

7521098732.txt

I have read that the FCC is looking for viable options in upgrading the aging telecom infrastructure of the copper wire era. One of these options was the use of wireless technology. Though in the short run, wireless could potentially be a "Band-Aid" fix but over the course of time it proves to be really unreliable. Currently my internet connection comes in on two different ISP's, one being a backup if the other fails. Vistabeam is the main provider and uses wireless technology to bring internet to the home but if the user doesn't have a line of site to the tower, the connection is poor or just simply doesn't work. The other provider is Viaero 4G using a specialized modem to connect. Vistabeam in general is good about low latency but its sporadic and low bandwidth, the same goes for Viaero. With nothing more to choose from and no foreseeable hope for upgrade in the future I have decided not to settle in the rural area and am moving to a town just because the infrastructure is so poor for the rural countryside. I can't help but look and have witnessed first hand when I was in Japan, the infrastructure they had in place allows for a good majority of their population, regardless of location to have access to the latest available DSL connectivity or a highspeed fiber optic connection. Seeing ISP's use the same old excuse of not wanting to install fiber due to terrain or distance is silly and only shows how incompetent they really are on the matter. Wireless will not work to bring country residents to the high speed grid as its spotty and unreliable. It would be in the best interest, in my opinion, for the FCC to regulate or if it comes to it, just use blunt force to get these high speed connections to the rural area. Its better to just pay up front now than to get nickel and dimed to death from other, unreliable means when the most expensive option offers unlimited bandwidth and reliability. However, I am just a small voice amongst many on this matter but I can't help but to be frustrated and such limited availability the rural areas have for internet. I know I would gladly pay \$150/month for a fiber connection and I only live about four miles from town. Has the FCC thought of just fronting the costs of connecting these areas with fiber and just leasing the lines out to an ISP or become an ISP themselves? I have a no doubt if highspeed was offered in areas like the one I lived in, a lot of rural folk would be interested in it.