

7521107327.txt

I believe that net neutrality is an extremely vital component of the internet. As it currently stands, the internet is a force to be used as the user sees fit. This is made possible due to net neutrality. I have made, and continue to make several important decisions from information gathered from the internet. My college education thrives because of the internet: research, Blackboard (and other education hosting services), homework, and plagiarism checkers. Net neutrality has allowed me to be the first person in my immediate family to get a college degree, and to go on to get more. If other people are allowed to limit my access to information, how could I have possibly accomplished all I have (including repeated Dean's List placement). The internet is a bunch of servers hosting ones and zeros, but those bits of information represent an organic mass of information. For big, beautiful flowers, florists will snip off all the buds on a stem except for the very top bud. This ensures that all the nutrients will go into making a large blossom. If net neutrality is abandoned, many blossoms might not ever bloom. Who can be trusted to play god with the internet? I don't want to live in a world where someone can say that educational research is less important than an advertisement. While that may be hyperbole, the point remains the same. Even silly videos of cats doing cute things can be therapeutic. Taking away net neutrality is taking away the people's right to choose information for themselves. I know I would be discouraged from certain content if someone made the decision to slow down access because it was not considered a priority. This sort of world could be one where online access to great literary works are practically ceased in favor of a sponsored website.