

May 7, 2014

VIA ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: *Open Internet Order* Remand Proceeding (GN Dkt. No. 14-28)

Dear Ms. Dortch:

I am writing pursuant to Section 1.1206(b)(2) of the Commission's Rules to notify the Commission of two telephone discussions relating to the above-referenced matter. On Tuesday, May 6, 2014, on behalf of Cisco Systems, Inc. ("Cisco"), I spoke with Amy Bender of Commissioner of Commissioner O'Rielly's office. On Wednesday, May 7, 2014, also on behalf of Cisco, I spoke with Nick Degani of Commissioner Pai's office.

During the two discussions, I noted that recent decisions made by foreign governments threaten to undercut innovation and investment in the broadband Internet ecosystem. These governments will be closely watching this proceeding as it unfolds in the United States. I shared Cisco's view that the "specialized services" exemption was an extremely important component of the balance struck by Commission's 2010 *Open Internet Order*, and should be retained in any future regime. Further, I urged the Commission not to pursue a constricting definition of "specialized services." Rather, in order to accommodate the quickly evolving and still-nascent market for managed offerings, the Commission should focus on an approach that promotes the development and deployment of specialized services that will benefit consumers without impacting broadband Internet access services. Finally, I discussed an approach under which "specialized services" might be defined to include any IP-based services that are not broadband Internet access service. Cisco believes that this approach could be workable so long as it was applied flexibly to accommodate ongoing technological and market developments.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Bryan N. Tramont
Bryan N. Tramont