behavior counter to the Sixth and Ninth Amendment rights to be tried by an impartial jury. This oligarch struggled to understand EMF signal communications in the wire medium alone and decided these were in some "*[holy] new medium of human communications*" instead of the wire communications these always were.

United States Courts personnel rule beyond age (65) like the Supreme Court when calling political donations free speech. United States Courts for the Western District of Arkansas now call the GOOG and MSFT organized business crimes done breaking 18 USC §2511 and 47 USC §605 "*identical in almost every respect*" to various failed attempts to enforce one author's moral rights. This mistake was done while failing to address the rights of a parent to raise children.

The Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals and the Supreme Court are not likely to recognize the impact of cultural senility on these rulings or prior Supreme Court rulings. Curtis J Neeley Jr will appeal *Neeley v Federal Communication Commissioners,* (5:13-cv-5293) to the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals and the Supreme Court before 4/11 and 4.5 of 9 elderly oligarchs clinging to unconstitutional appointments beyond cultural senility deciding how old laws affect a future they will not see.

Sincerely,
Curtis J Neeley Jr
14792634795