

7521099046.txt

I am AGAINST approval of RM-11708 in its current form.

If one of the intents of this rule making was to close a loopole in the current regulations which allows a digital signal to currently occupy a large bandwidth, then simply adding the bandwidth limitation of 2.8KHz and KEEPING the 300 baud symbol rate in place would have been the proper path to take.

If the symbol rate is removed from regulation, then the bandwidth allotted for digital signals should DECREASE from what is proposed. A smaller digital bandwidth limitation than what is proposed (perhaps 1.5KHz) would be more appropriate within the CW/Digital shared band space to reduce interference, and would account for the inevitable increase in the number of new digital modes, and data stations that would result from dropping the symbol rate from regulations.

Again, as written, I am AGAINST RM-11708.
Paul Carreiro, N6EV