

The attached document is part of a mass mailing. The number of identical documents as specified in the File Number/City, St. field have been received by the Commission on this same date. You may view the documents at the FCC Reference Information Center, at 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC, Room CY-A257.

Received & Inspected

JUN 10 2014

FCC Mail Room
June 4, 2014

Jonathan P. Decker
5116 Highland Road Apt. 422
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70808

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW,
Washington, DC 20554
Proceeding Number 14-28
Protecting and Promoting
The Open Internet

1428


Dear FCC

Protect net neutrality and tell those greedy nitwits at the telecom companies to keep their clutching paws off of OUR internet. (They are a monopoly already but that is a different rant.) All net traffic should be treated the same regardless of whether it comes from a local mom and pop seafood restaurant or a big company like Red Lobster. These new rules would crush startup companies and innovation and is a threat to the free marketplace. How can an inventor or the owner of a small store ever hope to compete with a big company like Wal-Mart which can pay for the premium "Interstate" info highway while these smaller guys are relegated to an internet "dirt road?"

This is also a threat to our freedom of speech as well. If the telecoms don't approve of what someone has to say like for example People for the American Way they can relegate them to the slow lane while the Cato Institute gets the fast lane. Also, big political parties like the Republicans and Democrats could afford premium service while smaller parties and independents are relegated to the "slow lanes." The beauty of the internet is that it is the one place where everyone gets their say. These new rules would change that.

The internet is as important to free speech in 2014 as newspapers were in 1776 during the American Revolution. If it wasn't for newspapers and the open distribution of information there may not have been an American Revolution. In short, if it is not broken don't fix it. FCC don't be King George III. Preserve net neutrality. Don't fix the internet for the benefit of a wealthy few at the expense of the many.

Sincerely, Jonathan P. Decker

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Received & Inspected

JUN 10 2014

FCC Mail Room

14-28

Hello Commissioner Pai,

My name is George Lopez-Vargas I am a senior in High School who is close to graduation and will be attending Eastern Washington University this fall. Commissioner Pai, I write to you with the eagerness of knowing more bout net neutrality, your position on the subject and why you believe what you think on the subject. _____

Based on the research that I have done, my understandings of net neutrality is that it allows all websites run the same speed with out one being faster than another. But with out net neutrality big internet providers can speed up and slow down many websites depending on the money that the web pages are paying to the providers. If my understanding of net neutrality is wrong please feel free to accurately inform me of what it really is.

Commissioner Pai, if you would write back to me it would be greatly appreciated, because net neutrality is something I wish to be more informed on.

Sincerely,



George Lopez-Vargas

George Lopez-Vargas
1204 N 9TH AVE
Walla Walla, WA 99362

14-28
Received & Inspected

JUN 10 2014

FCC Mail Room

John Wagner
6417 S. Land Park Dr.
Sacramento, CA 95831email: mtaswell@gmail.com
June 3, 2014

To: FCC

Re: 14-28

1. I have tried several times to comment by the internet but it never goes through. Perhaps your site is overloaded. Please ensure your site is working correctly.

2. I respectfully OPPOSE this proposed rule. I SUPPORT "Net Neutrality." This regulation, if enacted, will give extraordinary power to the richest internet entities and will HARM smaller and newer entities.

Thank you for considering my opinion.

John P. Wagner

14-28

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Received & Inspected

JUN 10 2014

FCC Mail Room

May 28, 2014

Tyler Petrack
998 Willow Drive
Pittsburgh, PA 15237

Federal Communications Commission
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau
Consumer Inquiries and Complaints Division
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

To whom it may concern,


I am a young citizen of the United States, and I respect the laws which my government puts forward. To this end, I also respect the lengths to which the FCC goes to protect the interests of the citizens of this nation. Despite this, recent discussion of future regulation, particularly concerning how internet service providers will be subject to even less regulation, is disturbing. This breakdown in regulation means that the internet will no longer be an open medium for the exchange of knowledge and information.

The internet should not be subject to any more of a beating; as a whole, it is a tool which helps both consumers and businesses connect with one another in infinitely varying mediums of communication. The internet has a whole has also connected the international community even more closely; were it not for the internet, I would not be able to easily communicate daily with friends abroad.

Regulations against a free, open internet (or rather, regulations against the growing monopoly over internet access) are allowing massive internet businesses to grow exponentially, and monopolize the internet. Ideally, the internet would be free to access; though this is not easily feasible, the threat to the internet of the future should be recognized.

I am asking that internet service providers be classified as common carriers. This would mean that ISPs would be subject to much more direct and strict regulation, which is necessary, as the internet is a gateway to free speech and economic growth. Please consider what I have said, as a concerned citizen.

Sincerely,
Tyler Petrack



14-28

June 3rd 2014
DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

To Chairman Wheeler and Commissioners Clyburn,
Rosenworcel, Pai, and O'Rielly,

I write to you today to express my support for a
free and open Internet.

American innovators have created countless internet-based
applications, content offerings, and services that are used
around the world. These innovations have created enormous
value for internet users, fuelled economic growth, and made
our internet companies global leaders. The innovation we've
seen to date happened in a world without discrimination.
An open internet has also been a platform for free speech
and opportunity for billions of users.

The Commission's long-standing commitment and actions
undertaken to protect the open internet are a central
reason why the internet remains an engine of
entrepreneurship and economic growth. According to
recent news reports, the Commission intends to propose
rules that would enable phone and cable internet service
providers to discriminate both technically and financially
against internet companies and to impose new tolls on
them. If these reports are correct, this represents a grave
threat to the internet.

Instead of permitting individualized bargaining and
discrimination, the Commission's rules should protect users
and internet companies on both fixed and mobile
platforms against blocking, discrimination, and paid
prioritization, and should make the market for internet
services more transparent. The rules should provide
certainty to all market participants and keep the cost
of regulation low. Such rules are essential for the future
of the internet. The Commission should take the necessary
steps to ensure that the internet remains an open
platform for speech and commerce so that America
continues to lead the world in technology markets.

Received & Inspected

JUN 10 2014

FCC Mail Room

Respectfully,
Joseph Tanha

14-28

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Received & Inspected

JUN 10 2014

FCC Mail Room

Debra Wolfe
3920 McHugh Drive
Helena, MT 59602

June 4, 2014

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

RE: Proposed rule preservation of net neutrality, NPRM


To Whom It May Concern:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposal to regarding the regulation of the internet. I have read the FCC's NPRM adopted May 15, 2014 with a comment deadline of July 15, 2014 and a reply comment date of September 10, 2014.¹ I support the maintenance of an open internet and disagree that a tiered access approach should be imposed. The internet is not the province of monied interests. Those who would collect fees from certain edge providers or limit end user ability to access edge user content or particular websites are mining the situation for short term gain at the expense of a long-term profit for everyone.

The internet is a frontier and it is an unregulated frontier. Broadband providers make sufficient profits without monetizing internet activity in ways that benefit them and make access more difficult. In remote areas, like Montana, the internet is the world for people who would otherwise have little or no access to it. Open access to the internet discourages monopolies and encourages private investment and innovation.

Do not give away FCC authority to protect everyone's right to access the internet. Please keep access to the internet equal and open.

Sincerely,


Debra Wolfe

¹ Ironically, this document was retrieved using a search engine on the internet after I was unable to retrieve it using federalregister.gov.

14-28

Received & Inspected

Please review and confirm your submission information

JUN 10 2014

FCC Mail Room

- Modify your Submission
- Confirm

Note: You are filing a document into an official FCC proceeding. All information submitted including names and address will be publicly available via the web.

Not sure if was received by Net. Here is hand copy.

Please review your submission information

Proceeding

Name	Subject
14-28	Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet

Contact Info

Name of Filer: Brian Byrne
Email Address: Brianbyrne@me.com

Address

Address Line 1: 26 Meeting House Way
City: Edgartown
State: MASSACHUSETTS
Zip: 02539

Comment

Well, I have following this item very closely and watching all the news stations about what is really go on with FCC and the Fast Lane Concept. I agree with the statement the fast lane would be a "grave threat" to both innovation and free speech. As a small start business which is really looking to compete with all competitors by using the SEO systems on the internet. If the FCC allows the big internet providers to see the broadband to the highest bidders the little business people will be dead in the water. It is bad enough that the cable and telephones companies can pretty much charge what they like and get away with it. I couldn't not believe when I got my first business phone bill and found out that half of bill was for fees and taxes. I am think the internet can be the last frontier and keep the big companies out it. I am hoping Chairman Wheeler can be looking out for the American people and not his old special interest groups COMCAST. I still question how these large telecom services can be buying each other out for billions of dollars. That alone should signal the FCC something is the wrong with the way big companies are abusing the private citizen. As far as getting congress involed they are not much better. Leave it alone would be a great idea. It isn't broken only in the eyes of the big broadband companies. The internet isn't free I have my Comcast and Verizon bills to prove it and I am not looking to pay them any more for services I have have just a few options already. Enough is enough I have called my senators and congresspeople on this as well. I am telling everyone I know they should be after the FCC to act in the best interest of the people and free speech. Good luck and we will be watching. Brian Byrne

Note: You are filing a document into an official FCC proceeding. All information submitted including names and address will be publicly available via the web.

