

7521178401.txt

Allowing a Comcast-Time Warner merger runs against everything our government worked for over the past 100 years, primarily the "Trust Busting" days of Roosevelt.

Indeed, last time I checked, the Sherman Act is still in effect. Railroad monopolies and internet monopolies are perfectly analogous to one another.

Look at railroads. Of course they had to be broken up. When one firm owns the infrastructure and the rolling stock, competitors can't just slip in there without paying licensing fees (hi, Amtrak).

The minority of Americans have more than one true choice of broadband ISP. Sure, Verizon's FiOS is popping up in even more areas, but it hardly has the saturation of Comcast and TW. It's not an alternative for most of us. The other options are wackier ones, like dial-up, or outdated DSL lines.

The majority of us receive internet over cable broadband. And in that space, because it's only realistic for one company to own the infrastructure, there is one player in each location. It's true that Comcast and TW don't compete with each other in different regions, but combining the two will also not result in more competition from anyone else. Instead, it will consolidate resources into one company, just like the railroads at the turn of the century.

How is that good for consumers? The FCC's job in this case is to REGULATE companies to give both consumers and potential competitors a fair shake. I ask you, how will some new, competitive internet company surface under the conditions proposed with the merger? They won't.

I believe Comcast's lawyers even cited Google Fiber as a direct competitor to their business. That is such absolute malarkey. Fiber is available in Kansas City, Austin, TX and Provo, UT. That's it. There is no competition.

If AT&T and T-Mobile couldn't merge, there's no way on earth Comcast and Time Warner, two of the least consumer-minded companies on earth, should be given the green light to merge.