

7521346381.txt

The issue at stake here is freedom of speech. It's kind of easy to think that the first amendment exists within a vacuum, and simply having the bill of rights written as it in and of itself protects our rights to express ourselves freely and openly. Yet in the real world such sentiments are meaningless if we do not take steps to counteract moves on behalf of those in power to curtail those rights. Like it or not the Internet has been the bulwark of our freedom of speech -evidenced perhaps by the simple fact that it was through the internet only that any of this debate came to my ears - and ISPs do not have us or our best interests at heart. Whether you think it paranoia or not, I can see grave implications in allowing ISPs the ability to favor certain types of content over any other - for any reason - is dangerous.