

7521826606.txt

Although SCOTUS may have determined that money equals speech and that unlimited money can now buy unlimited speech (Citizens United and McCutcheon), please do not make the mistake of putting money above actual speech. Doing anything to undermine COMPLETE NET NEUTRALITY would contribute to the inevitability that there will be no speech without money. This is in direct contradiction to the tenets that freedom of the press, freedom of speech, and freedom to peaceably assemble are needed for a free and democratic society. COMPLETE NET NEUTRALITY is the only way to guarantee complete democracy. These freedoms are already being threatened by de facto monopolies held by the wireless cable providers in that 96% of the population has access to two or fewer providers

(<http://www.broadband.gov/plan/4-broadband-competition-and-innovation-policy/>) and that 90% of media is owned by just six corporations (or is it four now?). "Pay to play" schemes are nothing but another way for the "Haves" to censor and enslave the "Have Nots". How much more is the 99% to give up for the 1%?

Neither ISPs nor "the free market" should determine how content is divided. Independent technological advances for the good of all should be employed. There should not be further "pay to play" penalties for the consumer as ISPs already profit so much from current fees; the internet is a resource for everyone and should not be subject to further commodification. The FCC and the U.S. government should do everything in its power to maintain complete net neutrality and prioritize the basic freedoms of its citizens over the profits of corporations.