

To avoid facism. Allowing one or two voices control of airwaves precludes any reasonable ability to maintain open discussion and debate, both essential for democracy.

—Theodora B. Crawford, Berkeley, CA

It is essential that the internet remains completely open. Ensuring a fast lane would only be detrimental to startup companies attempting to compete with larger corporations. It would be disastrous if a high-price fast lane became the new law of the land for regular citizens attempting to use the internet as well. Allowing cable companies to have such an absurd two-tiered system may be what they want, but it is not right; no matter how much of their lobbying money goes into the government's pocket. The fact that major corporations (outside of the cable companies) are as strongly against this as the very citizens of this nation, wouldn't one think that this is not the way to go? Cable companies have managed for years through deregulation to already make ridiculous profits while receiving awful approval ratings, and practically monopolizing regions of the United States. There is no need to implement something so many people are against in order to make the cable companies even more profitable for the wrong reasons.

—Anthony Smizaski, Cliffside Park, NJ

I would like to request the FCC as a US citizen, a taxpayer and as an IT professional, to NOT move forward with these new rules. We DO NOT need a Two-Tiered Internet Plan, especially since the ISPs and telecommunications companies CANNOT even provide satisfactory service currently. True competition in the marketplace should not favor certain companies/sectors/technologies but to compete in its own merit.

—Alberto Jose Clavecillas, Fairfax, VA

You can't have a free and open market if the Media/Telecom tycoons are calling the shots on who gets to succeed and who has to pay. That's a mafia run internet and there's too much of that these days. In addition, these seems more about a Communist/Authoritarian move in order to control who gets what information and from where they choose to get it. It's about choice and a Fascist move like this is absurd. Hasn't it been proven to you already when this was attempted before? How much will it take for you to get the picture to just stop and LET IT GO, but no, they'll keep clawing for control..

—Micah Miller, Lebanon, PA

Net neutrality is important for keeping the internet open for everyone, not making two different speeds based on how much companies pay to have access to consumers. Keep the playing field even for everyone.

—Jennifer Garrette Lisy, Galena, OH

For the love of God and Country and all that is holy . . . please I am begging you to stop this insanity. Please don't give the keys to the same people who already have a monopoly on our internet services. A month doesn't go by that COMCAST doesn't figure out a way to charge me more money. If the likes of AT&T, COMCAST and Verizon have their way their will be no way to STOP them - the internet as we know it now will cease to exist and the people with the MONEY will control the flow of information. Wake up FCC . . . do you really want to be LOVED as much as COMCAST? Keep it Fair, keep it Neutral!

—Arlene Norton, Tupelo, MS

Net Neutrality both protects smaller companies in the US, and normal people using the internet. Basing internet speed on who can pay the most is an incredibly terrible choice for most people, and would allow companies such as Comcast to block sites that cannot afford the "premium" (or slow them down to the point that they might as well be unavailable), and may even target sites that support different values. It is clear that this move can only have a positive outcome for the companies that are in control of it, and it should not even be on the table.

—Tahirah Corbin, Huntsville, AL

Stifle the Internet and you stifle creativity.

—Mark Escajeda, Lafayette, CA

The internet should be regulated as a common carrier. Do not hand Comcast and Time warner any more control over my connection speed as they will just form more monopoly control . ENCHOURAGE COMPETITION.

—James P. Meloche, BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI

FCC, do not end Net Neutrality. Doing so will have lasting and devastating affects on the economy, our society, and on Internet user's everyday activities. The people are speaking loudly that they want to preserve the Internet as it is. If Chairman Wheeler's rules as passed, it will be one of the biggest scandals in my generation. FCC, throw out the rules and instead reclassify ISPs as common carriers.

—Megan Tady, Easthampton, MA

The ISPs must be classified as common carriers. If not, it will create losers and winners, dependent on who can pay. NOT RIGHT!

—Ray Nelson, La Salle, CO

Net Neutrality is crucial to ensuring a free press. Getting rid of net neutrality would harm the free market so that start ups can't compete. Comcast has already shown that it is willing to abuse it's controls of speed to help its negotiations with netflix.

—Adam Dombay, Carrboro, NC

To create a two-tiered (or multi-tiered) system for the internet would, at this point, represent nothing less than an obvious and unforgivable abrogation of governmental responsibility. Rather, it would indicate the total abandonment of the American people to the predatory whim of monied interest, thereby speeding the arrival of the moment in which an irredeemably corrupt system would necessitate an uprising in which the people will reclaim the public good for itself.

—Patrick Linder, Durham, NC

I'll keep it simple, protect net neutrality for the people and ignore the bribery of the cable companies.

—James Drummond, Goodlettsville, TN

We commoners NEED net neutrality!! Big business needs no more advantages than they already have!!

—Paulette Walter, Colfax, CA

This is another step to push the little guys out and cater to the big guys. We should all have access to the same information, the same way, regardless if we are rich or poor...that's the beauty of the internet. This gives way to much power to service providers to do whatever and charge whatever they please. It's a mistake and will lead to bigger issues if approved. Plus, most Americans are already dummies, why dumb them down even more by putting limits on a major source of information? Oh wait, isn't this part of the plan?!

—Tara, New York, NY

Tell the FCC to throw out its rules and instead reclassify ISPs as common carriers. This is the ONLY way to protect real Net Neutrality.

—Debi Garrett, Sturgis, KY

Is anyone involved in this in favor of this other than perhaps about one half of one percent of the population? Ah but that one half of one percent are the ones with money! They're also the ones Mr. Wheeler USED? to work for. The very same ones that have ruined virtually every aspect of government of, for, and by the people with their money. I suppose in the end, they'll ruin this too.

—Gary Jeffers, Liberty Twp., OH

If there is no more net neutrality, then any differences in service between customers are most likely to work to the advantage of large corporations, and not small ones or actual individuals who use the internet. It just does not seem fair to me. Besides, what difference is there between an ISP and a water pipe? Both carry content to the individual and so both need regulations as common carriers, based on fairness and equality.

—Anatol Dinbergs, Rockville, MD

Internet needs to be kept free and equal for progress to be achieved in making internet and technology better.

—Laengheng Khoun, Cranston, RI

keep the Internet neutral.

—John Contos, la palma, CA

Let's keep our free market free from big money influence.

—Linda Paulsen, Denver, CO

The Internet is the very definition of democracy. It is a neutral space where everyone, regardless of country, background, gender, race, religion, or political affiliation, has the same access to share ideas and opinions. Making a single mom in Chicago, a school in a poor district in San Antonio, or anyone else pay more to obtain the same access as Comcast and Verizon is the very definition of oligarchy. It says to those who can't afford more, loudly and clearly, that the only voices which matter online are those who can pay for it. It's unfair, undemocratic, and un-American to discriminate in this manner. Please don't turn our Internet into a super highway for a few and a dirt road for the rest of us.

—M Eytcheson, Denver, CO

Didn't we start the internet? Why are other countries net service better. Think about it and what you can do to improve the net, not make it even worse.

—augustine Gaona, Houston, TX

Please keep the internet free and neutral.

—Joseph, London,

Net neutrality is essential for the continued process of building a free and open society. The erosion of net neutrality benefits only the oligarchy and further cements the monopoly in information exchange, firmly in favor of major corporations and firmly to the detriment of the common people of America. The People of America must lead, and our elected and non elected officials (especially conflict of interest former lobbyist Tom Wheeler) must follow: Give the internet back to the American People. No second class citizens, online OR offline means No internet fast lane for affluent corporations, and No relegating everyone else to the slow road, making all information NOT equal, and bowing to the fickle whims of self serving bureaucracies.

—Abraham Kayne, ann arbor, MI

No slow lanes on the information highway. Our democracy depends on it (especially after Citizens United and McCutcheon).

—Carmine Tronolone, New York, NY

Leave the internet alone, we do not need two or more different types of internet, one for the rich and one for the poor. I find it very interesting that the person who was a lobbyist for these very same cable companies has become the head of the FCC! Sounds all too familiar, big business is running Washington, whoever has the deepest pockets get the rules passed to screw the rest of us. How dare you Tom Wheeler for trying to pull this fast one on the public. I hope you get the same back in your life someday. Karma is not a nice thing, shame on you and all your cronies! You greedy bastards!

—Margaaret Rempe, Gaylord, MI

The indiscriminate regulation by companies who control both speed and cost of the internet not only are unfair to the common man and small businesses, and verge on a monopoly, but also appear to violate Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle, thus against the basic laws of nature!

—Steve Nelson, Simi Valley, CA

I want to start my own business and have been advised that I need a Web site. My funds are very limited. I have a chance of succeeding if I have a Web site that is as accessible as every other. But how can I compete with large corporations who can afford to pay extra for a faster tier of the Internet? Support small businesses and budding entrepreneurs. Keep Net Neutrality!

—Carol Ehrlich, Kensington, MD

FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler still thinks a two-tiered Internet is the way to go. His misguided proposal would create a fast lane for companies that can afford the steep tolls — and push the rest of us to a slower tier of service. This is the worst thing imaginable for the Internet. And yet Wheeler thinks he can ignore the growing outcry and implement his plan.

—Michael Rooney, Austin, TX

I expect all data and information to go at the same speed for all users. No fast lanes. No slow lanes. Just information flowing freely at whatever speed the hardware can handle. Support anything other than real net neutrality, and you win a place in the book of the damned.

—Gary L. Wallin, Cedar Rapids, IA

An end to net neutrality will severely hurt the American people and technological innovation in the United States, only cable company giants will benefit. It seems silly to be trying to explain why this is important to a government agency, which should be working for the benefit of this country and not to increase the wealth of the very few. But alas here we are. There is no logical reason why internet service providers should not be classified as common carriers. Please classify them as such and protect Net Neutrality and the future of technological innovation in America.

—Sebastian Gosztyla, Chicago, IL

Being against any change in Net Neutrality is so very simple. Leave Net Neutrality alone, after all, if it is not broken why try to fix it.

—Michael Ann Clegg, Tucson, AZ

we need real net neutrality!

—Libbe Madsen, Laytonville, CA

A free and open Internet is something that should be protected and preserved. We certainly do not need the cable and phone companies raising their profits while we, the consumers, are the losers all the way around. Net Neutrality is the one and only way for the Internet to function.

—George Hartman III, Louisville, CO

Chairman Wheeler's proposal to allow for pay-to-play information super-duper highways is unacceptable (except to ISPs). You have the responsibility to do what is best for communications customers in the U.S. Internet service providers already have much too much control over the Internet. Please forget about giving them even more control. Reclassify them as common carriers.

—Harvey Picker, West Hartford, CT

The FCC is violating "The basic principle" of democracy in America. This attack on and threat to Net Neutrality in America is unpatriotic at a minimum. We are not a communist country and should not be dictated to on a consumer level by any means. It's deplorable that we have to fight the very agencies we elect, pay for with our tax dollars, to protect Americans from monopolies, corporate manipulation and greed and unsafe practices. Ending Net Neutrality is a farse, a cheap trick to give corps like Comcast, the internet kingdom. Insane, greedy, obviously self-serving plan. We are not stupid, we are being bullied and the FCC is allowing it. Bully America Monopoly Nation.

—Virginia Eagan, Chico, CA

The web is for everybody, a prime example of democracy in action 24/7. The web is NOT for the privileged few. Killing net neutrality would kill competition and fatten the already bloated wallets of the telecom monopolies. Please do NOT permit greed to kill the web.

—Rick Freeman, louisville, KY

ISPs should be common carriers, any other action diminishes a truly neutral internet and supports monopolizing.

—Julia Supple, stamford, CT

Once again the bureaucrats are poised to shalt the general population of America. All this in favor of the huge excessively well off corporations. We were under the mistaken belief that this Administration would support ordinary citizens; however, with the FCC chairman and his friends, the rich get richer and the rest pif us PY MORE & MORE & MORE!!!!!!! And receive LESS & LESS & less. Go ahead and do the bidding of comcast, verizon, etc. And as for the FCC comment website: it probably makes Affordable Healthcare Site seem EASY!  
—Frederick North, Tucson, AZ

As a lower level administrator in Higher Ed, I see the loss of net neutrality having an adverse effect on the future of online education. As tuition at major universities continues to climb both educators and students are looking to the flexibility and affordability of online education to help deliver quality education at an affordable cost. With an internet controlled by for-profit entities (or anyone really) consistent and reliable internet service will become more difficult to get and potentially more expensive resulting in higher drop-out rates and fewer students achieving a bachelor's degree or higher.  
—Daniel VanZant, Johnson City, TN

Broadband is no different from telecommunications. ISPs should be common carriers.  
—Brent McCraven, Raleigh, NC

The People demand that we continue to have an open Internet. An open Internet is indispensible in our efforts to promote and maintain our democracy. Please support the reclassifying of ISPs as common carriers. The Internet should remain a one-tiered system.  
—Douglas Newman, Winnipeg,

It is time Americans had the speeds, the choices and the prices of other developed countries - for utilities (and they are utilities of this age and the future) whose infrastructure our taxes helped pay for and for which further tax charges are added to every bill. Instead the FCC wants to take us backward and let what should be public utilities control even more of our access and content, effectively squashing innovation as they have competition.  
—B Post, Corrales, NM

Because it keeps big companies in line.  
—Thomas Maginniss, Goldvein, VA

Net Neutrality is very important. We can't just keep letting corporataions with money make all the rules.  
—Dean Larkin, Roanoke, VA

Keeping the net to reasonable federal rules and regulations is acceptable. Allowing bloated, profit-greedy corporations to regulate the internet is not acceptable. Internet accessibility, affordability and consumer protection are the reasonable regulations I expect from our elected officials. Price inflation, tiered service and profit-driven motives (which can either be underhanded or simply attract the wealthy customer or all points in between...) are what I expect from corporations and those motivations and actions are never good for the general of impoverished consumer.  
—Ona L Harshaw, Portland, OR

Enough is enough. Please stop the corporate takeover of our lives. They already influence our elections, our wages, our health care, and will attempt to control anything else they can if it makes them a profit. Enough is enough. Please do everything in your power to ensure that the internet remains free and open. NET NEUTRALITY NOW!  
—Kate S, Bear, DE

Don't allow Internet service providers like AT&T, Comcast and Verizon to create a two-tiered Internet, with fast lanes for those who can afford the extra fees and slow lanes for the rest of us. These companies would have the power to pick winners and losers online and discriminate against online content and applications. And no one would be able to do anything about it.  
—Marty Mason, Highland Heights, OH

Tell the FCC to throw out its rules and instead reclassify ISPs as common carriers. If this happens ALL the children in schools will not be able to complete their homework. Children now do All school work on line. Rich and Poor a like, North, South, East and West.

—Alice, Fort Myers Beach, FL

SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY. WORK TO BE DONE; SOCIAL JUSTICE IN GREAT DANGER! PROMOTE FAIRNESS, FREEDOM, JUSTICE, PEACE, HUMANITY, PRODUCTIVITY, WELL-BEING OF GENERAL PUBLIC. RESOLVE SOCIO-POLITICAL ISSUES, BALANCING BUDGET. OPPOSE SO CALLED "FAIR ELECTION ACT" PUBLIC FINANCE MATCHING SMALL DONORS FUND- DO MORE HARM THAN GOOD, RESOURCES BENEFIT "BAD GUYS" AS USUAL. TASKS: TOP PRIORITIES: Society in vicious cycles as in need of revolution, we MUST act: (1). Prosecute, eliminate "MURDER- fraud- crime- injustice networks"= cruel tyranny= robbery machine = ROBBER- ISM; destroying essences of democracy, capitalism; continuing, on-going; relaying, penetrating every segment of our lives (inc. civic non- profit organizations); expanding here domestically to overseas- foreign countries; with threat, coercion, victimization, deprivation, discrimination; unjust practices, manipulation, influence; bad legislative bills; unjust hidden agenda with false/ misleading excuses (inc. private- public partnership, economic development, housing, school construction, transportation, abandonment of properties, maintenance of "paper roads", nonsense grants, programs; ..., whatever) to benefit/ facilitate "MURDER-fraud-crime- injustice networks" (inc. officials, judges, developers, lawyers, employees, etc.); expand further unjust operation; endless unlawful-immoral acts; rob/destroy resources (rights, land, properties, home, buildings, assets, accounts, income, pension, documents, evidences; public, private; business, civic, political); frivolous litigation, levies, foreclosures, garnishment, guardianship, power of attorney; improper processing of complaints, procedures, proceedings, docketing, bookkeeping, accounting; cause vicious cycles: socio- political- election-media-budget- legislative- system problems; civil-human rights backwards; people-slave. Examples of problems are provided below: \*\* (2). Restore: principle, fairness, cost-effectiveness, accountability, reliability, capability; fair election, justice, peace (including civic, non-profit organizations), "check and balance"; Restore: TRUE essence of democracy, fair election process; easy access to government, files, records, transcripts; not unjustly manipulated, influenced, misled by wrong person, information, or "official misconduct- government gang- fraud-crime- injustice networks" = serious causes of socio- political – election –media- budget- legislative problems, which destroy people, families, society, peace, justice, democracy. Based on merits, justification; NO double standards, improper processing of complaints, procedures, proceedings; falsification, false records, tampering of evidence, data; harassment, intimidation (complainant, witnesses); false charges, citation, bond, imprisonment; disparities and improper treatments, etc.; abuse of laws, power, authorities. (3). Promote democracy, fair election, quality, competition, people input (policies, issues, officials, judges); televise public hearings, citizen/candidate forum/debate; maintain, disseminate meaningful accurate information, records, capability, reasoning, good sense of justice, public interest, endurance. Objective screening by meaningful rigorous examinations, evaluations for quality, capability, endurance and public interest. \* To capture extended serious problems of "MURDER-fraud- crime- injustice networks" with official misconduct- government gang, please incorporate the following: (A). complaints/ cases: administrative and judicial levels; (B) frequent testimonies before government bodies, official, legislators, law enforcement, committees, public hearings; local – federal; (C) spin-off complaints, improper processing of complaints, procedures, proceedings, accounting, bookkeeping, docketing, etc.; (D) Candidate statements, see Webs and archives. Urge to re-open and investigate Congress.org, DNet (by League of Women Voters), links, etc.; (E) complaints and reports to police, law enforcement, consumer affairs, other authorities/agencies against "fraud- crime- injustice networks"; (F). Numerous TV programs on social issues (Dr. Lih Young: producer, host, speaker). (G). Frequent recommendations/petitions to officials, agencies, law enforcement, local - federal; as individuals or with civic organizations. \*\*

EXAMPLES OF PROBLEMS - "MURDER- FRAUD- CRIME- INJUSTICE NETWORKS"- with official misconduct, government gang- endless unlawful immoral acts: (A): OPM, IRS, DHHS, SSA, DOJ, FBI, DOD, USDA, DOC, USCIS (US citizens/ neutralization services), custom services, various agencies, law enforcement; 3 branches, local – federal- global; National Park Service (Prettyman?), Library of Congress (Neil Gladd); many financial/brokerage/ accounting/ bill-payment processing/ collection institutions/agencies; insurance, car related businesses, utilities..., more; dealers Lakeforest Oldsmobile; phone/utilities/cable, judges, legal/court personnel, detective/ process server/ impersonators, with phony names, or would not provide names even in the court cases (Complainant could not get access to court files; could not even make copies); Leslie Gradet, Tamera Jones, William D Roessler; Offices of Treasury, Comptroller, Attorney General: Joel Jacob/Jacobson, Gail Malle-Davis, Sylvia J. Brokos, Mary Hawse, Linda Tanton, Gerald Langbaum, John Barry, Pamela Porter, Leo F. Partridge, Mark Vulcan, Jamis Riley, James Britt, Audrey Thomas, Jeanne Lippy, Jesse Rosenburger, Ralph Lepson; transcribers Margaret Bauer, Senators: Walter Baker, Barbara Hoffman, Thomas Middleton, Trooper: Marty Sealey, Vincent Mass, State Election Board Ross Goldstein; Maryland DOEd Susan Page, Barbara Smith(?), SSA employees and supervisors (especially in Rockville, Md), and Bullivant (?), probably in N. J.; or other states). Lobbyists/ municipal attorneys/lawyers/affiliates, Paul Glasgow, David Venable, Joseph Stoltz, Jr., Barry Gordon, Stephen Perouka, David Steinberg, Wolpoff & Abramson, Richard D. Mirsky, Poppleton, Garrett & Polott, P.C., accountant Hilda K. Matjevic; Marc Sliffman (Silver Spring, Wheaton area), Samuel White and his law firm and lawyers Shawn Bartley, Daniel Pesachowitz, Laura Jolly (phony person, named as "substitute trustee", but can never be found or contacted even through official agencies); many court personnel and judges (District Court – Court of Special Appeals). Court Auditor Robert Romero. State, county health services organizations/ agencies, Department of Health and Human Services, Montgomery County Crisis Center, Jean Burgess (white, female), Marsha Aaron; Department of Aging; Adult Protective Services, Sherry Davis, Suzanne Lord (?), Odick Esq., Bonnie Klem, etc.; Suburban Hospital, physicians, emergency staff; social worker Jody Crecensi (?), case manager Patricia Grafferty (?), Robert Rothstein, M. D. (?), Tipp Woodward; Manor- Care in Potomac Maryland: Cheryl Paulson (?), administrator) and nurses, etc.; forensic services, Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene: Jolie Smith; numerous psychologists, psychiatrists, social workers, etc.: Potomac Ridge Steven Israel, Collin,

Gabriel (?); social worker Tracy Lewit; Springfield Hospital Center: forensic services, Kevin Knight, Dr. Valadez (refused to give full name, despite repeated requests); Carla Craville, Francoise Reynolds, Roxanne Heyman, Amy True; Judges James Sasfield, Gary Crawford, Cheryl McCally, etc., prosecutors/government attorneys, e.g., John McCarthy, Nunylny (?), Peter Mitchell (Montgomery County Office of Human Rights); District Court Commission (Rockville, Md) M. Dickerson; Court Personnel T.M. (only initials, no full name available); many judges, clerk of the courts (Jeffrey Ward, Loretta Knight, Bettie Skelton, Molly Rhul, etc.), other court personnel, various counties, states; local – federal; law enforcement, FBI; police e.g., in Rockville, Md. Sgt. Cowell (first name not available), C.P. Sadleson; officer Davis (MCPD); Denis Lewis (Baltimore County); sheriff: R. Lewis (Female, White, Montgomery County Md.), K. Naff (white, male, Montgomery County, Md); fire and rescues (abuse, even no fire; conspiracy). (B): The problems are interrelated horizontally and vertically, among all issues, local-global. Clerks of the Circuit Court (Montgomery County, Md.) Loretta Knight, Bettie Skelton, Molly Rhul; District Court Clerk Jeffrey Ward, Administrative Judge Cornelius Vaughey, Sheriff Elliot Tolbert, etc. government attorney John McCarthy, Kristen Bender, court personnel, attorneys (public, private), law enforcement (FBI, sheriff, police, fire/rescue, etc., contractors) are part of the “:fraud- crime- networks: with spying, surveillance, harassment, unlawful search, stealing, robbery, injuries; false arrest, imprisonment, citation, trespass, testimony; withholding witnesses; destroy information, documents, evidence, etc. ( C). False/ unjust/ frivolous levies, liens, garnishment, guardianship, power of attorneys, foreclosure, tenant-hold-over- eviction, etc. Thousands of cases are pending in the court systems for years or even decades; believed to be filed by “fraud- crime- networks” to victimize people; without due process, proper services, proceedings; cause homelessness, poverty; not because of the problems of homeowners/citizens, but because of unjust judicial/court/legal personnel, court auditor Robert Romero as part of “official misconduct- government gang- fraud- crime- injustice networks”. Judges (unjust, irresponsible, judicially disabled) include Warren Donohue, John Debelius, Durk Thumpson, Ann Harrington, Louise Scrivener, Lawrence De Beard, Eric Johnson, James McKenna; District Judge Gary Everngam, Judge Gary Crawford; court personnel/ sheriff, attorneys, affiliated law firms; Sheriffs Earnest Turner, R. Lewis, K. Naff, etc. (E). Problems of privatization, irresponsibility, disabilities of government attorneys and judicial/legal/court personnel are very serious, expanding, local- nationwide- global; exporting injustice overseas; Rockville city, Montgomery County, Maryland state; New Jersey Monmouth County, Judge Robert McLeod (private attorney), Judge Patricia Bueno Cleary, Prosecutor (private attorney) Patrick Healy, police David D’Arcy. (F) Other people committed, conspired with police and fraud- crime- networks: Private attorneys: Robert McCarthy (Bethesda, Md.), Olivia Cammack (Silver Spring, Md.), David Slacker (Bethesda Md.); Ria Rochvarg (assigned as legal assistance provider to certain counties by Md. Department of Health and Mental Highgiene, but she went around the state for various abuses with Sherry Davis, Police Davis, etc. attorneys (public and private), Timonthy Adelman, Esq., (?) and law firms Adelman, Sheff and Smith(?), in Annapolis, Md), Robert McCarthy, Suburban Hospital, etc. Landlord/ landladies e.g., Jiewen Tan (Rockville, Md.) and Chia Yao (Gaithersburg, Md.) had been unjustly influenced/ conspired. (G). MURDER-FRAUD- CRIME- INJUSTICE NETWORKS inc. Montgomery County Council, Md, Nancy Floreen, Roger Berliner, Valerie Ervin; Sonya E. Healy, Esq. Legal counsel/Chief of staff (any relation to Patrick Healy, esq. in New Jersey??), Jackie Steward, council hearing coordinators/substitute; Md General Assembly, Jamie Raskin; U. S. Congress, Senate, legal staff; American U, administrative staff, security guard, students (4801 Massachusetts Ave., NW, DC); Catholic U; US Institute of Peace, conference organizers/ speakers. = = = = NO WARS ON SYRIA, MIDDLE-EAST, ASIA OR OTHER PARTS OF THE WORLD, when the problems are based on lies, profiteering, imperialism; depriving other people's rights, lives, resources, here and overseas. NO WARS, especially no congressional authorization, approval. = = = = Urge to re-open and investigate obstruction, seizure, tampering, denial of access or use of communication like phone, fax, media, equipment, facilities, computers, documents, work, document, websites, voters guides, e.g., Congress.org, DNet (by League of Women Voters), washington -post, vote-md, project-vote-smart, news media, social media, links; ; civic, non-profit, religious, “think tanks”,... more  
—Dr Lih Young, Rockville, MD

I have No TV and pay \$ 78.99 just for internet From Comcast and you are killing AMERICA  
—Alita DeMarco, Ypsilanti, MI

If ISPs are allowed to use selective discrimination to determine bandwidth allocation, the underlying principles on which the world wide web was founded will all but crumble to the ground. The internet is not something to be hoarded or controlled by any company, and the founding fathers of the internet agree it is to be kept open and left unabated. The system it relies upon is not damaged or in any way broken, yet the greedy corporations are paying our government to fix it, the same government which supposed to represent the people, not the corporations. Yes we all benefit from larger corporations providing jobs and economic growth, but at what cost? The internet was set on the premise it did not matter how much money you had, you could produce and deliver competitive content to your user base at the same speeds as the uber-giants, granting anyone with an entrepreneurial spirit the ability to fulfill their dreams. Is that not what the government is supposed to provide? Is that not the American Dream this entire country was founded on? Allowing service providers to accept bribes for faster content will literally kill the dreams any young startup company had. No one will be able to compete with those who have the most, which I know is what they want. It isn't what I was raised to believe this country stood for, so if it turns out to be the case, I hope every education system in the country reflects that this government and its American People have been bought and paid for by lobbyists for giant corporations that wouldn't give a crap about the people they serve so long as they reach their bottom line. I hope, not just for the internet, but for the sake of all of humanity, we can pull ourselves together and realize we are equals not to be treated like another paycheck.

—Nicholas Kelner, Dublin, OH

Please reclassify ISPs as common carriers.

—Paul E. Ryan, Napa, CA

How dare you think that making a fast and slow lane for the internet is even remotely acceptable or okay. THIS IS NOT OKAY. The internet is a wonderful tool and you guys are trying to destroy it just to make some money. Greedy and selfish. Please throw out your rules and instead reclassify ISPs as common carriers.

—Allison Summo, Placentia, CA

Please classify ISPs as common carriers. If internet today is not as important to daily life as phone lines of 30 years ago then what is? Please stop the BS.

—Alexander Kaay, Santa Barbara, CA

Inequality is already a huge problem in the U.S., the Internet should remain a level playing field.

—Jim Newberry, Los Angeles, CA

The biggest danger obviously is that a non-neutral internet can be used by any "power" that can buy, coerce, or "encourage" cooperation of the all-too-few companies that control internet policy, as well as obviously, the powers controlling those companies themselves. It will be possible as a result for true information to be entirely suppressed. It will therefore also be possible for governing powers of this country to suppress any portion of the population. Suppression of information can of course influence if not effectively control the vote. It can mean the end of democracy.

—Kurt Bigler, Berkeley, CA

Net neutrality makes the internet what it is. Why do we have to fight to keep it that way?

—Otto Hunt, Oceanside, CA

Surely this is NOT the best job you can do.

—Edith M. M. Conrad, Asheville, NC

We the people pay taxes to the government to help invent the internet, treat the internet like common carrier like the phone company

—Pedro, Brooklyn, NY

Net neutrality is important to me because, as a minority individual, the freedoms that I can exercise are already somewhat limited. It is important to me to feel as though my ability to access information and keep in touch with issues of civil liberty and justice not be further constricted by the impacts of net neutrality. I believe that all individuals should have access to information despite their financial situation (e.g., what carriers and ISP's they can afford) and continuing to constrict these rights and allowing big businesses to dictate what information we have access to further violates what I believe this country is founded to represent. Please do not let money stand in the way of our access and rights to education and communication. Also, please do not fail to recognize how this may impact those individuals with disabilities whose only means of communication may be through the Internet did to physical and even mental health related limitations.

—Tyler Valentine, Dayton, OH

Level the playing field. DON'T KILL STARTUP COMPANIES WITH BIG FEES. IMAGINE IF THE POWER COMPANIES PULL THIS!

—David C Faxon, Kingston, NH

I make my living developing software on the Internet. If big telecom companies like Comcast are allowed to charge a premium for access, it may block my ability to develop new services that require Internet access. Please regulate Internet access as a public utility!

—Tim Schmitz, Washington, DC

Please defend net neutrality, one of the few legislative battlefields where preventing the rise of monopolies remains possible.

—Ted Johnson, Albuquerque, NM

Please do not allow the FCC, headed by Tom Wheeler, former lobbyist for the cable industry, to screw the American people by approving his totally biased rules eliminating net neutrality. The cable industry is already a dangerous monopoly. All the information we have access to will be filtered by speed. Fast speed bought by the wealthy telecommunications companies and slow speed for the independent more honest and reliable small companies. Time Warner and Com Cast are the worst of the lot, with the poorest customer satisfaction ratings. The US pays more than any other country for internet and has the slowest internet in the world. This is before the new rules are approved. How can this be good for America? Yes, it will be great for the big companies. Fire Tom Wheeler and save net neutrality. Shame on President Obama for putting the fox in charge of the henhouse.

—Therese Patton, Black Lake, NM

Because using the Internet is something that Al Gore created, for all Americans and others to freely use without paying for it. Why should the Internet become a pay for usage? That's un-American. The powers that want us to pay for this are already powerful and big industry leaders. We shouldn't be required to pay for the Internet, because some of us pay already to access it through our ISPs. Please keep it free. I for one, won't pay for it.

—Michael JDR Wilson, Ione, WA

It's a democratic and public utility issue, not exclusive to companies and people that can pay more for access.

—Barry Saltzman, Los Angeles, CA

Please do not end net neutrality in favor of supporting, yet again, the giant corporations.

—Charlotte Smith, Albuquerque, NM

This is a terrible idea! The history of corporate monopoly in this country tells us that this would be Bad For Consumers, full stop! Please don't let those already at the table prevent people in the future from getting a chance at a seat.

—Marie Levine, Los Angeles, CA

Public Utilities Businesses that provide the public with necessities, such as water, electricity, natural gas, and telephone and telegraph communication. A public utility is a business that furnishes an everyday necessity to the public at large. THE INTERNET IS A PUBLIC UTILITY AND NEEDS TO BE OPEN AND FREE.

—Carol Bevis, Hanalei, HI

The internet needs to be reclassified as a telecommunications service in order to ensure net neutrality. This is what makes America strong: letting everyone have access to a level playing field to build businesses online which succeed because of merit, not because the already-wealthy and powerful are able to purchase the internet and reserve it for themselves. Stating that there would not be fast and slow lanes as a result of the proposed FCC policy of letting companies pay more for faster access is ridiculous. Of course there would be. We are already seeing evidence of this (<http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/21376597/>). Comcast and Verizon already have too much power and too little competition and this is hurting America. The head of the FCC is a former Telecom & Cable lobbyist and the former FCC chair is now lobbying for this industries. The revolving door that helps corporations own our government is obvious to everyone. The results of this are clear: U.S. companies are more and more profitable, with that money going mainly to investors and management, while workers have their pay go down every year in real dollars, while the quality of service for consumers goes down and prices go up. The US now ranks 19th in broadband quality to price ratio <http://www.netindex.com/value/allcountries/>. We are no longer a world leader in anything but corruption, monopolistic practises, and military might which we use to build empire. And it will only get worse until our regulatory agencies stop catering to the already wealthy and powerful corporations and start to remember who their clients really are: the American people. Please do the right thing and regulate the internet to maintain net neutrality and let competition work as it is supposed to, not to further enrich the few at the expense of the many.

—Dr. Melissa Rice, Stanwood, WA

With all the conflicts in to days government, it's clear large corporations are the government. It's clear to government in earlier years monopolies were Bad For Competition in the marketplace. Please keep Internet Net Neutrality. Otherwise the internet will become one more cost that those with less means will be able to afford. You know it's the right thing to do.

—Brian Carlstad, Coeur D Alene, ID

Please Please Please! Do not allow any sort of multi-tier system. Net Neutrality is crucial!

—Kent Smith, Cordova, TN

Everyone should benefit from high speed internet. It should not be monopolized by service providers at the expense of tax payers.

—Kelly Root, Ferndale, MI

Net Neutrality is important to me because an open and unobstructed Internet fosters growth for all of us. There is not enough ISP competition to ensure changing the rules of net neutrality will be good for the consumer. FCC please throw out your rules and reclassify ISPs as common carriers.

—Aaron Behl, Los Angeles, CA

At it's core America is about everyone's voice and opinion given equal stage. That's what the internet is about. It's done more to spread democracy and equality to the rest of the world than 200 years of wars. Please don't let it be taken it away. We should all be benefiting from the advancement of this technology not just the few companies that have already made it big. Innovation, human connection, and the freedom of knowledge are at a point of growth, the like of which we've never seen. Don't allow any non-public controlled entity to control or constrict that. Please keep our Net Neutral FCC. Thankyou!

—Marcel Bornstein, Forest Hills, NY

cable service should not be a monopoly. We, the consumer, should have a selection of providers. They should be vying for the allowance to earn our hard-earned dollars. I don't want websites to run at different speeds. Cable service is cable service. The content should be irrelevant. That would be like the mailman delivering bills on time but delaying delivery of magazines and birthday cards.

—Melissa Williams, palm beach gardens, FL

Net Neutrality is crucial for all who use it. This most valuable source of information should not be controlled by anyone or anything! The internet must remain as it is to even the playing field between the rich and poor, the educated and the uneducated, and to keep the last vestige of our rights and freedoms to be sustained and protected.

—Carol W. Williams-Freeman, Powell River, AZ

Net Neutrality is VERY important. Not everyone is watching Netflix. And, the internet is not that fast. I would like to see it faster for everyone. There is not enough competition in the field. For example, in my building, I can only get Comcast. I watch a few stations only and yet I have to pay top dollar anyway. It's an expense I would like to do without. When will the US start doing right by its citizens instead of by big business and the bottom line?

—Carolyn White, Cambridge, MA

Is there any part of , "Of the people, by the people and for the people, " that you care about?

—Ray Griswold, Sacramento, CA

Fairpoint has robbed me of decent internet service. In 1993 I was a customer of Galaxy Internet Svc. which gave me stellar service for a good price. Fairpoint pushed all other sellers of internet service out of NH, VT and ME in 2007 or 8 and doubled the price. I have no alternative, and I'm mad. Gratifying cable companies is not in the consumer's best interest.

—Jo Morse, Waterville Valley, NH

Net neutrality is the only way to go for the USA.

—Margo Kenney, Santa Barbara, CA

Everything should be the same speed. Stop trying to screw the consumer and benefit your corporate overlords.

—Jeremy Fischer, Durham, NC

You are putting the fox in charge of the hen house. Again.

—Daniel Hooke, Rowville,

EVERY TIME I GET THE BILL FROM VERIZON MY "BUNDLE" SUPPLIER MY BLOOD BOILS. THE ONLY SERVICE THAT DESERVES A PAYMENT IS THE TELEPHONE. CABLE WAS SOLD TO US BY PROMISING "IT WILL BE 'COMMERCIAL FREE'. THAT DID NOT HAPPEN. THE RATES KEEP RISING AND THE NUMBER OF CHANNELS WORTH WATCHING DECREASE.

—Sheila A. Meehan, HUNTINGTON BEACH, CA

throw out your rules and instead reclassify ISPs as common carriers.

—Jesse Clark, Memphis, TN

Neutrality is very important. If companies such as Comcast, AT&T and Verizon are allowed to take over the internet, we will lose the huge breadth of information that is currently available. People as individuals would find it harder to join together in campaigns and politics. You need to throw the rules and reclassify ISPs as common carriers.

—M Maunsell, London,

We NEED Net Neutrality to uphold the 1st Amendment's Free Speech and Free Press! Stop the ISP gatekeepers!

—Elaine Fischer, Roanoke, VA

The idea of charging businesses for a super-charged connection thru an ISP's network is wrong and will harm small businesses and the ability of all businesses to play on an even playing field. On top of that, it will force consumers to choose to connect with vendors that are not necessarily in their best interest. Once you allow ISPs and special interests to dictate which content consumers can see and how you've effectively agreed that certain vendors have the right to choose what I see and when. We don't need anybody telling us how, when, and why we can watch certain things on the Internet. The Internet needs to be free for the people to watch what they want to watch, when they want to watch it, and at speeds that allow that to happen correctly. We, the consumers, are already paying a pretty penny for substandard connection speeds (as compared with the rest of the world) and substandard service; if you allow the end of Net Neutrality what will give these vendors incentive to make any of that better?

—Kevin Martin, Park Ridge, IL

I work in an already beleaguered library. Internet access in libraries is essential if we are to prevent a digital divide of catastrophic proportions. Net neutrality is essential if we are to protect the rights of ordinary people. It is essential to prevent the internet as we know it from becoming the playground of big corporations and wealthy individuals. Please re-think the issue of net neutrality and act to protect it.

—Ellen Sentinella, Bath,

The internet was designed to create an even playing field for all users and to expand access to information. Applying these new rules is another example how big corporations own this country and government, and the citizens have little say about anything anymore. This is getting out of hand when the big corps are pushing us around as well as small businesses. They need equal footing in the internet space since their pockets aren't deep. This is another element that is chipping away on the American Dream and removing the ability to grow a business from the ground-up. This is a bad idea and places the control in the hand of big corporations. Sounds like what Walmart did to small towns.

—James, Seattle, WA

KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!

—G Audette, Toa Alta, PR

It's basic freedom of speech.

—Jordan Alexander, Torreon,

Please reclassify ISPs as common carriers. It is the only sure-fire way to prevent them from ending the functionality of the Internet as it now exists. In the area in which I live there are only two companies offering Internet connection, and they don't really compete with each other. Their pricing is virtually identical, and the quality of their service is indistinguishable. We need to create a competitive environment in which they must operate so that more companies can thrive, thereby lowering prices and increasing service quality.

—Roger Overholt, Hemet, CA

Net Neutrality on the Internet has been the golden goose for our economy and it has been a huge boon to access to information. Please don't kill it!

—William W. Lindley, Houston, TX

If this bill passes, what it really shows is that the people have no say anymore; it's all about the big corporations lining the pockets of everyone who makes laws.

—Cameron Wilkins, Falmouth, ME

The death of Net Neutrality would open the way for preferential treatment by ISPs. The rich already have disproportionate power as is, and preferential treatment to any legitimate political or social movement is antithetical to democracy.

—Charles Relyea, Savannah, GA

GOOD EVENING MONSTERS..... IF I CANT HAVE A CONGLOMERATE MONOPOLY, THEN YOU SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO HAVE ONE EITHER..... CORPORATE COMPETITION GENERATES INNOVATION, GIVES YOU A REASON TO GO TO WORK, A REASON TO DO BETTER THEN THE OTHER GUY. IF YOUR TECHNOLOGY IS SUPERIOR, THAT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE, THEN THE MONEY YOU EARN IS WELL DESERVED AND HAS MEANING. THE INTERNET AND INFORMATION, COMMUNICATION, EXCHANGING ON AN EQUAL PLAYING FIELD IS FREEDOM. ANYTHING ELSE IS FLAT WRONG. MANY MANY STEPS BACKWARD. WHEN BUSINESS IS TRANSPARENT, FAIR, AND REASONABLE, WE AS A PEOPLE ONLY GAIN AND GO FORWARD. RESPECTFULLY, ROSE PEER

—Rose Peer, wpb, FL

The only way to protect Net Neutrality is to throw out the new proposed rules and instead reclassify SSTs as common carriers.

—Paul De Lair, Tucson, AZ

The internet is a non-discriminatory source of knowledge and information. Restricting it in ways that favor the rich over the poor is a despicable act. Instead of further driving a wedge between people, the internet should be used to bring people together and further acceptance of different cultures. Plus small and start-up businesses that would have trouble paying more fees should be able to reach their customer base through the internet and compete with larger corporations. Please keep the internet a public utility.

—Emleigh Wolf, New York, NY

Please don't let the influence of cable companies dwarf the ability from ordinary citizens to access the same internet speeds as those who can afford to pay more.

—Benjamin R Fox, Cambridge, MA

I am sick...SICK...of this country creating one set of rules for rich people and corporations and another for everybody else. Not just a separate set of rules but one that's deferential to people with money. We're regressing to a feudal society. This proposal to create a two-tiered internet is just the latest example. It's like the carpool lanes, which were intended to reduce traffic by encouraging people to ride together, being converted to express toll lanes, which allow people who can afford it to get out of traffic, leaving us proles stuck in the morass. We pride ourselves on being a democracy. Ending net neutrality would be decidedly undemocratic. Maybe calling it "net democracy" would have more people up-in-arms defending it.

—jeffery dorer, Los Angeles, CA

Full net neutrality is the only way to assure that large internet providers do not ration internet access preferentially to maximize profit. After all their business is to maximize profit. The business of the FCC is to protect equal access for all Americans to vital communications and information. No compromise will be effective or sustainable when it comes to equal internet access and use.

—Michael Griffin, Saint Paul, MN

Do not allow monopoly extension of control over the internet

—Mark Choi, Pittsburgh, PA

I support open internet where policies such as equal treatment of data and open web standards allow those on the internet to easily communicate and conduct business without interference from a third party.

—Frances Schiavone, yonkers, NY

The FCC & federal regulators MUST reclassify broadband service as a public utility, which would allow for the requirement of net neutrality rules. We we want to reclassify ISPs as Title II common carriers. A common carrier is required to provide service in general terms and indiscriminately.

—Bruce Burns, Santa Cruz, CA

I use the Internet daily as a retired history teacher volunteer who has spent 15 years building a website for history teachers and students. I have over 30,000 pictures taken from the teaching slides of UC Davis history faculty. Tom, you need to leave and let me help improve people's knowledge of history!

—Sherrill Futrell, Davis, CA

Don't let corporations determine what our future holds. Classify ISPs as common carriers.

—Shawn Aguglia, Morrisonville, NY

Don't mess with net neutrality

—Alejandro Ahumada, portland, OR

I am an educator in a graduate school / My students and I are completely dependent on quick internet information for research and for communication. I am also a caregiver and need quick access for medical services, supplies and contact with paid caregivers. The internet is public space and net neutrality makes it possible to speak and to receive information without added costs for privileged access  
—Marc Pilisuk, Berkeley, CA

the first amendment in our bill of rights dealt with free speech. It is the fertilizer for democracy. Without it, democracy dies. Net neutrality is essential in preserving free speech. Damage it and risk turning these United States into a clone of Europe before the American revolution.  
—William Lorusung, Minneapolis, MN

Dear FCC Ending net neutrality would be a highly un-American thing to do as it would mean that not everyone would get the same opportunity to broadcast content on the internet and America was founded on the principles of equal opportunity to all.  
—Karan Singh, Ann Arbor, MI

Internet companies already make tons of money because of the monopoly they have over cable internet (which, lets be honest, is the only internet most of us can get without going satellite, which is terrible). If our government really worked the way it should, this would never make it to a vote. This law does nothing but give the cable companies more money in a sneaky and underhanded way.  
—Scott Bernal, Santa Ana, CA

To: Tom Wheeler, FCC Chairman: What you are proposing is unfair to those who can't afford better internet service, as well as giving certain providers the ability to discriminate against online content and applications. Stop the two-tiered Internet. This would not be a fair system. The Internet should be accessible to everyone in the same way. We need net neutrality. Tina Swaim  
—Tina Swaim, Seal Beach, CA

Please reclassify ISPs as common carriers.  
—Dan Sherwood, Portland, OR

Don't the giant communication conglomerates i.e. A.T.&T, Comcast, Verizon and others have enough money and power already? Let all of us EQUALLY have High Speed Internet access so we can continue to educate ourselves. I have no money and rely on internet access to look for work, healthcare, housing, and safe, affordable community living. Do the RIGHT thing. Vote AGAINST Tom Wheelers plan. AMERICANS WANT REAL NET NEUTRALITY. Reclassify ISPs as common carriers.  
—Kran Jones, Sonoma, CA

Net neutrality is basic to democracy!  
—Carla Hervert, Eugene, OR

The net is a utility and an incubator for American creativity. Speed is an essential element of availability and productivity. The providers are close to a monopoly now and should not be given more unlimited power over the American people and businesses. We must stay free and equal and fast!  
—Rosalee Greenspon, Westlake Village, CA

I'm adding my voice to this argument because the way telecoms dominate the internet is already uncomfortably one-sided. I relate this to the argument about royalties for visual and audio artists. There's not a lot of payment going on for content but the companies that provide the pipes are continually raising their prices (while, I add, not providing much in the way of better service). I fear that the current proposed FCC rules will only exacerbate this - and other - inequality. Please rethink your plan.  
—Aaron Tap, N. Hollywood, CA

Don't fix what ain't broken.  
—Joe R, Laredo, TX

The internet is the greatest tool man has ever made. It has been the source and sole reason for much of the most amazing innovation in this country and abroad. It's an open, free system where the best ideas shine, no matter who they come from. The FCC proposal threatens to destroy the open internet. This country has always stood for freedom and equality, which leads me to believe that even our founding fathers would've struck this FCC proposal down. Net Neutrality is the reason we have Facebook and not Myspace. It's the reason I can go to Austin TX and use Airbnb to stay inside a cozy home instead of a crowded, expensive Hilton. There are a ton of examples where the little guy has beaten these big establishments because of this open playing field. The open internet isn't something to be bought and controlled. It is something to be treasured and protected. Please, please consider the implications before you sign anything.

—John Loughrin, Lima, OH

The Net Neutrality threatened by your proposed rule changes is what I rely on to communicate with family members, do online research, and take free online university courses. Reclassify broadband Internet as a public utility. Don't give it away to the communications monopolies as you seem intent on doing.

—Thomas Mora, Leander, TX

Dear FCC, Currently there are very few ISPs in the US. The US internet environment is, for all practical purposes, a monopoly. By creating these separate pathways, you will stifle small business and individual speech and content. You will setup an environment where censorship can take hold. You have already ruined broadcast media by allowing a few corporations to own nearly all the airwaves. Now you are setting up the internet where a few very wealthy individuals can outbid everyone else for the bandwidth. I have no option, but to fight for Net Neutrality. Our country's survival may depend on it.

—Dan Egolf, Hayward, CA

I support Net Neutrality. NOTHING else is acceptable.

—Greta Tracinski, Athol, ID

When is the First time that Telco's, et.al. did anything for the consumers, other than Raise Rates????!!

—Gerard F Gaudin, Metairie, LA

I use a VoIP telephone. It cannot operate without Internet access. The FCC has the right, and the obligation to regulate any and all telephone providers. If the FCC refuses to classify the Internet as a common carrier subject to enforcement, then the FCC is a useless organization that may as well tell Bell telephone that they can merge together again since the FCC refuses to do the very thing they exist for.

—Bradley Hicks, Fort Worth, TX

Net Neutrality is important to me because I'm sick and tired of good things being destroyed just so a select few get richer. I've contacted both my state senators and my congressman. I've even called Tom Wheelers office. Is it weird that he was a giant telecommunications lobbyist and now he is charge of the FCC? President Obama promised to keep an open internet back in 2007. I supported him. Where is he on the issue now? He is playing golf with the president of Comcast. These cable companies are making enough money on the common citizen the way it is, and compared to the rest of the world, the service is bad! So whoever is reading this at the FCC please put an end to the greed and corruption. Thank John Oliver, Alexis Ohanian, and Freepress for helping to give me the courage to write this.

—Nick Krupp, Stevens Point, WI

Sirs I rely on the Internet as it is, expensive but fast. My business depends on reliable fast Internet. I do not believe that the FCC has any jurisdiction at all over this service as it is primarily carried by Fiber and copper, not radio. If anything Internet providers are Common Carriers like the wireless telcos. Calling them Common Carriers sounds like a better description and if they must be legislated and controlled by the Government, use that classification. The bottom line is we do not need more regulations that will just destroy service and add more cost. Stay out of it.

—Keith Clark, Saint Petersburg, FL

I'm calling to urge Chairman Wheeler to scrap the FCC's plan to allow Internet service providers to charge for preferential treatment. These rules would destroy Net Neutrality. I urge the chairman to throw them out and instead reclassify broadband as a telecommunications service. This is the only way to restore real Net Neutrality.

—Justin Joy, Philadelphia, PA

The Internet is supposed to connect us, not disconnect us! While other countries are in the process of improving accessibility to all citizens, our country is going in the opposite direction! Why? Because money rules? That should absolutely not be acceptable in a democratic society!

—Jennifer Young, Columbia, SC

I could write a lot about how ridiculous this proposal to end net neutrality is but you're not stupid, you know exactly what's happening and I know money is a strong incentive to let isp's fuck over the world but be warned people will not just sit back and let this happen.

—Scott Redding, Plymouth,

We need competition. Keep the entire internet open so everyone has a chance to participate at an equal level. I don't want to be cut off from services that have always been available to me. I have already lost my progressive radio station on the air and online. The FCC must work for the people, not the corporations. I see this as a political conspiracy. I don't want to be subjected to higher prices to use the internet which I am already paying for thru my already outrageously high subscription prices. Your rules are going to reflect what the corporations want, not what the people want and must have. This is equivalent to the Mafia taking control of everyone.

—Barbara Rosenthal, Los Angeles, CA

Providers of telecommunications services are common carriers and are regulated as such. VoIP is a telecommunications service. VoIP streams over the Internet. Therefore providers of Internet services are common carriers and should be regulated like other telecommunications providers.

—Charles Green, Mesa, AZ

Without Net Neutrality we create an environment that discourages innovation and freedom of data. It would be too easy for companies to control the flow of data for their own advantage. They could limit bandwidth for small start ups that don't have the funds to compete limiting choices for the common person. They could control the information that public can see even going as far as limiting bandwidth to sites with opposing views. Many parts of the control already basically have monopolies on high speed bandwidths due to lack of competition so customers wouldn't even be able to let their money do the talking by using a competitor because there aren't other options. The belief that these companies would not use this as a means of control is absurd. History is full of examples of companies and people with power abusing it for money.

—Jon Ollinger, Pleasant Hill, IA

It is in the best interests of a democratic society for citizens to have equal access to all the information available on the internet. This equal access would most certainly change should ISP's be given an opportunity to start charging more for faster or slower service (beyond what they already do). ISPs should not be in the business of determining which sites are popular and therefore can cost more to access. Net neutrality is purposeful governmental regulation against monopolizing communications corporations.

—Dr. WJ Zack, San Francisco, CA

The Internet is a level playing field for new start-ups. Let's keep it that way.

—Liam, Leeds,

It's the last place the American dream can be achieved without being gouged by banks, if your credit is good enough to be given the opportunity for that to be an option.

—justin misch, Kenosha, WI

Do your jobs with integrity. The multi-billion dollar mergers that you're tasked with evaluating must not be approved lest we return to the industrial revolution era robber baron paradigm.

—Zak, Fayetteville, AR

The internet should not be allowed to be tiered and regulated by corporations nor government. The internet should remain a free market; to impose further regulations would stunt the growth of smaller/startup business and widen the gap of economic debt and privilege in our country. Reclassify ISPs as common carriers.

—Erin Root, Kansas City, MO

Please protect Net Neutrality. It's only fair.

—Carole Zingeser, San Francisco, CA

The internet should benefit everyone not just the greed mongers like comcast or verizon

—Nee Eagle, Brooklyn, NY

Net Neutrality is essential to free speech.

—Kenneth W Johnson, Oakhurst, NJ

Support, proper, true Net Neutrality by scrapping the currently proposed rules that have made headlines recently. Even something seemingly innocuous as allowing content providers to pay for preferential treatment in terms of speed is potentially disastrous as it could dramatically increase costs which would then be passed down to the consumer. In a time when we are all struggling with increased costs due to the likes of Obamacare for instance, having the price of even basic internet or content access shoot up would be the last thing we need. Instead, ideally all broadband should be re-classified as a telecom service, especially considering how internet access is essentially required these days. It should also be free from bandwidth caps, possibly metered and paid for in a manner very similar to electricity. Failing that, the original 2010 Net Neutrality rules should be re-established with solid legal footing, so it would avoid the same fate as what befell it in January.

—Brian Ross Edwards, Fishers, IN

Reclassify ISPs as Common Carriers!

—Carl Berry, Westcliffe, CO

I want the internet to be available to all and free influence by large corporations. I feel this is basic to freedom of speech.

—Peggy Kolar, Tucson, AZ

Giving the large monopolistic cable companies control over internet access, is a extremely bad idea. Keep the internet open and keep net neutrality. This country already pays to much for access, compared to other countries in the world and we have slower speeds.

—David Hucknall, San Diego, CA

Net Neutrality is important to me because I am concerned that the bigger telecom. companies will control the internet to suit their needs. They will establish faster lanes for themselves while smaller companies and businesses will be delegated to the slower lanes and not benefit their business. I am also concerned that the big telecom. companies are growing larger creating a monopoly so there is no choice for consumers to choose from. Also, we should be improving our internet. The United States has the most expensive internet and the slowest compared to European nations. I'm tired of big companies controlling our country. The internet must remain free and should be improved.

—Cheryl Dzubak, Yardville, NJ

I already pay for service in this country with the worlds 4th slowest internet. What I get is excuses when they have malfunctions, long waits when I want that service...and fees which are not 'really' fees, just 'pretend' add-ons from greedy companies with no intention, demonstrated or planned, to make the USA anywhere in the top five on this, my home planet. Come on... REALLY? The garbage about paying for 'faster' is nonsense, they only PLAN to make it slow for you unless you PAY even more. Here's MY plan: nationalize the entire internet and make it a FREE public utility which is maintained by bid=contracts fulfilled by companies with living wages for employees, a cap on management 'welfare' payments/bonuses and a requirement of meeting AND exceeding deadlines. You know, like a business which CARES about customers.

—K Burch, Oakhurst, CA

The internet is not just a fad or a gimmick. It is now part of everyday life for billions. It is where we fall in love, educate ourselves, practice our hobbies find work and take care of business. It cannot & will not be constrained by global corps for their benefit only. We do not forget, we do not forgive. You should have expected us.

—Ben Harper, Bev Hills, CA

There should NOT be a two tiered Internet. We need real "net neutrality" The FCC must throw out its rules and instead reclassify ISPs as common carriers. This is the ONLY way to protect real Net Neutrality.

—Miriam Moss, Nothampton,, MA

The changes that are proposed to take away net neutrality are designed to make profits for big corporations at the expense of the American people. If this goes through it will take away much of what the internet was designed for, and I will be disgusted with the corrupt actions of the FCC.

—Curt Lyons, Fort Collins, CO

I support net neutrality because I don't think corporations should be allowed to profit off of faster downloading speeds. I also fear that the FCC is not looking at this issue through an unbiased lens. There is a conflict of interest when your chairman, Tom Wheeler, a former lobbyist for cable companies is writing the rules. Please look at your mission statement, "make available so far as possible, to all the people of the United States, without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex, rapid, efficient, Nation-wide, and world-wide wire and radio communication services with adequate facilities at reasonable charges" and realize there is no way you can honor this by allowing a two tiered internet.

—Kay Al, Los Angeles, CA

all of this is krappe! it smacks of censorship and class exclusivity. ISP's should always be classified as common carriers.

—John Smiley, Dixon, CA

We all deserve the opportunity to make our lives and the lives of others better and easier. The open internet has brought the world closer, it has brought hope and encouraged the creativity and problem solving that this world needs to evolve and flourish. We have had so many breakthroughs in conservation, medicine and personal well-being just to name a very few, through the access of open information. The open internet is a lighthouse in a storm of oppression that takes on many forms. A place to share ideas, vent, heal and encourage. To restrict access to these freedoms restricts humanities very essence of existence. This is an infringement of our personal right to express ourselves and communicate freely without money buying favor. You will never be able to suppress the spirit of community, thought, freedom or innovation. If you drop a stone in a stream of water, it will find another path. I am a creative thinker and I have passion, there are many more just like me and we will stand to uphold truth, integrity and light the way to a clear path of human transcendence. It is absolutely vital that we keep the internet, one of our finest accomplishments, free, fast and equal.

—Jennifer James, Philadelphia, PA

Classify the Internet and ISPs as common carriers to preserve the free flow of information.

—Jorge Torres, North Wales, PA

I am against the plan proposed by the chairman Tom Wheeler because this act of greed will destroy the integrity of the web and all it was designed to help us with. Without a free and open global telecommunications structure, future generations will be influenced by cable providers in ways we cannot even currently imagine. DO NOT IMPLEMENT THIS POLICY PLEASE!

—Chris Galletto, Houston, DE

Throw out your rules and instead reclassify ISPs as common carriers. This is the ONLY way to protect real Net Neutrality.

—Betty Jo Armstead, Denver, CO

The internet was built using tax payer dollars. The internet is for the people, not corporations. The FCC should protect the internet for the tax payer, not corporations! There should be free & open access to all who want to use the internet. Corporations, like cable co. & wireless providers, should not decide who gets what information or how fast.

—Michael Bourke, Butler, KS

The FCC should reclassify broadband internet as a telecommunications (or "Common carrier") service. Anything else is allowing ISPs to commit legal extortion.

—Dom Gibson, Sutton, Ely,

Dear Chairman Wheeler: We insist that you stop supporting the ongoing corporate attack on net neutrality. A large majority of internet users do not want fast and slow lanes on the internet; broadband providers should be common carriers and nothing more. Do corporations control the FCC or do the people control it?

—Robert and Jean Pollock, Roseburg, OR

We cannot let the few large companies with the most money control the access to the internet by way of money and monopoly - stand up for Net Neutrality!

—Leonard D Dietsch, Evanston, IL

Net Neutrality is very important, it allows fair competition and an even playing field. Please support Net Neutrality.

—John C Padrutt, Tucson, AZ

Please stop this law, this is nothing more than the cable companies controlling IPTV (Internet Protocol Television) so to make more profits on the backs of us middle and lower class end users. If this law is implemented, it would mean higher costs to us, the end users. Suppliers of Free IPTV such as Roku would have to start charging for their services or go out of business. Comcast, Verizon and other cable companies already charge too much for their services, they are trying to stop lower cost or Free IPTV services to maintain their monopolies. IPTV is a new and growing service that the cable companies do not want, as it cuts into their profits. PLEASE, I ask to not implement this law, the cable companies should not have the monopoly to control and raise the rates on us, as a retired person, living on a limited S.S. income, I cannot afford to lose my free and low cost entertainment just so the cable companies make more profits.

—Gary Zarzycki, Tucson, AZ

We have already undone all of the benefits of the anti-trust breakup of Ma Bell in the 70s and now we're about to hand the keys to the internet to only a few providers. It will be the end of freedom on the web, and the beginning of corporate censorship and persecution of those they don't agree with or simply compete against!

—John Kearney, Acworth, GA

Mr. Obama, Firing FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler and keeping the internet as a Net Neutral system would go a long way to help the American People know that you have not sold us out to the large corporations. Right now I have no confidence in your administration. It appears that you no longer consider the opinions of the majority of the American People as worth your time in making decisions. I helped elect you and I am so disappointed in you and your administration. Please keep the internet net neutral. Yes, I do know this comment is going to the FCC. You should resign Mr. Wheeler, you are clearly owned by the corporation(s). To prove me wrong...throw out the FCC rules and instead reclassify ISPs as common carriers, as the majority of the American People want. This is the ONLY way to protect real Net Neutrality.  
—Sherry Evans-Carmichael, Los Alamos, NM

I remember that pay for cable would be commercial free. How did that work out?  
—James Dibenedetto, Glenmoore, PA

Democracy demands it!!  
—Virginia Melick, Doylestown, PA

I like having choices and living in a free society. I mean really free though!  
—Michael Fiedler, Seattle, WA

I have been an internet customer of Verizon for more than 10 years. The service has gotten more and more expensive, the quality poorer (call them for repair and see how long it takes to get something fixed), and now they want to expand the range of their monopoly and make even more money at my expense. I would have changed my ISP long ago but there was no alternative that offered anything better than Verizon's expensive and mediocre service. The internet is a public utility using the publicly-owned airwaves for which the public should be compensated, not penalized. Compare Verizon quality and prices with that of the rest of the world. Mediocre or worse at relatively high prices. And now the FCC is going to allow it to make even more money for doing nothing better. Net neutrality is essential if good service at reasonable prices is to be provided the American public.  
—John Bennett, Alexandria, VA

There is nothing wrong with the internet as it is . Don't try to fix things that are not broken . Things should be equal for all users .  
—Mrs L Leon Trumpp, Seedalia, MO

Net neutrality is what allows the internet to grow and remain relevant. Getting rid of it would slow the progress of technology as we know it.  
—Timothy DeLuise, Bel Air, MD

Keep the Internet as is out of the control of big money, and free of their manipulations.  
—DJ Lipton Weide, Napa, CA

What is wrong with U FCC? How could U allow this monopoly? This is the day and age of the internet The American people need it to find jobs create jobs homework for the kids and to get real news that the sorry media isn't reporting. We are paying double in gas prices food prices and Now U want The internet providers to make it impossible for us to have equal access cause they want to not only control who will be successful and who won't .Stop trying to make us pay more for the internet we are paying to much for everyday items like gas food lights. Have the Americans people's back for once and stop letting the cooperations screw over the people who elected U the position U were given.  
—Alex scott, Detroit, MI

It's not broke so don't fix it.  
—Forrest Robleto, Cherry Hill, NJ

It is outrageous that the net could be taken away from average citizens by cable providers  
—Michael Aman, syracuse, NY

Net neutrality is important to everyone including you. People make online businesses, buy, sell, go to college, bank, talk to friends and family online. The internet works perfectly for those people now. There's no need to change that. Please don't change the internet. Thank you.

—Adam, Rock Island, IL

Throw out the current and proposed rules regarding the Internet, and instead reclassify ISPs as common carriers, which is what they really are. This is the only way to protect real Net Neutrality. I don't want a two tiered Internet.

—Edward LeBlanc, Santa Fe, NM

Democracy thrives when ideas can be quickly and easily exchanged. An internet which is available to everyone is just as important to our democracy as the US Postal Service has been since the beginning of this country. This is why the US Postal Service is one of the few government agencies explicitly authorized by the United States Constitution. If you fail to protect and maintain net neutrality, the consequences will be dire. Backlash may result in a constitutional amendment enscoring a neutral and open internet in the same way that the USPS has been constitutionally protected for 238 years. If the founding fathers had access to the modern internet, you can be certain that they would view this service as critical to the wellbeing of democracy. Please, do your job. Protect net neutrality.

—Keven Johansen, Salt Lake City, UT

All businesses should have equal access to getting their information out when someone searches. Monopolies are wrong. There are no two ways about it.

—Ruth Farthing, Columbus, OH

Net neutrality maintains a level playing field. Obviously you are already in the pocket of corporate America & do not care,

—Marlyn Haber, Altadena, CA

FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler is pushing a plan that would allow rampant discrimination online. If approved, these rules would mean the end of Net Neutrality. Wheeler's plan would let Internet service providers like AT&T, Comcast and Verizon create a two-tiered Internet, with fast lanes for those who can afford the extra fees and a slow dirt road for the rest of us. These companies would have the power to pick winners and losers online and discriminate against online content and applications. And no one would be able to do anything about it. The FCC must throw out its rules and instead reclassify ISPs as common carriers.

—Annette Windham, Palm Bay, FL

The Net belongs to the PUBLIC not corporations. It was developed and funded by my tax dollars. The ISPs need to be reclassified as common carriers.

—Jerry Matsui, Seattle, WA

I believe that business is our future, and that goes a hundred-fold for big business. Do you know why? Because the way it's going, it's inevitable. They already control most government decision-making, while creating "limited" (and I use that term VERY loosely) monopolies, scenarios in which the typical consumer has no real choice/option, then copy each other's products and services (not to mention pricing structures) to such an extent as to make choice a joke. But that's what Americans want. I know that, because they keep electing the same jokers back into office over and over and over. Specific to the FCC, net neutrality is just stupid. Comcast, AT&T, ... whoever, really, didn't I just say they're essentially indistinguishable? ... should definitely have a say in which websites, TV channels, and other content providers I do and do not get to interact with for my many hundreds of dollars per year. And have the freedom to charge me more to access additional content-providers, and/or commute my service bill if I agree to get my content from only their partners. Because -that- makes sense. And I haven't even gotten started on the double-dipping concept of charging for both bandwidth AND data volume. I already can't run a paltry Minecraft server out of my house, for the entertainment of my friends and family, because Comcast blocks most ports unless I upgrade to "Business Class." But that's OK, that's what hosted virtual servers are for, so I can pay somebody else to do it for me. See? Like the big execs of the big access providers, I "have people for that." And of course, in addition to paying a hosting service, I STILL have to pay for access to the internet out of my home, both for a desired "ideal" amount of bandwidth which is never actually guaranteed to be available, and presumably in the very near future also for amount of data moved. And maybe to access my own hosted server, if it isn't on the approved partner-list of my access-provider(s). So keep up the good work of selling America to the highest bidder. With luck, we'll be back to the days of the late-1800s robber barons within the next decade.

—Dave Florek, San Mateo, CA

Giving up net neutrality will only worsen the online experience. Please don't give into money. Keep the net neutral.

—Travis Taylor, Salem, OR

The internet offers a level playing field for all users. Please do not mess with it by creating a two-tiered system. I support Net Neutrality. It isn't broken, so don't fix it.

—Lynda Leigh, santa cruz, CA

The internet was developed by the U. S. Government using taxpayer monies. It is part of the world-wide common. As such, it must be protected in the same manner that radio frequencies are protected and preserved. It must not be sold to the highest bidder for their personal pleasure. Everyone in this Country of ours must have an equal chance to prove themselves and by privatizing the internet this ability to achieve one's dreams will be quashed. Implement Net Neutrality NOW (and for the long run). This is what is in the best interests of EVERYONE in this Country.

—Roger Hancock, Aurora, IL

As an internet consumer I think it is important to preserve net neutrality. Consumers need to have access to a wider variety of services than what would be available if neutrality isn't preserved. If the telecoms are allowed to create an internet environment that favors only large corporations, then creativity and business will be stifled or shut down completely. Then we all lose.

—Dawn Leuchtmann, Camdenton, MO

It is unfair, and would be bad for the economy for let smaller business not be able to have full speed, either because they can't afford it, or their customers can't. The USA is based on freedom, we have had many freedoms taken away, some were warranted, and some were because of corporations wanting more money. Corporations need to make more money from smarter business planes and better business ethics, not crooked business practices that allow the owners to be lazy and in turn steal from the economy. I am 31, and grew up initially thinking that America truly is the best place to live, but as I have grown older I have lost faith in our country. There is no point in asking what I can do for my country, when my country lets corporations run the country, and hurt small businesses, and its people.

—Kaleb Prichard, Birmingham, AL

Eliminating Net Neutrality will essentially kill any technological growth in the United States forever. Internet service is the backbone to developing new and exciting applications, technologies, and products. If small businesses, or start-ups are unable to afford equal (i.e. faster) internet service, we'll essentially be squashing any hope of moving and innovating into the next century. We are at a crossroads: does the government of the United States allow a few large corporations to own our business development future, or will they decree fairness for all? The American way was built on fairness. It would be a sad day to see that taken away by a federal regulating agency.

—Melissa Urbanski, Chicago, IL

Information should be freely, or at least easily, accessible to anyone, anywhere. It doesn't matter what kind of information it is or who who's looking for it. Big businesses controlling the flow of information undermines the whole point of the Internet.

—Sheblon Cotten, Clarksdale, MS

Do your job. Keep information free flowing for people, not corporate interests.

—Steve Hersch, Kenmore, WA

Please keep the net as fair and as neutral as possible. This country is founded on the "One man, one vote" principle. Keep it that way. Do not let money become our ruler!

—Mr. Gene Shapiro, Miami Beach, FL

If everyone is against this, how is it even possible that it's happening? Please listen to the people you represent and not to the cable industries who will ultimately make the consumer suffer. Reclassify ISPs as common carriers and protect Net Neutrality!

—Jonathan Bochman, Frederick, MD

it is an outrage that we are even having to tell the FCC to keep net neutrality. we all need a level playing field - keep the internet open to all - no two-tiered system.

—Linda Byrne, New York, NY

I've lived long enough to go through the one sided control of Ma Bell when the AT&T monopoly ruled phone communication. I do not in any way want to see the FCC approve the two tier Internet rules promoted by its Chairman, Mr. Wheeler who was, I believe, a lobbyist for Comcast. One does not hire the fox to guard the hen house. The revised rules should be dumped and the ISPs should be reclassified as common carriers. We should learn from history, not repeat it in cycles.

—Barbara Hodik, Flat Rock, NC

So, the only way I can hope to stop a former cable lobbyist from giving the cable oligopolists everything they want--and what no citizen of the US and no company using the internet wants--is to write a comment hoping it will persuade the FCC? The deck is stacked very high against me. Yet it has to be possible that government would consider the needs of the people as having some small significance compared with the profits of two corporations. Please--protect net neutrality. No fast lanes, tiered pricing, or letting the transmission network hijack what's transmitted.

—Alex Brown, East Montpelier, VT

Classify ISPs as common carriers now, and stop this two-lane pandering. The internet belongs to all of us.

—Rebecca Kerr, Cedar Park, TX

We are constantly being hit with new taxes, an incompetent IRS, a healthcare system that is crumbling due to Obamacare, a shameless veterans administration that treats our veterans with gross disrespect, and now another government agency wants to ruin it for our citizens by charging for the net. Yes, we know the costs will trickle down to us. LEAVE THE NET ALONE. Your greed is obvious and contemptible.

—Carol E Rowe, Sugar Hill, GA

The internet is NOT a utility. It is something we pay for and having someone govern the connection I pay for is rubbish. If I pay my \$39.95 a month and watch netflix so be it. If I pay to surf craigslist so be it. Keeping it an open market place is the whole point. Keep your noses out of what WE pay for. 1 price for all and premiums to get to the content we want is slight of hand theft.

—Jeffrey Noell, Aurora, CO

Net neutrality is essential to a country that historically has protected free speech in the form of free press. I can not trust large communications such as AT&T and Time Warner to deliver my communications in an affordable and reliable manner, and do not wish to see them holding the American public at financial gunpoint. Keep the playing field level. The American dream is at risk.

—Sharon Ewing, Columbia, SC

I don't want the ISP companies deciding what content i get faster than other content. A two-tier speed internet will harm innovation and choice. Thank you.

—EmaMugue Mugue, Jeffersonville, IN

As a senior, sometimes relegated to home so that communication with others is confined to the Internet, it is an essential tool for me that should not be restricted by extra cost, arbitrary barriers of any kind if I am to afford using it without undue censorship or excessive cost for the high-speed process I currently enjoy. Work to maintain neutrality not unfair utilization. Thanks!

—Elton G. Grunden, Wilmington, DE

It a real shame that the lobbyists for the big companies have more pull with the FCC than the American Public who pays their salaries. Don't give the upper hand to these big companies FCC! Don't do it!!!!

—Linda Mullins, Conroe, TX

reclassify ISPs as common carriers. Keep the internet open

—David Balam, ontario, CA

Net Neutrality is important to me because I care about small businesses that have the ability to start up on an open internet with an even playing field.

—Stephen Douglas Thomas, Rancho Cucamonga, CA

The internet ought to be available for each person equally. There is already too much inequality in the U.S.

—Florence Steichen, St. Paul, MN 55116, MN

I do not want internet service providers to be able to determine what is easier to be seen on the internet. The internet should be a free system without the binds of corporate interests. I want net neutrality. The FCC has to make the right choice for the American people and not for big business interests.

—Daniel Melewski, Altamont, NY

Everybody should have equal access to the internet. There should be no extra charge for better internet. Everyone should get the same rate and the same ability to access everything on the internet. Thank you for listening!

—Roxanne Meek, Brewer, ME

As a small business owner looking to get on the world wide web net neutrality is vital to our future.

—Scott Cottrill, Albuquerque, NM

This is horribly disgusting. Please don't actually do this. We deserve better than to be Comcast's anal stimulator.

—Enrique Espinoza, Buena Park, CA