

7521343124.txt

I am against the monopoly that will be created if the buy-out/merger of Comcast and Time Warner Cable is allowed to happen. This is why we have anti-trust laws.

Just because they don't compete in the same market already, doesn't mean they don't already have monopolies on their area and will have even more if the merger is allowed.

Here in Kansas City (Overland Park is a suburb) Time Warner is the only option until Google Fiber fully gets its cables laid. Back in my small hometown of Great Bend, Kansas we only had Cox. No one else. The same is true across the nation.

How many people only have one option for their cable or internet? If the merger happens, we will still only have one option. How is that helping the public? How does that keep a monopoly from forming? How is that not a monopoly already?

Once again, I am against allowing the merger of Comcast and Time Warner Cable.