

7521249992.txt

I am curious as to why the public even needs to comment on this topic. US Anti-trust laws are clearly designed to limit mergers which would substantially reduce free-market competition between companies providing essentially the same good or service.

Yet, I need to explain why allowing the largest and second largest cable/internet providers to merge is bad? It's not just "bad" for consumers; it's illegal. These companies may not be currently competing for customers, but only because they have reached an agreement that allows them to arbitrarily set high prices because there is no competition...because they agreed not to compete.

Denying the merger won't fix this problem for most cable and internet customers in the US. But, it will set a precedent that cable/internet providers are not above the law.