

Y'all at FCC watch a lot of movies and shows and such, right? You're familiar with the general traits that protagonists/heroes have versus what antagonists/villains have; of course you are; you must be. Ever notice that the hero is always the underdog? It's usually the grassroots, the neighbor, the everyday person who overcomes and achieves something worthwhile that is the center of the story. Whereas the villain usually has an unusual amount of power and vast resources with which to oppress the freedoms of others, whether that be control over media, crime monopoly, or just able-bodiedness and a vast resource of hate and rage. This is the archetype of most stories because it resonates.

Which role do you imagine cable companies would fit best in? With their monopolies despite subpar service: internationally America's cable companies' rates are amongst the highest, due to lack of competition, and the quality of their product is amongst the lowest. That hardly seems fair, hmm? And *now* they want to bring elitism directly into how the internet works. There is no reason why companies and organizations should have to pay a new fee for what they already have, except to make rich men richer and tamp down competition and what power the not-rich have gained by being able to connect with other allies of the not-rich and criticize and stop shit like this on a global scale.

These cable companies should be a lot more concerned about upping their game about their shitty service rather than trying to piss consumers off even more.

Get your shit together, FCC, and protect net neutrality and equally shitty internet service for all.