

This "fast lane" business is bullshit! Not even in the beginning of the Internet there were differences in the access between those who paid and those who didn't and yet there are people trying to take advantage of this! I beg you not to listen to those that only want to profit and don't have any common sense.

How come a ISP can decide at which speed I see what? If I pay for a determined broadband I want that broadband in EVERY SINGLE ONE website or content I download! No special treatment for anyone! It's ludicrous the idea of having to pay more to visit free websites such as YouTube, Vimeo and many others!

What is this democracy we live in which not even Internet is free anymore?

STOP THIS MADNESS AND RESTORE NET NEUTRALITY!

Regards,

A non-US citizen concerned enough about the freedom of civilized countries.

----- Email 10 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 01:18 PM
Subject: On the topic of Open Internet

Dear Federal Communications Commission,
I am a 16 year old student from Brentwood NY. The people of the United States of America have recently taken a call to arms against the proposed legislature, that will only enable corporate entities to take access to American Information exchange hostage. This CANNOT stand. A free and open internet has allowed for a stony and vast internet culture that has manifested and grown in America. America is currently able to enjoy entertainment and receive information quickly and reliably without having to deal with corporations meddling in their choices. Comcast and Verizon have already strong-armed Netflix into paying their fees and unfortunately that will do no good to the consumers and to businesses. As a student, the actions of these communications companies will only inhibit my access to information that is only increasingly vital in this digital age. So many good things have come from an open internet that we the people of the united states have vocally acted against this. Please

continue to keep the internet a system of international exchange, unbound from tyranny.

Regards,
Kevin Saravia

----- Email 11 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:16 PM
Subject: Net Neutrality
1) Fast lanes should be banned

2) Broadband providers should be classified as Title II carriers

3) The same rules should apply to wireless (mobile) providers

Harold Durham

----- Email 12 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:21 PM
Subject: Please Support Net Neutrality
To whom it may concern,

Please support and enforce net neutrality. One of the greatest things about the internet is the open share of all knowledge, thoughts, entertainment, etc. so that one can experience new concepts and ideas outside one's normal bubble. It will allow our country and our world to move forward toward prosperity and peace for all.

Please do not let greedy companies take this away from the people.

Thank you!

Brynn Hollingsworth

----- Email 13 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:32 PM
Subject: Internet Classification

We believe the internet should be classified as a common carrier just as all public utilities. Fair, unfettered internet access is an essential part of the national infrastructure and should be treated as such. Allowing corporations or other non-governmental entities the ability to throttle or selectively allow access for data they deem a priority goes against universal access to utilities which Americans expect and deserve.

Thanks!

Chris Spires

Director of Client Services

USCA Computer Services Helpdesk

X3463 (from campus phones) or 803-641-3463 (from non-campus phones)

Get campus tech news, alerts and updates

Twitter: @USCAHelp<<https://twitter.com/uscahelp>>

Facebook: USCAHelpdesk<<https://www.facebook.com/USCAHelpDesk>>

Google+: +USCAHelpDeskAiken<<http://google.com/+USCAHelpDeskAiken>>

----- Email 14 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:46 PM
Subject: Keep the 'net neutral

A free and open Internet has been one of the greatest things that humankind has created. Preferential treatment through a 'fast-lane' concept for those who can pay the fee will do nothing but stymie and bottleneck innovation and the sharing and collaboration of knowledge and ideas. This is nothing but greed on the part of those who control access. It will not improve competition; in fact, it will hurt any new or smaller player in the market - like those in my own home region, who cannot compete with the likes of Comcast.

Don't allow a 'fast lane' for those who can pay the exorbitant fees. Don't be anti-competitive. Do the right thing. Keep the 'net neutral.

- Alexandra Edwards

----- Email 15 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:46 PM
Subject: Comment re: WC Docket No. 14-28

As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open Internet, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, and save Net Neutrality. By all means, we want a free and open Internet!!! In addition, the FCC should reject the proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the

Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us.

----- Email 16 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:46 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners-----

To whom it may concern, today, I, Greg Chapman am writing an open letter regarding net neutrality. As far as I can see, the internet is the only thing left in America that is a fair and level playing field. I am sure that is why the big money people want to change the rules. It is the only thing that they do not own lock, stock and barrel.

They already own every other media outlet and once they own the internet their word will be the gospel once again because it will be the only voice anyone in our country can hear. My voice and all of the millions of other little people like myself will become mute. The United States loves to scream about injustice when Turkey shuts down twitter or China censors the internet. We railed against communism when the Soviet Union had only state media (propaganda) but that system is coming home to roost. The fact is that we only scream about injustice when it interferes with something that the US wants. There is no freedom of the press and there is no freedom of speech in the US.

Look at the mess we are in with health care in this country. The big pharma and insurance companies love it because they own it. They own Boardwalk and Park Place and everything in between and they are fighting like hell with lobbyists to keep it that way. They can do that because they have an ocean of money blood money that they extorted from all of us. That is what the big telecoms want to do to the internet.

If this happens I have a vision of the future. I am not clairvoyant but I can see this. The new craze in America will be local get togethers. We will stop going to restaurants and bars. We will turn off the television and the computer and get out our guitars, old records, CDs and DVDs. We will learn to entertain ourselves again. We will actually get to know our neighbors again and with that grassroots political groups will spring up that are out of reach of the powers that be. They cannot be monitored by the NSA or anyone else. Local elections across the country will have different outcomes and the champions that emerge from this will move on to county and state politics. The status quo will be smashed from the bottom up. Since there will be no central authority it will not be crushed if one leader is neutralized or assassinated. Once it grows to maturity things will truly change because the people will have finally found their true voice. That voice will echo through every living room, street corner and alley in America. We will barter with each other. It is tax free and without oversight. We will severely cut down on all of the useless crap that the big companies love to sell us and there will be no need for expensive cell phone plans either. We will talk to our friends and do like we used to do. We will call home to the family once in a while to catch up instead of having real time updates constantly.

Perhaps the loss of net neutrality is just what we need to take back our country. Once they take our voice away we will simply take our ears away from them with one flick of the remote and find someone who does listen to us; our friends, family and neighbors. I wonder what the lobbyists will do when the companies can no longer pay them. Each one of them will become just another little person like me. Sincerely, Greg Chapman

Greg Chapman

60510

----- Email 17 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:46 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners----

Dear Chairman Wheeler,

My position regarding use of the internet is very simple: rules for access, cost and use should apply to EVERYBODY equally. Big, small, well heeled or barely getting by. Heavily connected or a new immigrant trying to "fit in".

Same level playing field. As one American like millions of others, we are sick and tired of hundreds of millions of dollars being spent to court the decision makers attention.

Please let the phrase "Net Neutrality" be your guide.

Respectively,

John P. Bourke

John Bourke

60142

----- Email 18 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:46 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners----

I am against permitting ISP's to prioritize content accessible by consumers. An open structured Internet is one of America's greatest assets. Abandoning net neutrality is a short sighted approach that will

weaken our country by stifling creativity and limiting the free flow of information on which our our democracy depends.

Anne Babcock

01748

----- Email 19 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:46 PM
Subject: Comment Re: WC Docket No. 14-28
As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open Internet, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, and save Net Neutrality.

In addition, the FCC should reject the proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us.

Latasha Teague
395 S Osborn Avenue
Kankakee, IL 60901

<<http://list.credoaction.com/wf/open?upn=CFwW2LiM8egNfp5WPKl72vcklJm5GzqaOw5FucO4B5K96s-2FHvaSpGh2CBldkYWty0VUHT7HBCIJHixFDmDF-2FEhgjLV0LafS2-2F76trDN8WmcPpsSxJZyQxhDA-2Fe9WLCJuO6RsPosAzA-2BuXhtQ9FuGJq1u6-2BQPdu7HOVQJM5r0iCsy-2FonjwAMuGAW-2BDp6TtqR0DzbSnsRA09SHIB0gkAd4ag-3D-3D>>

----- Email 20 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:46 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners----

The internet has been the most effective forum for free speech ever developed my mankind, and any restrictions on its use restrict the freedom of speech of Americans an all other users. Please perserve net neutrality.

James Roush

95076

----- Email 21 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:46 PM

Subject: Comment Re: WC Docket No. 14-28

As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open Internet, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, and save Net Neutrality.

In addition, the FCC should reject the proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us.

Georgia Sands
18294 602nd Ave
Mankato, MN 56001

<<http://list.credoaction.com/wf/open?upn=CFwW2LiM8egNfp5WPKl72vck1Jm5GzqaOw5FucO4B5KuIsu9xPawqFPCR2-2BATCJR0zx61PhTNnkJlPCNfiq9MqNE6o8EhexkcLGRWYP0fkMK7Nj0JJw6PhfCfcZFEjDmUEEHsvd4IleDotoetESXwCvHb3W5XNXEP3Zpvbq8EfBShVKadRuLwHTfODj-2B4tijGa51A14H8kc5QP-2BS2erxlg-3D-3D>>

----- Email 22 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:46 PM
Subject: Proceeding #14-28, Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet
Question: Should there be an outright ban on fast lanes?

Answer: Yes

Question: Should broadband access be classified as a Title II common carrier?

Answer: Yes

Question: Should the new Open Internet<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Net_neutrality> provisions also cover wireless (mobile) broadband?

1.

Answer: Yes

----- Email 23 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:46 PM

Subject: My vote on net neutrality

I will not write a long paragraph on this matter but in short, my vote is to avoid regulation that would affect net neutrality. I can't imagine any direction on this going well on its own and any further regulation would require FCC funding to support and maintain regulation in order for private companies to have more power, influence, and profit as a result.

Please listen to the mutiple small voices who oppose instead of the few larger voices that support.

Thank you.
Alexis Hall

----- Email 24 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:46 PM
Subject: Internet Neutrality
1) Should fast lanes be banned?

Yes. Emphatically yes.

2) Should broadband providers be classified as Title II Common Carriers?

Yes.

3) Should these same rules apply to wireless (mobile) providers?

Yes.

The internet is a vital resource for all citizens. Even people without a computer can access them at public libraries. It has become an important repository of knowledge and avenue for businesses. It is also all the human connection that some people are able to participate in. Please keep the internet experience equal for everyone.

Thank you.

--

Jan Nerone, LMBT WFR
Healer | Educator | Consultant | Artisan
Fuquay-Varina, NC
www.janneronelmbt.com<<http://www.janneronelmbt.com>>
919.480.1960

Connect with me via:

Facebook: <https://www.facebook.com/janneronelmbt>
Twitter: <https://twitter.com/JanNeroneLMBT>
Email list: <http://eepurl.com/Ax-cf>

"Bringing lives into balance through healing, teaching and artistry"

----- Email 25 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:47 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners----

I believe that Net Neutrality is very important. FCC, don't let Telecom dictate ... keep the internet equal for all. For example, I enjoy 'daily kos' and it's important to me to have it available and informative.

Luann Wymore

65349

----- Email 26 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:47 PM
Subject: free internet
Please DO NOT slow down the internet for those that can't pay!

I'm writing you to ask the FCC to reclassify internet service providers as Title Two Common Carriers.

Thank you,

Benjamin Ehrlich
zip code 80203

----- Email 27 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]t@f[REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:47 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners----

Dear Chairman Wheeler and Members of the FCC,

My name is Evan Rosen. I'm 28, born and raised in the Bay Area of California and a proud resident of Brooklyn NY for the last 7 years. I

owe my entire career to a free and open internet. I started learning web and graphic design in High School, and in college I learned to code. I freelanced for a half dozen years after college, and helped build countless independent websites, mostly for small businesses (the real engine of our economy). For a lot of these small business owners, building a website was a huge risk. But I assured them that if they had a great product and service, people would be able to find them on the internet. Over the years I've checked in with those clients, and not one has regretted building a web presence - many even credit it with their current success.

That never could have happened without Net Neutrality. The promise I made to all my clients, that their investment in a website would make them more visible, could be rendered completely false if you fail to protect Net Neutrality. It depends on a level playing field. The moment you let telecoms start charging a premium for better delivery of data, you're signing a death sentence for thousands of small business owners who now depend on internet visibility for business.

Let's face it, internet speeds in America are pathetic. ISPs rank amongst the most hated companies in America because they continuously drag their feet on making infrastructure improvements, provide awful customer service, and continuously raise their prices despite not improving their offerings. They do all this because they know nobody can live without internet, and in most densely populated areas there's only one major ISP. These corporations are constantly inventing new ways to screw over the consumer - do you really think deregulating them is a good idea?

Now, I work for The Orchard, one of the largest distributors of indie music in the world. Headquartered in NYC, we work with over 5,000 independent record labels and content creators to help them sell their music online. The internet is the engine of our business, which employs 200+ people in the US alone (not to mention our 36 global offices). Our clients don't have the budget to pay an extra fee to ensure their content will load as fast as the stuff Sony, Universal and Warner are putting out. As it stands, we help a lot of our clients turn a slim profit by leveraging a free and open internet in their favor. Using our in-house delivery system, we can instantly deliver their tracks to hundreds of digital retailers, automatically collect royalties and help them earn more money off their YouTube content.

Again, if you kill Net Neutrality, you kill our all these indy record labels. This isn't just about the internet, or even music. This is about culture and history. This is about the ensuring that the little guys in every industry have a chance against the established Goliaths. Because as history has shown time and time again, titans fall and minor players rise to take their place, and that's how civilization moves forward. If you destroy Net Neutrality, you're putting a sword in the hand of every Goliath, and tying together the shoelaces of every David. You're effectively taking a stand against human progress. You're saying, things are great the way they are now, the corporations are doing a great job, and we should make it easier for them, and harder for every entrepreneur bootstrapping something new.

Please don't do that.

Best,
Evan Rosen
Brooklyn, NY

Evan Rosen

11211

----- Email 28 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:47 PM
Subject: Reclassify the Internet as a common carrier

To: The Commissioners of the FCC

Your proposed path would open the door to widespread discrimination online. It would give Internet service providers the green light to implement pay-for-priority schemes that would be a disaster for startups, nonprofits and everyday Internet users who cannot afford these unnecessary tolls.

I urge you to scrap these proposed rules and instead restore the principle of online nondiscrimination by reclassifying broadband as a telecommunications service.

Mariah Crase

OH 45693

----- Email 29 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:47 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners-----

Dear FCC Chair Wheeler,

I ask that you please keep the internet open and free from corporate control. Allowing the big telecom companies to create "fast lanes" for companies who can afford to pay more will crush small business and enterprise that is dependent upon the internet. This is bad for the American people and bad for our economy. Thank you.

Marcy Hall

67207

----- Email 30 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:47 PM
Subject: Free the Internet

The Internet was funded by our tax dollars and should not be turned into a Corporate profit center. Every person in America deserves the right to make their "fortune" on the Internet without having to pay for access or be throttled by competitors.

John Sills

----- Email 31 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:47 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners----

I was using the "internet" when it was a college/scientific-only network. Only with the development of web pages with graphics and hyperlinks did it become something that is publicly consumable and, of course, commercialized.

But that is the past. Where we are now is that devices and other technologies have been invented around the Internet to the point where a majority of citizens rely on it for telephone communications (a utility), television (a utility), and everyday actions like checking the weather (the phone-based sources are dying out), traffic, taking pictures, sharing critical and non-critical life info (from who was born and died to what people are eating) with their families, friends, or anyone and everyone.

Presently, an abundance of facets of business and private life depend on the Internet, and any reduction to that would take us back into the past.

Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 already grants you the authority to declare the internet a public utility and provide greater regulation to the telecommunications industry. It's long past time to declare the internet a utility.

Steven Quinn

21117

----- Email 32 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]

Date: 07/15/2014 04:47 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners-----

As an Episcopal Priest I want to know that there is a place for the outlier voice to be heard. It is too easy for corporate interests to block the smaller but no less important voices. It is what makes America thrive, our ability to entertain perspectives that take awhile to become recognized but eventually become the voice that saves.

Eileen Lindeman

2807

----- Email 33 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:47 PM
Subject: Comment re: WC Docket No. 14-28
As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open Internet, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, and save Net Neutrality.

In addition, the FCC should reject the proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us.

I respectfully request that you be honorable gentlemen and women, and maintain open access to the internet for all USAmerican citizens. Be an honorable team player just like the truly esteemed Senator Bernie Sanders.

----- Email 34 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:47 PM
Subject: Comment Re: WC Docket No. 14-28
As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open Internet, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, and save Net Neutrality.

In addition, the FCC should reject the proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us.

Jeffrey Mask
231 North State Street
Dover, DE 19901

<<http://list.credoaction.com/wf/open?upn=CFwW2LiM8egNfp5WPKL72vck1Jm5Gzqa>

Ow5FucO4B5K90OwDH9Ysor8mYK5ViuEMDSsXVKyBCRpm4-
2BZF5FJajGrOsL6q8CpHEew5ZvSjL-2FeLWDAjz-2FE3AeDriMn-
2BCNux5VkTiImfjkp9xDIt8o-
2BakGncvu6BCoX9ZdXuE0UCd7TUBmEn5sNyGlyJ46kFV9kbApmcw48ChAOg-2FeHGQrZN1w-
3D-3D>

----- Email 35 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:47 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners----

DO NOT LET THE CORPORATIONS TAKE A FREE INTERNET FROM THE PEOPLE, THE
COMMON CITIZEN!!

Shawn Hurley

81501

----- Email 36 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 04:47 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners----

As an American citizen, business owner, educator and internet content
consumer I can't even believe this is being considered for a variety of
reasons to long to list here but here are a few highlights

- 1) First amendment implications
- 2) If we really want to have a competitive advantage over other countries
we should figure out a way that EVERYONE has access to a low price 1
gigabit service ---it's doable and the US citizen (or as govt calls ud
consumers) pays far more for less (like healthcare) then other developed
and undeveloped countries
- 3) Giving big corporations (telecom is already a monopoly just like many
other industries) more power and control ---what could go wrong ??? We
already have far too many TBTF institutions ---anyone seen the Sherman
Anti-trust act around ?

I could go on and on but this is a really terrible idea and one that just
might bring the pitchforks out to come after govt and big corporations --
-as Tom Steyer, billionaire, recently opinioned

Mike Clark

28117

----- Email 37 -----