

March 25, 2014

FOIA Request

To: Federal Communications Commission (“FCC” or the “Agency”)
445 12th Street, SW, Room 1-A836
Washington, DC 20554
Attn: FCC FOIA Officer¹
Attn: Shoko Hair

Requestor:
Warren Havens
2509 Stuart Street
Berkeley, CA 94705
Phone: 510-848-7797

Please confirm receipt of this request.

Time period. See Exhibit 1 hereto.

Request and Records. See Exhibit 1 hereto.

If the FCC determines that it cannot provide, in full, the requested Records, then I request that the FCC timely provide all Records it can provide, only withholding those full Records it determines that it must withhold, and any parts of Records it determined that it must redact, under any asserted FOIA release exemption it finds it must apply under this FOIA request.

Payment. I will pay up to \$1,000 for obtaining the requested Records. If that is not sufficient, then Requestor asks to be informed (with a proper breakdown and explanation), so that I may determine whether to increase this limit.

Time for response. I believe the FCC has the Records in specific files and locations, due to the nature of the Records. Based on this believe, I further submit that the FCC may not reasonably or lawfully extend the 20-day period under the FOIA and FCC rule §0.461 to

¹ A complementary copy of this request may be emailed to: foia@fcc.gov and/ or shoko.hair@fcc.gov. *However, the undersigned requester does not accept email as a means to receive any FCC responses to this request, in lieu of mail service required under FCC rules, and given that email is not always available and reliable, and it is not a governmental service as is the US Postal Service which was created and is maintained including for purposes of legal-document delivery to and from citizens and the government.*

respond to this Request, *or use the entire 20-day period.*²

Purpose and Character. See Exhibit 2 hereto.

If you have any questions regarding this Request or need any additional information or clarification of the above, please contact me at the above-listed address.

Thanks for your assistance.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Warren Havens".

Warren Havens

Exhibits attached.

² The time periods for an agency initial response and other actions under a FOIA request must be as prompt as possible, and not up to the maximum time allowed unless it is actually required. Time is of the essence in any FOIA request.

Time Period.

From beginning of year 2011 to the date of completion of processing of this request under FOIA.

Request and Records.

I request a copy of all records submitted by Maritime Communications/Land Mobile LLC, Debtor-in-Possession (also known as, Maritime Communications/Land Mobile, LLC)(“Maritime”) and/or the FCC Enforcement Bureau, in EB Docket No. 11-71, that were submitted as part of, or to support, the joint motion submitted by Maritime and the FCC Enforcement Bureau on December 2, 2013 for approval of a settlement or for summary decision with regard to “Issue (g)” in the proceeding under EB Docket No. 11-71. Exceptions to the requested records are all documents that are publicly available on ECFS under the proceeding in EB Docket No. 11-71. Included are all documents submitted as Confidential or Highly Confidential under the Protective Order in this proceeding in EB Docket No. 11-71. To the degree that the FCC believes that I have already requested under FOIA any of the above described, requested records herein, in a past FOIA request, which the FCC further believes it has properly and finally responded to, then please identify any such past request under FOIA and the FCC’s response thereto, and the date upon which the FCC research to find records under any such past FOIA requests was completed. In such a case, this request should be deemed to seek the same class of records from that date in time to the end of the time period specified above, in the first paragraph of this Exhibit 1. (For example, if the FCC determines that I submitted a past FOIA request for any records described above, as requested here in this FOIA request, to which the FCC has responded to in the past, completing its research on January 1, 2013, then this instant request for the same class of records would be from that date to the end of the time period specified in the first paragraph of this Exhibit 1).

To the degree that my pending FOIA request, FOIA Control No. 2014-306, is determined by the FCC to seek some of the records that I seek in the instant, above request, then the records under FOIA Control No. 2014-306 should be deemed excluded from the instant request. I cannot make that determination because I don’t know what records the FCC has under this request, and under the request under FOIA Control No. 2014-306.

Purpose and Character.

This relates to FCC proceeding 11-71.

Explanation of purpose underlying a FOIA request is not relevant to the agency's obligations under the request including since any information released under FOIA becomes public, in which case anyone party may use the information for any purpose.³ However, I noted the following. At an appropriate time, with other relevant associated materials, I plan to publish online results of and Records obtained via this Request, to show the workings of the FCC in and related to proceeding 11-71. I also participate in this proceeding as a party. This proceeding and my participation has a public-interest purpose as clearly stated in FCC 11-64: the "petitioners" described therein, including myself, have rights in challenging another party's licensing actions based on assertion of the public interest as meant in the Communications Act including 47 USC §309(a) and (d)). The FCC has conducted the case involved in 11-71 in large part in secret, with documents of decisional importance it has kept secrete, not publicly accessible, which I believe is unlawful and in bad faith. There is a compelling public interest in challenging this, and obtaining and publishing the hidden information via proper use of FOIA requests to the FCC, and using it in this public proceeding. My challenges to the FCC authorities involved in this 11-71 proceeding (including the FCC Enforcement Bureau and the Administrative Law Judge Sippel and his office) are shown in my filings in the proceeding on the FCC ECFS. The challenges are not only for personal interests, but are in pursuit of public interests that also underlie the relevant FCC rules, Communications Act sections, APA sections, FOIA, and the First Amendment.

In addition, this Request is under rule §1.311(b)(3).⁴ Seeking records under FOIA under this rule for use in proceeding 11-71 is for public purposes, and for showing the public

³ Release of information under FOIA is a release to the general public, not solely to plaintiff. *National Archives & Records Admin. v. Favish*, 541 U.S. 157, 158, 158 L. Ed. 2d 319, 124 S. Ct. 1570; *Inter Ocean Free Zone*, 982 F. Supp. at 871 (citing *United States DOJ v. Reporters Comm. for Freedom of Press*, 489 U.S. 749, 771) (1989), 103 L. Ed. 2d 774, 109 S. Ct. 1468 ("what is given to one requester is what is available to all who make the same request").

⁴ §1.311(b)(3):

Commission records are not subject to discovery under § 1.325. The inspection of Commission records is governed by the Freedom of Information Act, as amended, and by § § 0.451 through 0.467 of this chapter. Commission employees may be questioned by written interrogatories regarding the existence, nature, description, custody, condition and location of Commission records, but may not be questioned concerning their contents unless the records are available (or are made available) for inspection under § § 0.451 through 0.467. See § 0.451(b)(5) of this chapter.

the workings of the FCC, including since this proceeding was established as a public one by the FCC under FCC 11-64, and involves public AMTS CMRS licenses and alleged license construction and operations for CMRS (actual Commercial Mobile Radio Service to subscribers) on a nearly nationwide basis. CMRS is by definition, rule and case precedent, public; and, in addition, specifics of alleged stations are also subject to public disclosure under §80.385(b) and FCC Orders DA 10-664, DA 09-793, and DA-09-64 (specific site-based station details to be released to the co-channel geographic license).

Because this Request may constitute a presentation in proceeding 11-71, I will file a copy of this on ECFS under docket 11-71 and serve a copy upon the parties.⁵

/ / /

⁵ See §0.461 “Note to paragraph (d)(3): Under the ex parte rules, § 1.1206(a)(7) of this chapter, a proceeding involving a FOIA request is a permit-but-disclose proceeding.... a pleading in a FOIA proceeding may also constitute a presentation in another proceeding if it addresses the merits of that proceeding.”

Certificate of Service

I, Warren Havens, certify that on March 25, 2014 I caused to be served a copy of the foregoing filing, by placing it into the US Postal Service mail system in a sealed envelop with first-class postage affixed, to the following:⁶

The Honorable Richard L. Sippel
Chief Administrative Law Judge
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
Richard Sippel Richard.Sippel@fcc.gov
Patricia Ducksworth Patricia.Ducksworth@fcc.gov
Austin Randazzo Austin.Randazzo@fcc.gov
Mary Gosse Mary.Gosse@fcc.gov

Pamela A. Kane, Brian Carrter
FCC Enforcement Bureau
445 12th Street, S.W., Room 4-C330
Washington, DC 20554
Pamela Kane Pamela.Kane@fcc.gov, Brian Carter brian.carter@fcc.gov

Robert Keller, Esq.
P.O. Box 33428
Washington, D.C. 20033
And courtesy copy via email to:
RJK@telcomlaw.com and sdepr@aol.com.

Robert G. Kirk, Esq.
2300 N Street, NW, Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20037-1128
And courtesy copy via email to:
RKirk@wbklaw.com and Pat.Trammell@southeasterncommerical.com

Jeffrey L. Sheldon
Levine, Blaszak, Block & Boothby, LLP
2001 L Street, NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20036
Counsel for Puget Sound Energy, Inc
Jeff Sheldon jsheldon@lb3law.com

⁶ The mailings may postmarked the following day if deposited with the US Postal Service after close of business. Emails herein are *not* for service, but may be used to provide a courtesy copy.

Jack Richards
Dawn Livingston
Keller & Heckman LLP
1001 G Street, N.W.
Suite 500 West
Washington, D.C. 20001

Counsel for Atlas Pipeline – Mid Continent LLC; DCP Midstream, LP; Enbridge Energy Co., Inc.; EnCana Oil and Gas (USA), Inc.; and Jackson County Rural Membership Electric Cooperative

Jack Richards Richards@khlaw.com, Dawn Livingston Livingston@khlaw.com

Charles A. Zdebski
Gerit F. Hull
Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC
1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006

Counsel for Duquesne Light Co.

Charles Zdebski czdebski@eckertseamans.com

Paul J. Feldman
Harry F. Cole
Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, P.L.C.
1300 N. 17th Street – 11th Floor
Arlington, VA 22209

Counsel for Southern California Regional Rail Authority

Paul Feldman feldman@fhhlaw.com, Harry Cole cole@fhhlaw.com

Matthew J. Plache
Albert J. Catalano
Catalano & Plache, PLLC
3221 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20007

Counsel for Dixie Electric Membership Corp.

Counsel for Pinnacle Wireless Corp.

Matthew Plache mjp@catalanoplache.com, Albert J. Catalano ajc@catalanoplache.com

Jimmy Stobaugh,
GM Skytel entities
2509 Stuart Street
Berkeley, CA 94705

Jimmy Stobaugh jstobaugh@telesaurus.com



Warren Havens

Note: Other persons may also receive a copy by email under apparent current interest of Judge Sippel or his office to include certain non-parties. However, the Judge did not order or explain this interest.