

7521795089.txt

I am definitely not in favor of Comcast's proposal to takeover Time Warner Cable. I firmly believe that if this proposed merger is allowed, Comcast will have crossed the proverbial violation line, or be right up against it, of the Sherman Antitrust Act. The main purpose and function of the Sherman Antitrust Act was to restrict and prevent certain business activities which were deemed to be anti-competitive. In addition, the enactment of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, which was specifically intended to increase competition between telephone and cable companies, miserably failed in obtaining its objective. Fifteen years after its enactment, more than 60% of subscribers still had only one main choice of cable and telephone providers. It is an incontrovertible fact that mergers between companies and partnerships between providers destroys competition. A lack of adequate competition fosters and promotes conditions and circumstances which invariably support and result in substantially substandard services and products. Comcast and Time-Warner are already at the bottom of a \"Customer Satisfaction\" survey, conducted by Consumer Reports National Research Center, of 17 of the largest cable TV companies. While Time-Warner was ranked number 16, Comcast came in number 15. They were rated just a bit higher than Mediacom Communications, a cable company that serves a little more than a half-million consumers in the Midwest and Southeast, which was rated dead last. Allowing this merger would be tantamount to rewarding these companies for providing inferior and second-rate services and performances to their subscribers. And that is not the American \"Tradition\".