

7521124994.txt

If Title II is invoked it should IMO only apply to data providers, and you would force content aspects to be handled by separate companies who compete on that basis. I think the snarl that's happened with cable is because those two aspects are under 1 roof. AT&T already was required to share service offerings when the Internet took off, and so you can already see how that balance is a good one. Even today's Internet we call free and open sits on the backs of telecom giants REQUIRED to open their networks to competitors for services. That's what we call "real" ISPs, and they're the ones advocating for Net Neutrality I agree with. Strip cable of its exception to this, and whomever else violates this principle, and you'll have a healthy system again, I think. But whatever you do with Title II, DON'T have FCC control service offerings, only hardware.