

----- Email 1 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 12:34 PM
Subject: net neutrality - Brandon Main

This is my official notice to the FCC that I support net neutrality. The internet should remain open, equal, and domestically private. I do not support any form of discrimination of one internet user or site over another, nor the throttling of speeds thereto. I do not support surveillance of domestic networking, only for international networking for national security concerns.

Brandon Main
Kissimmee, FL

----- Email 2 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 11:15 PM
Subject: Open Internet

Generally, I believe that ISPs should be classified as common carriers. However, there may a need for the so called speed lane. I think of it as a guaranteed minimum level of service contract where the link speed will be maintained at certain threshold. It should be something that wouldn't work without such a guarantee. Netflix wanting to maintain a certain level of service isn't a good enough reason. Something like specialized industrial control or a remote medical application or a first responder application that needs a minimum level to work AND that could dire consequences if the link speed fall below a certain mark. It should be limited to the kinds of applications that MUST work. Normal websites wouldn't count. Prices should be regulated in any instance. There could even be tiers of priority to differentiate between different types of vital services, but this is not for the normal internet. It's for things that couldn't work without such a setup. With such a setup applied to mobile networks, you could leverage the existing networks for things like first responder communications without having to worry about bandwidth. You could then auction off the frequencies now freed up by running everything on the same network with prioritization. Generally speaking though, little difference should be made between hardline and mobile network. This means that voip application should be allowed to run on a mobile network. Right now, voice traffic on a mobile network is essentially prioritized, but a competing voip application isn't. It isn't fair that the network can give preferential treatment only to its own voice service. Likewise, cable companies that also double as ISPs give priority to their own video delivery service, plain old cable television, but not to any competing service like Netflix or Hulu. The counterargument is that cable television does not run on the internet so this doesn't apply. However, digital cable takes up bandwidth, the same bandwidth that an internet application like Netflix could use. The pipe is shared from the cable company head. Television should be transitioned to a non-prioritized version where any media company from any location

can use those pipes to deliver iptv content through a set top box without having to compete with an ISP with an unfair advantage. When digital cable is delivered to your house, all the channels are streaming at the same time, even the ones you don't subscribe to. This is incredibly inefficient and the only reason this exists is because it comes from the time before the internet and the world wide web. However, some television have a built in QAM tuner which would be negated in such a scenario so attention should be paid to this during the transition. However, many cable companies encrypt all their channels except where the FCC mandates that they cannot, typically for terrestrial broadcast channels. Because of this encryption, most digital cable television customers utilize a set top box so any transition would be transparent to the end user. I hope you consider all these scenarios and more. The internet can carry any information that we like. We should leverage that network to do as much as possible while prioritizing traffic that couldn't work without it. Thank you for taking the time to read my comments.

-Jason Carter

----- Email 3 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 11:59 PM
Subject: Comment re: WC Docket No. 14-28
As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open Internet, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, and save Net Neutrality.

In addition, the FCC should reject the proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us.

----- Email 4 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 11:59 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners----

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

The "bottom line" of huge commercial enterprises is already controlling our democracy, our cost for prescriptions and the destruction of our environment among other things. Do not do the same thing to our sources of information and access to different points of view other than the party line of the major political parties.

We need access to all points of view available to us on an equal basis.

Rebecca Weiss

Ma 02460

Rebecca Weiss

02460

----- Email 5 -----

From: lindab@gadas.com
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 11:59 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners----

To the FCC:

As a freelance editor, I depend on the Internet for doing research (not for looking up spelling, as I am a Perfect Speller). I visit many and varied sites, and net neutrality would ensure that my arcane questions are treated with the same respect and speed as any use by any other Internet user.

Thank you,
Linda Bevard

Linda Bevard

80212

----- Email 6 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 11:59 PM
Subject: Reclassify broadband access, protect net neutrality. GN Docket No. 14-28

To Whomever it May Concern:

Protect net neutrality, and do it right this time.

Recognize the reality that broadband Internet service is a telecommunications service, not an "information service," as it is currently misclassified. It should be regulated under Title II as a common carrier, subject to all the nondiscrimination principles that govern such utilities.

Statements from your chairman make it clear that reclassifying Internet service in this way may be necessary to ensure net neutrality in the future. The currently-proposed, weaker rules will allow ISPs to discriminate against minority voices, smaller companies, and diverse sources of information. Ensure that this does not happen by issuing

strong common-carrier regulations now, covering both wired and wireless broadband carriers.

Protect the rights of minorities, small businesses, and consumers.
Protect net neutrality now.

Ms. Anne Kaplan

Phoenix, AZ 85018
US

----- Email 7 -----

From: visnich@surewest.net
To: OpenInternet@fcc.gov
Date: 07/15/2014 11:59 PM
Subject: Comment re: WC Docket No. 14-28
As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open Internet, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, and save Net Neutrality.

In addition, the FCC should reject the proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us.

----- Email 8 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: OpenInter [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 11:59 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners----

Are you, as a person, not concerned about the first amendment implications of prioritizing, blocking, or slowing down access to information on the internet? - further - if not, why the devil not? Can you and several others not tell that without the internet -as it exists today- the world will lose much of what made it so grand, lacking an open forum from which any point in any man's eye can be debated is only one of many changes you wish to make, here. Can you not tell that without an internet, a grand stage from which all forms of information may derive; the world loses much of what makes it - it. I may not be a great man all around, but without the internet (as is) I would surely be a lesser man - making the minds of people lesser - is that truely so 'right'?

John Webster

39110

----- Email 9 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]

Date: 07/15/2014 11:59 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners-----

As a citizen of this country, I believe that the founding fathers understood that the freedom of information was key to a democracy. Allowing big corporations to control information is contrary to our best interests.

Arthur Arnold

06790

----- Email 10 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 11:59 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners-----

I have been using the internet since I started library school back in 1986. For nearly thirty years now, I've helped people find information, search for jobs and just have fun exploring the broader horizons the net offers.

But as access to the internet has gotten faster and more widespread around the world, it's been stagnating in recent years in this country. Public download speeds are simply pathetic compared to what's available in Hong Kong and Japan. Fifteen million people in the US still don't have access to fixed broadband. The corporations that provide internet access seem uninterested in investing in more widespread, higher speed connections - until now, when they've devised a scheme to charge a premium for it. Based on the amount of money being paid by my workplace and by me, I doubt they don't have the income to spend on improvements. And the lack of competition doesn't enable me to switch to a more competitive rate. ISPs seem to be a group of neighboring fiefdoms that have agreed not to invade each other's turfs or do anything that makes their neighbors look bad.

One of the wonderful things about the internet has been the opportunity it offers to people with new ideas to get their ideas out in the marketplace. An innovative internet startup has a better chance at succeeding than a brick-and-mortar one. You can get the word out quickly without the constraints of geographical and financial limitations. If the cable companies have their way, though, the level playing field the net has offered will be gone, and the little guys will just get run over by the companies with the money to pay for speed.

The cable companies have shown they have no interest in the kind of competition the people of the US need in order to innovate and succeed. Their plan to charge more for speeds other countries offer for free, and their failure to compete among themselves, makes it obvious they should be regulated as public utilities and charged with providing equal levels of service to all.

Jennifer King

07728

----- Email 11 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 11:59 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners----

Please do *not* change current Internet policy that enables all content to be delivered as fast as possible regardless of the source/provider. The current policy has served *all* of *us* well by enabling new content and services and supplied by new *start-up* providers. If a "fast-lane" channel for premium content delivery is available only by paying a premium prices, innovative start-ups will certainly be disadvantaged and innovation may be discouraged and disadvantaged. The rising tide of internet improvements should lift all boats equally, not only the yachts of the rich and famous. Thank you for your consideration.

James R Owen

06515

----- Email 12 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 11:59 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners----

No Content Found -- Please specify

To the FCC

We are sure that without net neutrality the internet will be changed forever. So many people Rely on the internet and small businesses will not be able to stay in business. It is somewhat like the big box stores putting the little mom and pop family owned shops and stores out of business. My daughter has such a small business and we are sure she will not be able to compete or pay for time with one of the big companies, so will lose her web-site and

her company. Please do not let this pass and keep the internet free. D
white, Charlotte

De White

28209

----- Email 13 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 11:59 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners----

No Content Found -- Please specify some content

Mike Kehl

94133

----- Email 14 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 11:59 PM
Subject: Comment re: WC Docket No. 14-28
As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open Internet, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, and save Net Neutrality.

In addition, the FCC should reject the proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us.

Please conserve this service that until now has not been controlled by the 1%.

----- Email 15 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/15/2014 11:59 PM
Subject: Docket number: 14-28 protecting an open internet

To Chairman Tom Wheeler and the FCC Commissioners----

The internet should not be controlled by corporations. It should be available to all people, and businesses, regardless of what they pay. It is a tool that we all use, such as a library, and it should not be made to work better or more smoothly for the more "privileged." It is a very scary thing that you are even considering allowing corporations to rule

the internet. We, the people, need an open internet that gives us all free access to any site we wish to visit, anytime, and we should not have to go through corporations, or anyone else, for that matter, to view the information we desire. DO NOT ALLOW THIS TO HAPPEN, PLEASE!!!!!!

CHAD SCHEPPNER

90290

----- Email 16 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Date: 07/16/2014 12:00 AM
Subject: common carrier statement

Summary: Internet service providers should be considered 'common carriers' - utilities like water and electricity whose fundamental value to society is considered essential to survival. The duopolistic outcomes of cable over internet in competition with dsl over telephone lines does not fulfill the definition of competition. Access to internet service is a fundamental dividing line between whether homes and businesses are successful.

I am an information technology consultant by trade. I regularly assist in the referral process that begins with a customer who needs service and ends with a finished working business network. I regularly refer to Comcast, sometimes to other providers, usually for business. At the present time in my service area of Kirkland, Washington, no other carrier has access to the cables that provide the highest speed internet to homes and businesses in my area. Further, many who wish to put a home or business in areas not well served by Comcast - even in relatively established built-up areas of Kirkland and Bellevue, Washington - these businesses are expected to pay for the infrastructure to extend service to their existing businesses. This arrangement sees the carrier - in this case Comcast - reap the benefits of the customer investment in infrastructure while continuing to bill every month . I would much prefer to see carriers compete with other providers as is currently the case in European countries. I would also prefer municipal broadband to be a healthy ecosystem that provides viable alternatives and a competition that would lower costs to consumers and deliver innovation in a way that the current duopoly does not provide. This would do more to aid 'net neutrality' than mere regulation of monopolistic internet service providers.

Neal Wells

----- Email 17 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: OpenInternet@fcc.gov