

7521270847.txt

In the order against changing net neutrality, both my husband - who has also commented - and I are sickened at the prospect that this could become a reality.

Firstly, although cable companies provide the service (already in their limited and monopolized manner of not competing against each other in markets across the USA) they did not create and therefore do not own the internet. The net is based on equality and the FCC have the privilege of the role of protecting each and every consumer and business who wish to use the net. A privilege and a responsibility.

Changing this would not only undermine the ground rules on which the net was based but will most likely leave the FCC and anyone affiliated with this decision open to legal action by each and every US citizen who suffers at the hands of a second rate service because of what will effectively amount to lobbying blackmail. There is a word for accepting this type of argument and money. It's called corruption and fraud. Anyone who can be shown to have benefited through this decision will be liable for this. Including the FCC and the cable companies who clearly look set to mislead and take advantage of a system that is not theirs to change.

DO NOT CHANGE THE CURRENT RULES OF NET NEUTRALITY AND SEND A CLEAR MESSAGE TO CABLE COMPANIES THAT IT IS THEIR DUTY TO PROVIDE A SERVICE FOR WHICH THEY ARE ALREADY HANDSOMELY PAID - NET SERVICE IN THE USA IS ONE OF THE HIGHEST AND YET CUSTOMER SERVICE IN THE CABLE COMPANIES IS ALREADY STATED AS BEING AMONG THE WORST IN ANY SECTOR IN THE USA - WHY WOULD ANY OF THIS BE BENEFICIAL TO THE CONSUMER, THE BUSINESSES LIKE GOOGLE, FACEBOOK ETC OR ANYONE ELSE EXCEPT FOR THE CABLE COMPANIES. THIS IS CLEARLY A ONE WINNER STREAM AND THE FCC HAVE THE RESPONSIBILITY TO ENSURE THAT THE CLEAR AND FAIR RULING ON THIS IS TO SUSTAIN A LEVEL PLAYING FIELD.

kind regards,

Carey Pollacchi