

**Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20554**

In the Matter of:)	
)	
Carriage Complaint Against)	
)	
Blue Ridge Cable Technologies)	Docket No. 12-365
)	File No. CSR-8753-M
by)	
)	
Western Pacific Broadcast, LLC)	
)	
With Respect to Carriage Within the)	
Philadelphia, PA Designated Market Area,)	
of Local Commercial Television Station WACP,)	
Licensed to Atlantic City, New Jersey)	
)	

Directed to: The Chief, Media Bureau

**CONSENT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO REPLY TO
OPPOSITION TO
PETITION FOR SPECIAL RELIEF
BY ORDER OF CARRIAGE**

Western Pacific Broadcast, LLC (“Western Pacific”), pursuant to Rule 1.46, hereby respectfully requests that the Bureau grant Western Pacific an extension of time, ending on September 27, 2014, in which to file its reply to the opposition (the “Opposition”) submitted by Blue Ridge Cable Technologies (“Blue Ridge”) to Western Pacific’s above-captioned petition for an order requiring Blue Ridge to carry local commercial television station WACP in accordance with the Commission’s must carry rules and policies on Blue Ridge’s cable system(s) within the Philadelphia, PA designated market area for the remaining duration of the current must carry election cycle, expiring December 31, 2014.

The Opposition alleges that that Blue Ridge does not receive a good quality signal at Blue Ridge’s principal headends. Blue Ridge also had filed a request to exclude its CUIDs from

WACP's television market, but Blue Ridge agreed to dismiss that petition and has done so.¹ The settlement agreement obligates the parties to cooperate in efforts by Western Pacific to provide a good quality signal to the headends, thereby terminating the above-captioned must carry dispute.

Blue Ridge provides cable services to subscribers within the DMA from two headends. Late last year, the chief engineers of Western Pacific and Blue Ridge met at one of the two headends to measure the signal strength with the existing search antenna, supplemented with a preamp and a filter. On January 9, 2014, the chief engineers of Western Pacific and Blue Ridge met once again to measure the WACP signal strength at the second headend. A new receiver antenna was provided to Blue Ridge to receive WACP. It has been mounted on the headend tower, and efforts have been made to adjust and to properly orient the antenna. At this juncture, additional time is required for observations of the received signal and further consultations between the parties' engineering staff and consultants, and possibly further engineering work.

Accordingly, it appears that the parties are nearing the end of this carriage process, and, at this time, it appears likely that Blue Ridge will carry WACP, thus obviating the need for the Bureau to dedicate its resources to the consideration of the pleadings and the development of an order to formally resolve this dispute. But, the process will require more time to come to fruition.

The grant of this motion could only harm the movant, Western Pacific, who is the party requesting action by the Bureau.

For the foregoing reasons, the grant of this motion would be in the public interest. Counsel for Blue Ridge has authorized Western Pacific to represent that Blue Ridge is aware of

¹ That market modification petition was assigned CSR-8793-A and Docket 13-130.


the intention of Western Pacific to file this motion and will not interpose an objection to this motion.

WHEREFORE, the foregoing premises considered, Western Pacific Broadcast, LLC hereby respectfully requests that the Bureau grant the additional time requested for Western Pacific Broadcast, LLC to submit its reply in the above-captioned matter.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERN PACIFIC BROADCAST LLC

By: _____


M. Scott Johnson
Thomas J. Dougherty, Jr.
Its Counsel

FLETCHER, HEALD & HILDRETH, PLC
1300 North 17th Street, Suite 1100
Arlington, VA 22209
(703) 812-0400

August 28, 2014

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Thomas J. Dougherty, Jr., hereby certify that on this 28th day of August, 2014, I caused a copy of the foregoing "Consent Motion for Extension of Time to Reply to Opposition to Petition for Special Relief by Order of Carriage" to be served via email upon the following persons:

John R. Wilner, Esq.
Ari Z. Moskowitz, Esq.
Edwards Wildman Palmer LLP
1255 23rd Street, NW
Eighth Floor
Washington, DC 20037



Thomas J. Dougherty, Jr.