

7521130381.txt

My family gets our internet through Comcast. They are the only high-speed internet option in our area, which is a problem in its own right. However, we pay them to deliver content to us. Having someone else make a choice about what content to deliver to us fastest, even if all content is delivered above a minimum speed, incentivizes us to use those faster services. This creates a problem for services that have no capital to use to pay.

I make almost exclusive use of free software on my computer, because I, as a teenager, can't afford to pay license fees for commercial software. I don't pay anybody anything for the software on my computer, which means that they can't pay Comcast to provide faster service from them to me. As you can see, this discourages free software, because proprietary software will give me faster speeds accessing cloud services and downloading updates. Free software is one of the driving forces of innovation in computer technology, because it keeps the monolithic giants like Microsoft and Adobe playing keep-up with hundreds of thousands of volunteers around the world. Discouraging it hurts innovation, and is anti-trust.

Dismissing net neutrality not only kills innovation in obvious ways, it kills innovation in hundreds of little ways, not unlike I outlined above.

Thousands of people have already written to you, providing hundreds of reasons why net neutrality is important to them, in the first day that you have had comments open. Destroying net neutrality would be the worst thing the FCC could do, I think. Imagine the backlash.