

I'm writing to express my extreme displeasure and distaste for the FCC's recent reversals.

Our society has become dependent on the internet. It's no longer a luxury in workplaces; it's a given. When school districts decide to do homework online and require submissions via the web (as most school districts do today) it's not a luxury, it's a necessity.

As such, the internet must be treated as a common carrier. To expect a small business to pay more simply to have its information delivered to its users in a timely fashion is unreasonable. To expect students to use anything other than readily accessible utilities to complete required work subjects them to an unfair disadvantage.

There are so many services and devices today that use this method of communication, comparing it to anything but a public utility is at best misguided. We would never allow a water company to give Kohler showerheads higher water pressure simply because Kohler paid for the privilege. Yet that is what we're proposing now: HBO can pay an ISP for a faster pipeline to consumers.

Moreover, we would be up in arms at a water company, owned by Kohler, who gave Kohler higher water pressure.

Yet, again, that's what this ruling does. Is there any doubt that Hulu will get faster delivery to Comcast users, since the two are owned by the same parent company?