

7521379227.txt

The proposed rules allowing a "fast lane" is logically tantamount to permitting ISPs to have slow lanes, and should not be permitted in any way. Instead, ISPs should be categorized as title II common carriers.

In most locales, the major internet service providers enter in to agreements with local municipalities to protect a regional monopoly in exchange for last-mile build-outs. Just like it is next to impossible to get a new local power company with their own electric poles, it is next to impossible to get meaningful competition and new ISPs. And when the local residents against all odds attempt to do it on their own, history has shown that the incumbent internet providers fight in court to protect their regional monopolies (for example, TDS Metrocom vs Monticello, MN <http://bit.ly/1ygMI Vv>).

Internet service providers should be categorized the same way as phone traffic -- as title II common carriers. When people lack real choice on a local level with who to use (and thus no ability to let free market economics effectively work), ISPs should not have any ability to charge website operators for any special treatment. After all, consumers are already paying for the bandwidth at specifically advertised speeds.