

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI**

**IN RE:**

**MARITIME COMMUNICATIONS/  
LAND MOBILE, LLC,**

**CASE NO. 11-13463-NPO**

**DEBTOR.**

**CHAPTER 11**

**ORDER DENYING IN PART SKYTEL'S  
MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE AND  
DISCOVERY, TO CONTINUE RELATED HEARINGS, AND  
REQUEST FOR EMERGENCY HEARING AND OTHER RELATED RELIEF**

This matter came before the Court on Skytel's Motion to Compel Disclosure and Discovery, to Continue Related Hearings, and Request for Emergency Hearing and Other Related Relief (the "Motion to Compel") (Dkt. 1095) filed by Warren Havens, Skybridge Spectrum Foundation, Verde Systems LLC, Environmental LLC, Intelligent Transportation & Monitoring LLC, and Telesaurus Holdings GB LLC (collectively, "SkyTel") in the above-referenced bankruptcy case (the "Case"). The Court, having considered the matter, finds for the following reasons that the Motion to Compel should be denied in part:

(1) Skytel filed the Motion to Compel on April 29, 2013, only three (3) days before a hearing on the Motion for a Limited Stay Pending Appeal (the "Motion to Stay") (Dkt. 1055). Given this short time period and given the large number of interested parties in the Case, Skytel's request for an emergency telephonic hearing on the Motion to Compel is impracticable and should be denied. The Court will consider the Motion to Compel at the hearing on May 2, 2013, and decide then whether Skytel is entitled to any relief, including whether the Court should proceed with the Motion to Stay or reset it for hearing on some future date.


(2) The discovery served by Skytel relates solely to the Motion to Stay, as evidenced in part by the caption, “Skytel’s Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents to Debtor *Regarding Skytel’s Motion for a Limited Stay Pending Appeal*” (Dkt. 1062-2) (emphasis added). Therefore, there is no compelling reason articulated by Skytel for the Court to reset the hearing on the Motion of Certification of Direct Appeal, which has been scheduled to take place at the same time as the Motion to Stay on May 2, 2013, even if the Court decides at the hearing to delay the hearing on the Motion to Stay. (See Motion to Compel ¶ 7).

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Skytel’s request for an emergency telephonic hearing should be, and is hereby, denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion to Compel shall be set for hearing on May 2, 2013, at the same time as the hearing on the Motion to Stay and the Request for Direct Certification. Because of the short time frame, a written response to the Motion to Compel shall not be required; instead, a response may be presented at the May 2, 2013, hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Skytel’s request to reset the hearing on the Request for Direct Certification should be, and is hereby, denied.

SO ORDERED.



---

Neil P. Olack  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

Dated: April 29, 2013